

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®


VOL. 20, NO. 7

JUNE 1995



Chameleon Strom

The Safety Valve



In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

□ Like most government agencies, mine is overheated with the glories of affirmative action. Based on this experience, several whites of my acquaintance have taken to speculating on which African tribes our affirmatives belong. From the loading dock, for example, come the plaintive cries of the Mombats to the brothers backing up delivery trucks: "C'mombat. . .C'mombat. . .C'mombat." And down at the bus stop we hear the unrequited calls of the Doopees "Do-de bus stop here?" . . . "Do-de-bus stop here?"

220

□ Think about it. Burning the Israeli flag in Zoo City is more dangerous to your health than burning the Stars and Stripes!

020

□ Early issues of *Instauration* amounted to serial prophecies of what has actually come to pass in our large cities.

620

□ I'm not sure I'm a Christian anymore. I've been finding Christ's admonition to "love your enemies, do good to them that persecute you, return hate with love" harder and harder to swallow.

920

□ The U.S. wasn't the first white nation to be beaten by the yellow man. Japan defeated Russia in 1905. The Vietnamese defeated the French before they defeated us.

678

□ Zip 947 (April 1995) legitimately criticizes *The Holocaust in Historical Context* for arguing that it is the only instance of genocide (as defined by author Steven Katz) to have ever occurred. In order to prove his exaggerated point Katz cites voluminous revisionist-tilted scholarship concerning various histori-

cal massacres, including some mass killings of Jews. To emphasize the uniqueness of the Holocaust he provides lots of references to scholarly works down-sizing its magnitude. Despite the questionable basic premise of Katz's book, it may be of some value to serious revisionist researchers into Jewish history.

983

□ Right after the Oklahoma bombing, pols went ballistic in their rush to propose legislation to ban guns. George Bush sent a somewhat snotty letter of resignation to the NRA renouncing his membership. Have I missed something? What did guns have to do with the Oklahoma bombing?

327

□ Another deadly virus has popped up—Ebola. It originated in Africa as did AIDS. When blacks tell us about their great civilizations in the ever darker continent, do they also tell us they introduced to mankind two of the world's deadliest viruses? Guess it would be much too sensible and illiberal for Mr. Sleaze to ban all travel to and from Black Africa.

732

□ In a recent *Playboy* interview foul-mouthed Jewish playwright David Mamet confirmed what Gentiles know but dare not say: To a Jew, being Jewish is more important than being American. Hearing such stuff, we wouldn't be out of line if we inferred that where and when Israeli and U.S. interests clash, American Jews will obey their primary loyalty.

302

□ Without Pakistani support for our aid to the Afghan rebels, the Soviet Union might still be in business. When you consider the Pakis' history with neighbor India, you'd think we'd be sympathetic to their military needs. But no. They are not supposed to have advanced jets or the atomic bomb, while we give Israel whatever it wants.

790

□ The rich in America are or should be getting a little nervous. A fellow with \$10 million who bought state-of-the-art German and Japanese high-tech equipment in January has in just a few months lost 20% of his capital. If the trend continues, he'll be broke in a year or so. It's lucky for him that he didn't waste any money on right-wing attempts to thwart the destruction of America. If he had, he might have gone broke even sooner.

775

□ One fine day the world is going to be so fed up with the constant whining of the Chosen—the ceaseless, carping demands for apologies and reparations—that the earth will reverberate with a billion-throated roar: "Shut Up!" May I live long enough to see the day.

519

□ Any student of history knows the importance of leadership. Would French troops have captured Moscow in 1812 had there been no Napoleon? Would Macedonians have

washed their spears in the Indus had Alexander never been born? The leader we so desperately need may never come along, but if he does, much could change in a hurry.

855

□ I surfed for a minute to a San Antonio Spurs vs. Denver Nuggets NBA basketball game on NBC (April 16). Only one of the 10 players on the floor was white: Vinnie Del Negro, a guard for the Spurs! My favorite team in the National Hockey League is Quebec's Nordiques.

240

□ Kato Kaelin's appearance at a Terre Haute mall attracted a crowd of 5,000. Some showed up nine hours early to be among the first in line. Autographs went for \$12; signed pictures for \$15. Does anyone know from what part of Europe bleached blondy boy's forebears surfaced? He calls his mother Izzy, but that's not much help.

455

□ *Instauration* is one of the few publications I'm tempted to shove in the faces of my gravely misinformed countrymen and say, "Read this and wake up!" There are many times I wish I were as handy with the English language as its writers, but in the end, if the people are not prepared for the message, golden-tongued emanations will have little effect. Once they are prepared, a stutterer could do the job.

539

□ When liberals pour out their debasing propaganda and dump it on unsuspecting *hoi polloi*, they do it by the degrading adjective, the snide adverb, the poisonous verb, the slanderous noun, the subtle innuendo, the outright lie. As George Will once observed on Brinkley's ABC *Laugh-in*: "If it's said three times in Washington, it becomes a fact." The other propaganda ploy is the Great Omission: the deleted idea, the left-out name, the unmentioned story. Call it propaganda by default. To quote George again: "The half-life of an idea in Washington is about two weeks." The liberal is a master of the PR game and the people have been falling for it ever since the days of

CONTENTS

Chameleon Strom.	4
CONservatives Adore Israel.	5
"Furriner" Speaks for Us.	6
Disparaging Novelist.	7
Don't Blame the White Slavemaster.	8
The Rise and Fall of Newark.	9
Chairman Newt and the "Revolution".	10
Man the Ramparts!.	12
The Headman's Ax.	13
Can Confederate Movements Survive?.	14
Why the Militias?.	16
Sexual Exploits of Black Teenagers.	16
Hitler and the Nazi Poofs.	17
Time for the Goodies to Dry Up.	17
Backtalk.	18
Inklings.	20
Cultural Catacombs.	22
<i>Alien Nation</i>	24
Satcom Sam.	25
Talking Numbers.	27
Primate Watch.	28
Waspishly Yours.	29
Notes from the Sceptred Isle.	30
Elsewhere.	31

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$43 (first class)
\$43 Canada
\$47 foreign (surface)
\$66 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$2.50, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1995 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

Franklin Roosevelt. How else would we have gotten the philandering, boozy Kennedys, the Johnson cornball, the Carter peanut farmer and, most recently, Whitewater artists Willy and Hilly?

056

□ What a surprise! The feature article in the ultra-liberal New Yorker (April 17) had a message straight out of Instauration, to wit: Haitians are a lazy bunch whose country will always be a mess and who want nothing more than a handout.

111

□ What was the Veep thinking as he forked over \$65 million to Yasser Arafat, merely the first installment of the billions Israel demands we pay their enemies? Is Gore so stupid as to believe these payoffs help us or is he so venal he doesn't care? Pat Buchanan is the only candidate who preaches putting America first, but Israel would never allow him to be nominated.

840

□ The New Republic (April 3) explains that Israel has the bomb not for its own protection, but as "the only assurance reasonable Arab societies have that an unreasonable one won't target them." Muslims can rest easy in Cairo, Amman and Mecca. The Chosen are watching over you!

833

□ Here's a question I'd like to ask Pat Robertson or Billy Graham: *Is it better to be a Christian than a Jew?*

110

□ The other day a Central European student of mine presented a paper on the need to preserve rare plants for posterity. He was particularly concerned about the "Pacific Jew," which apparently contains a rare anti-cancer drug. I thought at first he must be referring to some Hollywood luminary, but it soon became apparent that he meant the Pacific Yew.

British subscriber

□ An FBI executive was recently interviewed on National Public Zionist Radio. His topic was the pervasive racketeering of the Russian Mafia in this country. He focused on an incredibly sophisticated gasoline tax scam which defrauded the government of tens of millions of dollars. Amazingly (or not so amazingly) the word "Jewish" was not heard once in the entire session, even though the Chosen's Brighton Beach base of operations was described in detail. The interviewer discreetly avoided the most elementary probing such as how "Russian" gangsters, presumably new to this country and culture, could have the financial acumen, resources and contacts to organize this tremendous criminal conspiracy. The obvious question, "Who are these people?" was not even asked, let alone answered.

306

□ When not campaigning with his, "I'm really a pretty nice fella" pitch, Robert Dole deplores the profoundly corruptive effect of Hollywood on American youth. He seems to have forgotten Leo the Lip's immortal words about "nice guys." Far from inducing Hollywood's cocky vulgarians to change their wicked ways,

such uppity input usually elicits larger torrents of in-your-face trash.

923

□ Proponents of the standard text of the Holocaust bawl that it is blasphemous to even examine its demographics. The Six Million figure is sacrosanct. It must not and cannot be denied or altered in any way. Only the shrill voice of the Holocausters, who refuse to debate the issue, is brooked. Those who dare to question are dismissed out of hand and/or ruined by the latter-day Inquisition. The Holocaust is the greatest dogma ever concocted. It makes the old papal bulls look positively open-minded. It is presented as tantamount, say, to the fundamental tones in music or the laws of physics. It cannot be shaved a whit, no matter that a mountain of evidence disproves it. It is often casually inflated by millions without even a raised eyebrow from the servile media. It's absurd. It's insanity. It's "heads I win, tails you lose" at its most cynical. It is the measure of Western decadence.

910

□ As my brother was walking down a Manhattan street a few months ago, a black beggar asked him, "What's the best nation on earth?" "The United States," my brother patriotically replied. "Wrong!" the Negro shot back. "The best nation is Donation!"

111

□ Question: *Why can't liberals see that if racial diversity is desirable, then segregation is essential? Answer: The murky mind of the liberal drives in emotional rather than logical gear. Liberalism is an overt denial of reality, a sickness which bids fair to destroy Western man and all his works. Its only cure is a big dose of anarchy, which seems to be in the offing.*

755

□ The O.J. Simpson trial is the ludicrous result of alien genes attempting to function in the bloodstream of Anglo-Saxon jurisprudence.

966

□ A neighborhood bookstore owner, who had replaced her shelves of hardbacks with stacks of thin, gory comic books, when asked if kids read serious books anymore, shrugged and said sourly, "At least they're reading something."

322

□ Is there enough money left in the federal budget to buy a thesaurus for each Democratic congressman in Washington? They're run the phrase "mean-spirited" into the ground in their tirades against efforts to end the welfare state. Can't help but wonder, if they think Republicans are mean-spirited, what words they would use to describe Instaurationists?

145

□ Four or five months from now Attorney General Janet Reno will call a press conference to present a B.A.T.F. agent with scratches on his face, who will speak just long enough to thank the Almighty for the stroke of luck which allowed him to survive the Big Bang. Should journalists later think it was even more miraculous that the other agents also survived, they will be told that further questions will have to wait, since none of the other agents

want to talk about what happened and because the one previously interviewed is now on special assignment at a secret location in Novaya Zemlya. If the issue is forgotten all will go well. If not, someone may have an accident a long way from home.

113

□ The grotesque O.J. Simpson trial now turns on the question of whether an intelligent and dutiful white law enforcement officer ever in his lifetime uttered the word that rhymes with "jigger." If he did—as have hundreds of millions of both blacks and whites—then he is guilty of something far worse than a brutal double homicide, and the smirking colored gent is ipso facto innocent. The West continues to rot.

Canadian subscriber

□ The media never miss a chance to mention that Colin Powell would make a good president. What credentials does he have other than that he was once a general? Did his staff work prepare him for the pitfalls, slings and arrows of congressional politics? We could find a more experienced pol in the local dog catcher's office. Does Powell have a chance? Perhaps in a primary race with Clinton, affirmative action would demand that the darker candidate win. But some cynics could claim that Powell, only part-black, is not quite black enough.

965

□ The dialogue currently under way between certain blacks and Jews, ostensibly to repair the rift caused by Rev. Farrakhan, has evolved into a racial dog-and-pony show whose purpose seems to be deciding which group has been most mistreated by whitey. The danger of such a dialogue is that it may emphasize the conflict between both groups. For all their liberal bravado, Jews view blacks as short on brain matter. Blacks, as much as they may buck-and-wing for the American jazz number called brotherhood, know what Jews think of them. My weekly passage by D.C.'s Holocaust Museum makes it clear that Negroes don't go in for holocausting—certainly not the way folks from Scarsdale, Teaneck and Bala Cynwyd do.

220

BACK COPY SALE!

Howard Allen has back copies of Instauration from August 1983 to the present (with the exception of January 1984, April and September 1985 and April 1987). They are available at \$2.50 each, postpaid. Order 12 or more and get them for \$2 each, postpaid. Why not buy a few copies and pass them around to friends and relatives who might be receptive to Instauration's taboo-free worldview?

Chameleon Strom

In his 1940 animated feature, *Pinocchio*, Walt Disney created one of the screen's most memorable and chilling villains. A bloated devil who could instantly change from charming to serpentine, Stromboli was a puppeteer who eventually came into possession of a living puppet. Alone and friendless, except for his sociable cricket, the boy Pinocchio is at first fooled by the false geniality of Stromboli, who cares for only one thing—gold! Befriending the puppet, ol' Strom quickly reveals his true nature. He overwhelms Pinocchio with a barrage of violence and vituperation. One reviewer pointed out that the greedy Stromboli was Disney's takeoff of a "Hollywood



Democrat-Dixiecrat-Republican
Senator Strom Thurmond

studio boss, complete with foreign accent." But the string-actuated villain's abiding sin is his betrayal of trust. He is the false friend who preys on naive and unsuspecting marks.

Recently, in the nation's capital another Strom(boli), just as insidious and dangerous as the original, was honored with a civil rights "award." The word should be "reward" for having spent the better

part of his career stabbing his own people squarely in the spinal column while trafficking daily with the enemy. Senator Strom Thurmond is one of those eternal mountebanks who bob up like a bubble in vomit whenever a great race is on its way down. I have little doubt that the Babylonians, Persians, Athenians, Spartans, Macedonians and Romans suffered from the same type of human exudation in their waning years.

Ol' Strom began his career as a vociferous and strident exponent of segregation. In 1948, when liberals under arch-renegade Hubert Humphrey were striving mightily to force a civil rights plank into the Democratic party's election platform ("Plump Hump" expected this little *coup de main* to eventually land him in the White House), ol' Strom thumped his chest and thundered against even the modest reforms of the Demos, whom he abandoned to become a Dixiecrat. He continued to live off his Southernness, since he well knew what would become of his cherished political career if he dared side with the liberals and blacks against his own kind. But like the political weather vane he has always been, ol' Strom soon saw which way

the federal flatus was blowing. Blasted on all sides by a minority-controlled media, vilified and demonized on every front but the home front, it didn't take this consummate pol long to figure out a new tack. As he watched his influence on Capitol Hill erode, frantic that the homefolks back in South Carolina might turn him out in the cold after all those warm, easy years of freeloading, big bucks, junkets and high-flying perquisites—not the least of which were bebies of willing young females—ol' Strom found himself caught between a rock and a hard place. His response? Turn "respectable" by jumping parties again. This time around, he became a Republican, in a better age a dirty word in the South. Accordingly he began to soften and modify his racial stance. On his rare visits home, usually about every six years, he assured the folks at the hustings he was still fighting all that "Yankee radicalism" and answered his many critics by supplying South Carolina with ever larger slabs of pork. After all, if whites have jobs, they're not nearly so inclined to find fault with a slippery political operator, even on a subject as volcanic as racial integration. Ol' Strom stressed how the Feds weren't gonna let South Carolina have this or that unless whites got with the program—much as he, good ol' Strom, hated it. He'd then hoist tail back to D.C. and vote the way the N.Y. Times dictated.

Strangely enough, the ploy worked. For many years Southerners were gulled by this charlatan. Why then should it come as any great surprise to find the old rascal honored by the likes of the District of Columbia Urban League for having mentored a "young black friend," Armstrong Williams, of media fame. After decades of this turncoating, how could South Carolinians have expected anything else?

An editorial I read in my local rag praised ol' Strom for his "metamorphosis," saying it was "a sign of hope that, given enough time, even a diehard bigot can outgrow his bias." I had to laugh at the undiluted stupidity of the Chosenite or Majority renegade who penned these breathless lines. Does this moron truly believe that a snake like ol' Strom has really shed his skin? If he does, I have a wall in Jerusalem I'd like to sell him. Ol' Strom hasn't become an anti-bigot. He feels about blacks exactly the way he's always felt about them. What he has become is a politically correct, pro-minority panderer who sells out his people on a regular basis to keep his fat cat job and all the perks that go with it. For Jewish and media approbation he has crawled on his belly to the campfires of the barbarians. For money and acclaim, ol' Strom would do as Dean Rusk once did—bless his daughter's union with any Negro who knocked at his door—without letting out a peep of protest.

Any Southerner who has gone from being damned by the N.Y. Times to being praised by that double loyalist paper has done his people such irreparable damage, it's a thousand wonders Beelzebub himself doesn't leap up from the fiery pit, pitchfork in hand, and spear the Super Truckler in the Senate chamber!

I've always held that LBJ was the most repulsive night crawler ever to hold high public office in this country.

A veritable Haman as far as Majority members go, Johnson will be forever cursed as Majority Renegade of All Time. But for Majority Renegade of the Second Half of the 20th Century, no one fits the bill better than ol' Strom.

Having Strombolized the American people for decades, at 92 he is viler than ever. May his name be inscribed in infamy in the history of the white race.

782

CONservatives Adore Israel

Gordon Liddy in his daily radio gabfest usually devotes a full hour to a segment, "Review and Comment on the News," during which he reads newspaper clips. One day he read a story about an Orthodox Jewish woman who had just taken her seat in the Israeli Knesset. Still in her late forties, she was the mother of 15 children and lived in a West Bank settlement. After her husband and eldest son had been killed by Palestinians, she became a real fire-breather, berating Israeli "moderates" for "giving into terror." Jews in Israel, she asserted, must "divorce" themselves from the Arabs once and for all. The two peoples could never co-exist. Her final comment was that only one of these two peoples would ultimately live in "this land," and it wasn't going to be the Palestinian people.

Translated into plain speech, the woman was calling for the eventual "transfer" of all remaining Palestinians out of their erstwhile homeland. As has long been evident, she is by no means the only Israeli who feels this way, though it's both ironic and paradoxical that such sentiments are expressed by the same tribesmen who, outside of Israel, loudly and self-righteously proclaim themselves to be the champion of "oppressed minorities."

Rather than attempting to plumb the depths of the Jewish psyche, let's analyze Gordon Liddy's warm endorsement of this woman's bellicose point of view. He confessed she had reiterated, "what he had been saying all along," a statement which makes him nothing more and nothing less than a 24-carat Zionist bootlicker. As someone known to Jews as a quasi-fascist, Liddy fully understands that unless he touts the glories of Israel he would never have a radio show at all. Like other right-wingers in his profession, he can only keep possession of his microphone if he is careful, very careful, never to allow his totalitarian and authoritarian sentiments to spill over into overt anti-Semitism.

Though most Jews have not yet joined Liddy in endorsing the lethal ethnic cleansing policy of "transfer," it's hardly a secret that the ranks of American conservatism are now jammed with "Lovers of Zion" who support such a "final solution"—Jack Kemp, George Will, Dan Quayle,

Newt Gingrich and R. Emmett Tyrrell Jr., to name a few. If American politics made any sense these days, conservatism would be, if not overtly anti-Zionist, at least decidedly cool towards Israel, given that the Democratic Party has traditionally been the home of American Jews. Instead, while Democrats continue to align themselves with Israel's Labor Party, conservative Republicans lean towards the crazies of the Likud Party, which stands for a purely Jewish ethnocentrism that hasn't the slightest connection with Republicanism, conservatism or the interests of the American Majority.

How and why has this rationally inexplicable alliance been developing over the past several decades? Obviously the Fear Factor plays a strangulating role here, as conservative journalists and politicians realize they are always vulnerable to charges of anti-Semitism—charges which, even if they stick, can easily become career-enders. How many American newspapers now carry Joe Sobran's column? It used to run in my local slander sheet, but was quickly dropped when the anti-Sobran campaign moved into high gear after his mildly pro-Instauration column. Many conservatives now embrace Likudism as a form of insurance against the possibility of being hit with charges of anti-Semitism. Their rationale is that they will henceforth be left alone to pursue other, less controversial, elements of the "conservative agenda."

Unfortunately such best-laid plans don't always work out the way they're supposed to. Most men have probably observed at some time in their lives that physical beauty in a woman is usually a "total package." A beautiful woman tends to be beautiful everywhere. I make the point because some sort of cosmic principle is involved here. Once you start partially compromising your package of political beliefs in the interests of respectability and expediency, you're well on the way to corrupting all of your beliefs. This is precisely what has happened to American conservatism, which as recently as the 1950s still had a strong core of integrity.

As important as the Fear Factor has undoubtedly been in this misalliance between American conservatism and Likud-style Jewish racism, still another factor is involved. Anyone

who has explored the anti-Semitic literature available in English has probably come across a reprint of a 1919 article, "Zionism versus Bolshevism: A War for the Soul of the Jewish People," by Winston Churchill. In the article, Churchill openly recognizes something which has since become a Great Unmentionable, namely, that the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia was to a large degree a product of Jewish energy and enthusiasm. Sir Winston pulls no punches. Names are named; numbers and percentages are cited. The article remains popular in anti-Semitic circles not only because of the historical eminence of its author but because it reminds us that such outspokenness was once acceptable in mass-circulation Western journals. In his article, however, Churchill makes the fundamental historical mistake that has been plaguing Western conservatism ever since. He expresses the hope that the formidable abilities and resources of Jewry will be diverted into the positive, national channel of Zionism, rather than the negative, destructive international channel of Bolshevism.

To the extent that it is not simply a product of fear, the foolish flirtation of American conservatives with Likudniks would seem to stem from the same hope of Jewish Zionism beating out Jewish socialism that Churchill adumbrated in his article three-quarters of a century ago. But whereas Churchill wrote at a time that Zionism was by

and large a speculative venture and Israel still a fuzzy dream, contemporary conservatives cannot avoid realities. In theory Western encouragement of an exclusivist brand of Jewish racism like Zionism would seem to be less of a danger than the Jewish racism which wraps itself in the banner of "proletarian world revolution." In actual practice, however, these two Jewish secular religions are utterly alien to Western ideals and interests. When we compromise with either of them, we injure and betray our own ideals and interests. Consistent Zionist meddling with the internal political processes of Western nations—particularly America—offers decades of proof that the Churchillian/conservative hope that Zionism would serve to lessen the Jewish pressure on Western politics was a pipe dream.

By putting his imprimatur on the policy of "transfer," Liddy is promoting the strange alliance of Western conservatism and Zionism, which Churchill advocated. What Liddy is asking us to endorse is the ultimate ruination of a people—the Palestinians—who have never done us any harm, as a way of accommodating and in the Churchillian view "defusing," a people who have done us considerable harm in the past, and who continue to possess both the means and the desire to do us even much greater harm in the future.

121

"Furriner" Speaks for Us

Bahman Batmanghelidj, a man you've probably never heard of, is crusading for a cause that Majority members are too chicken to join. Born in Iran and now a developer in northern Virginia, Mr. Batmanghelidj is a fervent admirer of Thomas Jefferson, who preached that the strict separation of church and state would ultimately foster the healthy growth of both religion and democracy in America. Jefferson's ideas have a profound meaning for the Iranian American, who feels that the Islamic government of his *Heimat* has thoroughly corrupted both the political process and the Muslim religion by attempting to make the two interchangeable.

Batmanghelidj wrote an article about Jefferson for two Persian-language expatriate journals that still have a wide circulation in Iran. It immediately struck a responsive chord not only among the secular Iranians who are increasingly unhappy about their government, but among certain religious leaders worried that the people in charge of their country were tainting the good name of Islam.

In these iconoclastic days all that Americans are hearing about Jefferson is the sordid tale of his alleged relationship with his "slave mistress," Sally Hemings. This downgrading of a great American statesman has not gone unnoticed by the astute Mr. Batmanghelidj. Unlike millions of cowed Majority members, as a newcomer to these shores, he is

not inclined to suffer in silence. He has done just about everything possible to prevent the production and distribution of the shameful movie, *Jefferson in Paris*, the Merchant-Ivory "prestige" film which focuses on the spicy—and utterly vaporous—Jefferson/Hemings affair. (The picture, whose screen play was written by a Jewess, is being distributed by the heavily kosherized Walt Disney Co.)

Batmanghelidj has extensively documented that the liaison was a hoax and the product of a political smear campaign begun by a ne'er do-well named James Callender, who bore a grudge against Jefferson. For his research the Middle Easterner drew on the work of a whole host of top-flight Jefferson scholars, who unanimously deny that the alleged affair ever happened. Reports of B's lobbying efforts against the film were broadcast to Iran by the Voice of America. In what must be considered a supreme historical irony, the Iranian public now has a better understanding of Jefferson's private life than does the American public, which is now being bombarded with publicity for the mendacious film.

Batmanghelidj's crusade to restore Jefferson's good name was reported in the Washington Post (Dec. 30, 1994), a paper which has done little before or since to set the record straight on the third President. Although self-pity is an emotion that Majority members can ill afford in

these desperate times, we would be less than honest if we did not admit that we often come close to being overwhelmed by it. The terrible injustice seems particularly heinous in that, as *The Dispossessed Majority* pointed out, we are a people who, ultimately, are despised for our virtues rather than our vices.

It is interesting and instructive to contrast the activities of Batmanghelidj with those of Ismail Merchant, the Bombay-born Indian Muslim expatriate who, along with the Majority renegade (and likely Third Sexer) James Ivory, is responsible for *Jefferson in Paris*. In attempting to hype his new film, Merchant brushed off the attacks on its historical accuracy, attributing them to the unwillingness of Americans to "confront their racist past." How wondrous it is to be lectured on racial matters by someone like Merchant, who escaped the crushing poverty and overpopulation of his own homeland to find wealth and fame in America. He then repays his debt to us with harangues about our racial views and smears one of our most prominent historical figures. If a pro-Majority counterrevolution ever takes place, Merchant should be placed on the next flight to Bombay.

As inspiring as it was to read of Batmanghelidj's activities, one crucial question remained unanswered: Why are no American Majority members rising up in comparable fashion to defend one of their own? Even all those Jeffer-



Unlikely Majority booster

son scholars who are well aware of the Sally Hemings hoax continue to remain remarkably quiet, as the celluloid calumny, *Jefferson in Paris*, carries the day. My local paper, while reporting on a party held by a local arts society to mark the opening of the movie (part of it was shot in the Albany (NY) area), casually noted that it concerned "Jefferson and his slave mistress, by whom he had several children." Nothing was said about the accuracy of such a claim. It was reported as an undebatable fact. In Orwell's *1984*, war was peace and freedom was slavery. In the U.S. in 1995, falsehood is truth!

The American Majority is so sick and demoralized that it cannot even summon up the will and the resources to fight the distortions and perversions of history that *Jefferson in Paris* both typifies and symbolizes. If we had any fighting spirit left, Batmanghelidj would have had millions of allies in his campaign against the film, and Michael Eisner, the Jewish plutocrat in charge of Walt Disney Co., would have had second thoughts about releasing it.

A people that has to rely on foreigners to defend itself, as we, like it or not, have to rely on Bahman Batmanghelidj, are a people already in a state of profound decline. I remember feeling this way once before while reading *Illiberal Education*, an attack against the anti-Western thrust of modern American higher education written by Dinesh D'Souza, a prominent conservative writer of Asian-Indian descent. Are we really so far gone that we cannot even get up off our knees to defend ourselves against the incessant rat-a-tat-tat of minority racist attacks? Must we allow the D'Souzans and the Batmanghelidjs to man the barricades? Instead of waging his fight alone, the Iranian Jeffersonian ought to have an army of angry Viking warriors stretching out behind him as far as the eye can see.

121

Disparaging Novelist

I am surprised that literary critic Michael Silverblatt is so ecstatic about the novel, *The Tunnel*, which he reviews under the title, "A Small Apartment in Hell" (Los Angeles Sunday Times book review, March 19).

The contents of *The Tunnel* can best be described as one of the most comprehensive and savage depictions of Majority members ever written—far worse even, than *Catch 22* or *Catcher in the Rye*. Little wonder that it took the author, William Gass, 30 years to vent his spleen of so much resentment and hatred.

It is not difficult to understand, however, why Gass feels as he does. Virtually every socially significant book he has read or movie he has seen or courses he

has taken in the humanities in a college or university have instructed him that Americans (excluding, of course, Jews and nonwhites) are racist, sexist, greedy, materialistic, root-destroying imperialists, despised by most of the world's peoples. Throughout most of his life Gass must have been particularly receptive to indoctrination of this sort.

Being mean-spirited or small-minded are not the reasons why a nation of decent people becomes angry and resentful to the point where the population turns into radical activists. It is the threat, real or imagined, to their racial survival, pumped up by the continuous bombardment of denigration from within or without the country's borders. This is precisely

why the German people hunkered down and adopted an "us against them" attitude after unconditional surrender was forced upon them in the turbulent end days of WWI.

Beware, America haters! The day may come when ordinary white folk will no longer take abuse from the educators, mediocrats, filmmakers, artists and minority leaders of the American Establishment—and start fighting back. Meanwhile Majority politicians and church leaders, always worried about being politically correct, maintain a cowardly neutrality.

The only Hell that exists in America is the Hell of Hatred teeming with Americans who hate America.

902

Don't Blame the White Slavemaster

According to most writers of contemporary history, whose purpose is apparently to induce guilt in whites and instill group cohesion in nonwhites, the reason why many African Americans today are not 100% genetically Negroid is that vicious white slaveowners and overseers raped and impregnated female Negro slaves. This view totally ignores the increasing number of voluntary interracial matings during the past 130 years.

Instances of rape and impregnation by white slavemasters and their overseers cannot be denied, though they were rather rare. A much more common practice was that of female slaves purposefully ingratiating and prostituting themselves for a household rather than a field-hand job. (Add to this "white trick" babies spawned by black prostitutes.) In the main, however, in the slavery era as now, the genetic mixture came as a result of consenting interracial sex.

Initially, migration was the major source of mulattos, free and slave, in the Lower South. One such influx into Charleston and New Orleans in the 1680s came from Barbados.¹ Another was triggered by the bloody Haitian revolution in the 1790s. Hundreds, possibly thousands fled to the U.S. after the black blacks started murdering their lighter-skinned brothers. A smaller number of Haitian mulattos arrived in the Southern states in the middle of the 19th century following a "war of color" in which the full-Negro president attempted to kill all the leading hybrid politicians, merchants and professionals.²

Another source of mulattos was the sons of wealthy free American blacks who were sent to Ontario, Canada, for advanced education and returned with white "English" wives. One such was William "Billy John" Ellison, a seven-eighths Negro and the oldest grandchild of black slaveowner, William Ellison of South Carolina, who had 80 slaves. "Billy" returned to the family plantation at Stateburg with "a young Irish girl named Catherine." The couple had five children.³

A significant number of white abolitionists, male and female, married or mated with nonwhites. Henry Grimke, brother of the famous Charleston-born feminists and abolitionists, Sarah and Angelina Grimke, fathered three mulatto children with a slave woman.⁴

In the 1800s, miscegenation was not outlawed in South Carolina. On December 12, 1812, citizens in Orangeburg District unsuccessfully petitioned the state legislature to penalize sexual congress between the races. The petition stated that the "softening" conditions of slavery had caused slaves

to exercise among some of the lower classes of white peoples freedom and familiarities which are degrading to

them and dangerous to society. We allude to attempts which are made and some of them with success at sexual intercourse with white females.

The current problem, the petitioners said, "is that instances do now occur in which not merely the dregs of [white] society are concerned but some reputable families are disgraced and covered with infamy by the advances of a slave or free Negro."⁵ Several other unsuccessful attempts at outlawing race-mixing were made in 1835 and 1859. White citizens were not simply attempting to stop voluntary interracial sex, they also hoped to stop any thought that nonwhites might have that, because a few white females were susceptible to interracial sex, Negroes had license to proposition all white women.

According to the 1860 federal census, of the approximately 71,000 white and free nonwhite families in South Carolina, there were 42 mulatto and two full-Negro males with white (not mulatto) wives, who bore them 155 children. Four white women "head of households" (without a male present) were listed as having mulatto children. White males headed 15 families with mulatto wives, two with full-Negro mates. Two families were headed by a white male with mulatto children, but without a co-residing spouse. Additionally, about one in ten of the free nonwhite households were headed by a black or mulatto woman with mulatto children, indicating that the fathers of the children were either mulatto or white males. Quadroon was not a descriptive term used on the census forms.

The actual number of those involved in consenting interracial sex in South Carolina was undoubtedly much higher than these figures indicate. The census did not include individuals living in the state's largest city, Charleston. Only 1% of the nonwhite population outside of Charleston were free and consequently able to marry or cohabit with whites; 20% or 3,237 of the nonwhites in the city were free. Charleston's free nonwhite population outnumbered the population of every town in the state except Columbia and Charleston. As today, interracial mixing was higher in cities than rural areas and interracial couples gravitated from rural areas to cities.

The consenting interracial sexual activities in South Carolina were mirrored in other Southern states,⁶ and magnified in Northern states.⁷

EDWARD KERLING

Footnotes

1. Williamson, Joel. *New People: Miscegenation and Mulattos in the United States* (NY, 1980), pp. 15-20.
2. Berlin, Ira. *Slaves Without Masters: The Free Negro in the Antebellum South* (NY, 1974), pp. 35-36, 40; New

York Herald, May 30 and June 9, 1848.

3. Johnson, Michael. *Black Masters* (NY: Norton, 1984), p. 336.

4. Lerner, Gerda. *The Grimke Sisters of South Carolina* (Boston: 1967), pp. 358-66.

5. "Petition from Orangeburg requesting Laws to Penalize Slave and Free Negroes for Miscegenation," General Assembly Petitions, SCOA.

6. Russell, John. *The Free Negro in Virginia 1619-1865* (Baltimore, 1913); Sydnor, Charles. "The Free Negro in

Mississippi before the Civil War," *American Historical Review* 32 (1927) pp. 769-88; Flanders, Ralph. "The Free Negro in Antebellum Georgia," *North Carolina Historical Review* 9 (1932) pp. 250-72; Franklin, John. *The Free Negro in North Carolina 1790-1860* (Chapel Hill, NC: 1943); Johnston, James. *Race Relations in Virginia and Miscegenation in the South 1776-1860* (Amherst, MA: 1978).

7. Litwark, Leon. *North of Slavery: The Negro in the Free States 1790-1860* (Chicago, 1961).

The Rise and Fall of Newark

One day while browsing through my local library I found a book, published in 1966 under the auspices of the New Jersey State Historical Society that celebrated the tricentennial of the city of Newark.

I've never set foot in Newark, but as I looked through the many photos and the accompanying text I felt drawn into the drama of the city's history. The Indian tribes in the region, the first English settlers, the steady growth of the village into the town, the town into the city, the establishment of various industries and educational institutions, the parks and buildings, the arrival of the immigrant groups in the latter part of the 19th century. . .all of this was carefully chronicled. In some ways Newark could be viewed as a microcosm of American history, in which a howling wilderness was transformed into a modern society in a remarkably short space of historical time. Even in this mundane work of local history there was more than a hint of majesty and grandeur.

Included in the book was a 1910 map which showed the distribution of the various ethnic groups throughout the city. By then, a couple of the downtown wards had become predominantly Negro. As many of us know what Newark is like today, I shall no longer be coy. Written in the dispassionate tones of the "neutral" historian, the last few chapters dealing with the period from 1950 to 1966, evoked a real sense of tragedy. Still only 17% Negro in 1950, by the time of the tricentennial, Newark was well over 50% Negro—and climbing. Whatever else was going on in the city, this was the only story that really counted. The wheels were coming off. Three hundred years of history were moving towards a terrible climax. Newark was fast becoming what it is today—a squalid, impoverished, violence-ridden Negro slum.

It was fitting that the book ended in 1966. In July 1967, Newark was rocked by rioting. As was the case in Detroit, the riots were the kiss of death to whatever remained of the white city. White flight turned into white rout. Imamu Amiri Baraka's (né Leroy Jones) New Ark was emerging from the cocoon. But it was no butterfly!

More than two decades ago I remember reading a short work by that pockmarked, loudmouthed, free-lance agitator, Tom Hayden, the former Mr. Jane Fonda, entitled *Rebellion in Newark: Official Violence and Ghetto Response* (1967). Working as a community organizer in the Newark slums when the riots took place, Hayden wrote a first-hand account from the perspective of someone living near Ground Zero. It quickly became something of a staple of New Left literature and found its way onto countless bookshelves, right beside the well-thumbed paperback bestsellers by Frantz Fanon and Eldridge Cleaver.

As might be surmised, massive Negro violence and disorder were never "riots" to the left. They were "rebellions." Hayden's book was both a snarling venomous screed directed towards the "oppressive white power structure" of Newark and a downright mawkish, highly sentimentalized panegyric of the impoverished Holy Negro and his spontaneous acts of "freedom" and "liberation" (throwing rocks through windows and burning down buildings). To read such stuff is to be reminded of the great indebtedness of minority activists to Jean-Jacques Rousseau and his concept of the Noble Savage. Sickos like Tom Hayden transformed the Negro with his primitive Caveman ways into a kind of Avenging Angel, whose sacred violence serves the righteous cause of bringing down an entire social order, one that Hayden and his crew, because of their own psychological quirks and hang-ups, had come to hate.

To fully understand the profound nihilism and pure hatefulness underlying the political philosophy of Tom Hayden one should first read the tricentennial history of Negroes, then delve into Hayden's book. In the former volume the 300-year transformation of Newark from a wasteland to a thriving metropolis is recounted. Hayden's book joyfully dwells on the city's destruction by roving mobs of Negroes. In all of human history one would be hard-pressed to find a more dramatic contrast of ideology and principles than in these two volumes.

121

Chairman Newt and the "Revolution"

What is an Instaurationist to think of the Republican victory in the 1994 Congressional elections? The first thought is—not much! It tends to lull the American people into believing that the U.S. can still be saved by traditional politics, that the temporary slowing of the rot will postpone, perhaps permanently, the fundamental changes that can only be effected by a radicalized American Majority, by the destruction of the two dominant parties and by the polarization of the nation along racial, ethnic and ideological lines. Simply put, the Republican victory, though seemingly a blow struck at the extreme leftistists and minorityites currently in control of the federal regime, is a mere escape valve. It may result in a delay in the implementation of some of their more outrageous, far-out plans, but it will have no lasting effect in stopping, much less reversing, the steady erosion of everything America once stood for.

Because of what happened last November many Majority Americans, who were being steadily pushed towards a more radical position, will be encouraged to "give the system one more chance." If, as appears likely, the Republican-controlled Congress cannot find a way out of the morass of debt, incompetence and corruption bequeathed by the Democrats, there is a strong possibility that President Clinton and his gang will come out of this stronger than ever and be well-positioned for the 1996 elections. A sobering thought!

A wiser, more profound view is that our plight is such we should be thankful for any breathing spell we can get. Chairman Newt and his crew may be only marginally better than their opponents across the aisle, but a small margin is preferable to none. While Newt may not be able to accomplish any profound changes and is unlikely to bring about any significant rollback of suffocating liberal laws and regulations, there is a chance that the new majority in Congress is serious about opening up that notoriously secretive and closed body to public scrutiny by forcing more recorded votes and making it much more difficult for Senate and House members to "vote liberal and put out conservative-sounding press releases." Thin gruel? A starving man is in no position to turn up his nose at what is put on his plate.

The most interesting results to come from the Republican victory will occur within the party and within the so-called "conservative movement." Congress will only be a secondary front.

The country is roughly divided into four components:

(1) The ruling lib/min coalition, consisting of Jews, minorities, utterly abandoned and degenerate white Democratic opportunists and the ideological left.

(2) The neoconservatives, a cohort that includes the "economic" conservatives (à la Jack Kemp) and counterfeit cultural conservatives (à la William Bennett). William and Irving Kristol are the undisputed ringmasters of this particular circus.

(3) The small but increasingly belligerent hard-right paleo-conservatives led by Pat Buchanan, Samuel Francis and Thomas Fleming, editor of *Chronicles*. While by no means Instaurationists, they are light years ahead of the others in terms of character, patriotism and intellectual honesty.

(4) Mainstream Republicans and the bulk of the American population, the good, the bad and the indifferent.



Christopher Dodd, eternal liberal apologist

It is fairly obvious that the lib/min coalition has lost any possibility of winning back a sizable part of the American Majority. As dull and blind as so many Majority members are, even the most moronic are now beginning to understand, if dimly, what is going on. At some point biological factors will come into play, as Majority Democrats start to grasp the suicidal nature of their politics and realize this is not a contest over government gravy, but a life-and-death battle for their and their children's future.

The crumbling of the "public" liberal empire (the behind-the-scenes libs still remain quite strong) tells us that no white politician can stand up in 1995 and repeat the standard left-wing line without appearing ridiculous, a credulous fool or a candidate for the funny farm. In the wake of the 1994 rout, only Dick Gephardt of Missouri and Christopher Dodd of Connecticut had the gall or lack of common sense to defend the traditional liberal swill. Gephardt is one of the most stupid of the senior Democratic senators. Dodd is a sinister, debauched rogue who is widely alleged to have flirted with treason in his dealings with the Marxist Sandinistas during the Contra War. His exploits in the warehouses of the Central American capitols are legendary. Both senators are stereotypical huck-

sters of Majority renegadism, 1995 vintage.

The 1996 election will be a struggle of the liberals to regain power in the Congress and to stamp out the gathering forces aligned against them. At the same time, conservatives will be fighting to determine, once and for all, who will be their leader.



Kristol père, neocon Jewish guru

Instaurationists may sniff at all this political grandstanding with contempt, but we will have to deal with it. The liberals know very well that the American people, the real American people, have rejected them, probably permanently. Liberals, however, never allow such a thing as democracy to stand in the way of what is "right." These fanatics really believe that they are on a holy crusade to save us from ourselves. They are convinced they are superior beings and entitled to do whatever is necessary to maintain their power over lesser beings like ourselves.

They will do anything—anything—to seize power again. And you had better believe that once back in the driver's seat, they will make damn sure that they will stay there for a long, long time. Right now they are trying to lash together an alliance of every freak, traitor, half-wit and bottom dealer they can get their hands on. We may be sure that they will troll the prisons, crack houses, wino flophouses and sweatshops to round up every vote they can buy.

On the conservative side, the stakes are high, very high. If the neocons are able to gain complete control, the end result will be a catastrophe equal to that which would be wrought by the liberals. Instaurationists have nothing at all in common with Jack Kemp and William Bennett. They are far more dangerous enemies than any liberals. Millions of well-meaning, decent people have been taken in by these dishonorable men. Leaving aside their politics, their character should be enough to cause us to turn from them in disgust.

Bennett was a main player in the despicable (and successful) effort to cheat the brilliant conservative scholar M.E. Bradford out of the directorship of the National Endowment for the Humanities. Bennett himself got the job after a dirty campaign was set in motion by Eric Foner, an ultra-leftist "historian" with a long trail of Communist associations. A prime mover in the current effort to demonize the South, Foner rewrote the history of the War Be-

tween the States to reflect liberal propaganda goals. Bennett was largely responsible for the first moves in this country to ban so-called assault (actually automatic) weapons and strip white Americans of the means to defend their homes and families from minority criminals. Rest assured, Bill, you will not be forgotten when the time comes for giving each pol his due for his part in the wrecking of our country.

As for Kemp, if Gerald Ford played football too long without a helmet, Kemp must have spent a career bashing his noggin against the goal post. Widely regarded as one of the most inefficient and unproductive members of the Bush cabinet, Kemp irritated more rational Republican Party bigshots by babbling incessantly about "enterprise zones" and the need for the Republicans to "reach out" to minorities. This numskull just couldn't get it through his head that enterprise zones will not work when the would-be entrepreneurs are junkies with IQs that match their shoe sizes. It also escaped him that by buying minority votes with the same goodies the Democrats have ladled out for 50 years, Republicans would be alienating their core supporters and very likely priming the pump for a serious third party. A Republican/minority coalition is absurd, a chimera that only a Jack Kemp could chase. Both Kemp and Bennett gave their game away by their infamous attempt to defeat California's Proposition 187. That idiotic boo-boo will come back to haunt them.

Jews, well aware of the sea change in American politics, are working feverishly to gain control of the emerging populist revolt by manipulating neoconservatives and bashing paleoconservatives. They know only too well the direction the revolt might take once a strong leadership takes over and the trained monkeys are sent packing. While it is true that a mature Majority movement is several years away and that neither the current political parties nor the current conservative actors will play a central role in it, what is happening now is crucial.

We stand at a crossroads. If the paleoconservatives defeat the neocons, there will be a viable base for the creation of a true movement of national renovation, one that Instaurationists might in good conscience support and, in part, guide. It would be in the nature of such a movement for our ideology to gradually assume more and more importance. The neocons, an unnatural, hothouse growth, would wither and die. The Republican Party might also wither and die or it might form the framework for a completely refurbished G.O.P. If it does, it would have to change beyond recognition. Little more than the name would remain.

The long and short of it is that we should take a cool, detached view of what is currently going on in Washington. If Newt can do some good, good for him. But he and those like him are transitional figures. They will have a short shelf-life. They are important, though, because they will help set the stage for real Majority leaders to come forward. Stay tuned.

N.B. FORREST

Man the Ramparts!

Americans reacted with remarkable aplomb to the nose-dive in the value of our currency. This is understandable. About 90 percent of our economy is domestic or, if you will, domesticated. Consequently a steep drop in the value of the dollar had little direct effect. Unless you plan to buy a Mercedes or an expensive Jap car in the near future, you can be forgiven for not paying too much attention to the alarming decline of the dollar against some foreign currencies.

The U.S. buck remains the world's reserve currency, against which most countries, virtually all countries, measure their money. In South America and other dollar areas, the value of the greenback vis-à-vis local currencies is actually rising. While this has been going on most Americans have been yawning and reaching for the channel changer as nerdy financial wizards stutter on about how awful it all was.

Nevertheless we might be well advised to pay more attention to monetary gyrations. We are in for some heavy weather on the international financial scene. A number of economic specters are shaping up.

What should be watched? You first saw it here. Or maybe you didn't. But what the heck.

- Major conflicts brewing in the Persian Gulf region (again!) with Iran playing a big role.

- Chechnya was just the beginning of a Russian series of grabs for parts of other former Soviet Republics. Russia is never as strong or as weak as she looks. The Soviet invasion of Finland in 1939 bears a disturbing similarity to what has happened in Chechnya. The Russkies were defeated and humiliated in the first battles, then smothered their enemies with firepower.

- The Balkans may go completely to pieces. Russians will not allow their Serb brothers to be crushed by a motley Western alliance.

- The population bomb has already exploded. The ecological, political and financial pressures this is putting on some Third World countries are incredible. Both Egypt and Algeria, to take two examples, are in hopeless demographic shape.

- Speaking of Egypt and Algeria, the Muslim fundamentalist movements are under control—for now. How long they will stay that way is anybody's guess. Meanwhile don't be suckered into believing any of that multicultural balderdash about how Islam is just a religion.

- China is dropping the mask. The Celestial Kingdom, whose Red mandarins think it is the center of the universe, poses no real threat to us for now. But you had better believe that hundreds of millions of slanted eyes are focusing on Southeast Asia and Russian Siberia.

- India and Pakistan may go at it hammer and tongs at any moment. The Pakis, though much to be preferred to Hindus, are

capable of mass insanity. For their part, Hindus are obsessed with preposterous pretensions to world power status. We see urine-quaffing *babus* squatting on the sidewalk at daybreak to relieve themselves, stinking to high heaven of curry and ghee. They see themselves as Aryan warriors, heirs to one of antiquity's great civilizations. Somebody should have the decency to inform them that if their ancestors ever were Aryans they left the racial hearth eons ago.

- Central and West Africa are simply off the wall and off the map. South Africa will stagger along for a decade or so, burning up the human and intellectual capital left by centuries of white control. Look for the big ones to kill the short ones in Burundi, sister state of Rwanda.

- In Latin America much depends on economics. If things hold together, we may see some real progress in Chile, Argentina, Brazil and Colombia. On the other hand, if the financial balloon bursts, all bets are off. It looks like Clinton has selected Colombia as the next nation to feel the steel of the New World Order. Colombia has become the international equivalent of a public nuisance because it will not or cannot bring a halt to its massive narcotics trafficking. But before we strap on our six-shooters and go gunning for Colombians, it would be wise to remember that it is not Haiti or Somalia. It is a country of 35 million relatively well-educated, generally pro-U.S. citizens, a traditional American ally and a cultural leader in Latin America. It will be no walkover.

- Mexico is going down the tubes and may well take much of the rest of Latin America with it. Don't listen to cheerful pap from people who have a vested interest in making you believe that everything will soon be *muy bueno* down Mexico way.

- Cuba is a festering sore 90 miles from Key West. A general economic downturn will devastate Dr. Castrostein's plans to outwit the U.S. attempt to crush him through the power of the dollar. You think the Haitian boat people were a problem? Cuba is a lot closer than Haiti.

- Malaria, TB, venereal diseases, cholera and a host of other viruses are making a big comeback in the Third World. While U.S. and other foreign aid was the main instrument in causing the drastic decline in death rates that led to the Third World population explosion, the decline of such aid will allow the traditional diseases to gain ground. Don't imagine that we can keep these old-timey diseases away from our shores forever. They are already here, perhaps coursing through the bloodstream of that wetwork who just cooked your hamburger.

- Economics. This usually grim subject may get a whole lot grimmer. I am not an expert on the dismal science, but I do pack a little common sense. Trade imbalances, unbalanced budgets and debt are seriously out of whack in the world today. The men who are running the ship are hypocrites and scoundrels. Perhaps we should start manning the ramparts!

N.B. FORREST

The Headsman's Ax



A debate has raged for years over the issue of capital punishment. Generally speaking, liberals and their allies have opposed it, while conservatives and ordinary folk have favored it. Somewhere along the way the argument has boiled down to whether or not imposing the death penalty is a deterrent to would-be murderers, cop killers, traitors and the like.

"Deterrence" is at best a secondary justification for capital punishment. There is certainly nothing wrong in deterring others from committing horrible crimes and it's true some criminals may have second thoughts when contemplating a crow-eaten body swinging in a gibbet. Like it or not, the extreme form of punishment is not only appropriate but essential in certain circumstances.

The ancient Goths, among many other ancient peoples, believed that the execution of criminals was not only just, but a necessary act of genetic and societal cleansing. Imposing the death penalty was not a disagreeable or unavoidable act of state violence, a barbaric if temporary descent into savage retribution. Not at all. It was the natural and normal response of a community to the discovery of a human aberrant in its midst.

The death penalty was common across racial, cultural, geographic and language boundaries. It seems to have occurred to a lot of very different people that certain acts were so heinous they left the guilty parties beyond any possibility of redemption. Christian societies generously extended to those condemned to death the chance of forgiveness in the hereafter. But after the priest read his minis-ermon, he stepped away from the scaffold and let the hangman do his work.

Admittedly the death penalty has occasionally gotten out of hand. Hanging a teenager for stealing a handkerchief is a bit much. Methods of execution have often been grotesque enough to churn the stomach. The Chinese put the condemned into a mesh cage pulled tight against the skin, then sliced off slivers of flesh for weeks at a time. Impalement was once a popular means of doing away with the accused, as was the garrote in the Spanish colonies. The last criminal to be garroted in the Americas was in Puerto Rico, after the U.S. occupation. Merrie Olde England made an art of drawing, quartering and burning bowels. Hacking off heads, hanging and auto-da-fés were long in fashion. In more modern times electrocution, gassing and lethal injection have become the preferred modes of dispatching miscreants to their maker.

As horrifying as capital punishment has often been, we must remember that lawmen used to think that ghastly crimes deserved ghastly responses. In any event we should not judge the distant past by present standards.

To justify the death penalty it is necessary to affirm the value of human life and the price to be paid for ignoring minimal standards of civilized conduct. Many of us believe that it is only God who should make the ultimate judgment regarding our sins. Well and good, but there should also be justice on earth. Leaving aside the numerous references to the supreme penalty in the Bible, Jehovah very clearly established that the secular power that derived from him included the right to take life. Perhaps bolstered by the Old Testament's eye-for-an-eye strictures, the death penalty was firmly established by tradition, custom and law throughout the West. The Constitution makes no bones about capital punishment. No person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law. With due process, citizens can clearly be deprived of any of the three.

Any stable social order must establish an outer limit to disruptive conduct. Spitting on the sidewalk gets you a \$20 fine. The rape, torture and murder of a young woman gets you or should get you 5,000 volts. An act which takes human life in an unjustified, cruel, wanton and perverted manner must be punished in such a way as to solemnly assert: *We will not stand for this. The life of one of our own will not be taken without a stern response.*

Crimes that may not directly involve the taking of a life also qualify for the death penalty. Rape and treason are two. Treason puts an entire people at risk. Rape, next to murder, is the most heinous of crimes. Infants so conceived should not be brought to term. They were not meant to be born and should not be born. It goes without saying that the awful psychological and physical harm done to a rape victim by itself justifies the death penalty.

The death penalty is surefire. You don't walk away from it. Your girlfriend can't smuggle in a file baked in a cake to allow you more opportunities to ravage your fellow humans. No "surprise" witnesses 20 years after the event can claim you were really smoking crack in Brooklyn when they say you gunned down Officer O'Sullivan in the Bronx.

Executed criminals do not kill again. One murder is enough. Some say murderers repent and reform their lives. Fine! They can then be allowed to pass through the Pearly Gates. When they restore their victims to life, we can think about abolishing the death penalty.

The death penalty prevents the further procreation of the criminally inclined. Research into genetics is building a mountain of evidence indicating that criminal tendencies may be inherited. For this reason alone we should remove as many of the sons of Cain as possible from the breeding pool.

The death penalty satisfies, to the extent it can be satis-

fied, the just desire for revenge. This is a crucial point. Modern systems of criminal justice developed in part to prevent the family feuds endemic in tribal societies. The state takes the part of the avenger to ensure that one murder does not degenerate into a thousand. The killer follows his victim to the grave and the matter is ended. Contemporary criminal justice has done incalculable harm to our society by thwarting this process.

The death penalty, widely applied, is practical. We have all heard that "it takes more money to execute a prisoner than house him for life." Rubbish. The costs are prohibitive only because of the endless legal games played on behalf of the accused. Torrents of pettifogging gibberish gush forth from bleeding-heart lawyers, who have no time for even a backward glance at their clients. Under a commonsensical legal system, under an Instaurationist legal system, the death penalty would be imposed for premeditated murder, murder accompanied by rape or torture, kidnapping, treason, arson, violent, forcible rape, child molesting and narcotics trafficking. These crimes are seldom committed by accident.

I am not suggesting that if two Hell's Angels get in a shoot-out and one dies, the other should be executed.

Though a brutal homicide, this is clearly second-degree murder. It lacks the chief elements that justify the death penalty. The victim helped bring about his own death by getting involved with such an organization and by participating in a gun fight. The same remarks apply to bar fights, ordinary domestic disputes and various unintentional acts, even if the accused is guilty because of gross irresponsibility. Most of us know what does and does not deserve the headsman's ax. In doubtful cases the solution is simple. Send the crook to prison.

The death penalty will not deter all would-be murderers and rapists. But if it deters 20% or even 5% of them, it is well worth it.

One of the most unpleasant facts about modern society is its lack of nerve and toughness. We are being smothered in a taffy made up of equal parts of hand-wringing, sentimentality, unmanliness, guilt and rampant pantywaistism.

Death in any form is ugly. When carried out by the state, against a (for the moment) helpless man, begging for mercy, it is especially gruesome. Too bad. It is intended to cause dread and terror, to impress all with the wages of the worst sin. No civilized state can do without it.

N.B. FORREST

Can Confederate Movements Survive?

Last year, Larry King hosted what amounted to a two-man debate on the embattled Georgia State Flag. In the scalawag corner was Zell Miller, the pusillanimous governor of Georgia. Representing the "unreconstructed South" was Charles Lunsford, Chairman of the SCV Heritage Committee.

Lunsford and his associates had had more than enough of cacophonous "Southern bashing" and decided to do something about it. Having acquired some belated intestinal fortitude, they had confronted their opponents with cold, calculating logic, never giving an inch, always warning folks, who are a bit skittish about "getting too radical," to "lead, follow or get outta' my way"!

The power of this technique, coupled with an impressive knowledge of Civil War history, was graphically demonstrated on the Larry King show, as Lunsford picked apart Zell's time-worn homilies and dogmas. Lunsford had done his homework so thoroughly that the "hatchet-job-to-be" never got off the ground. His straightforward answers had Zell and Larry squirming in their seats. Foaming at the mouth with little or nothing to say, Zell was truly mystified that someone like Lunsford was allowed to show up at all. "Surely," he must have wondered, "my yarmulke-crowned buddies wouldn't allow me to be humiliated on national television; especially since I've been such a dutiful "shabbaz goy." It was truly an inspiring sight to see both these execrable pundits given the deep-six so well deserved by political pseuds.

Then all of a sudden, while making his case so effectively, Lunsford came out with a statement that sent groans of disappointment and anger cascading throughout the Southland. When Larry asked, "Do you think Martin Luther King was a great man"? Lunsford blurted, "Yes, he was a great man."

Similar words could have been expected from the lily-livered lips of sellout Zell and his band of scalawaggish suppositories, but not from a man who has taken it upon himself to be the great defender of Southern nationalism. Lunsford certainly should have known about King's petty car thievery, his plagiarism and his affiliations both with Soviet Muscovites and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, a Marxist front founded by King and pervert Bayard Rustin.

If Lunsford was aware of the Reverend King's sordid résumé, why on earth would he sabotage his defense of the South by proclaiming that the good "doctor" was a "great man"?

In his book, *By the Grace of God*, another hot-to-trot Southern author, Michael Grissom, devotes eight pages to Jews and Nazi Germany. On one page he expostulates, "The Jews must never forget the Holocaust. and southerners must never forget the War." Note that Grissom capitalizes Jews and Holocaust, but oddly fails to capitalize "southerners." Though it's a sure bet that as Grissom has done immense research on Southerners and "The War," he has done little or no such research on the question of

Jews and the "Six Million." All he did was parrot the standard Holocaust line that has reigned supreme for nearly 50 years.

What is it that compels Southerners to have such a burning desire to evoke alien historiography? Is it because they feel they haven't suffered enough to make their own case? A simple jaunt through any library should quell that argument. Southerners certainly have endured enough *travaux* without having to evoke other people's tribulations. It might be pointed out that the only time marshal law was ever imposed on Americans was in the South.

While every Third World kook in this country is allowed to express himself culturally and politically with federal protection, the symbols and heritage of Southerners are being systematically dismantled and expurgated, mainly at the behest of the very minorities who some Southern leaders have foolishly striven to include in their movement. It is indeed sad times for the talented people of a once resplendent region.

A study of the Southern fall from power reveals that an over-abundance of conservatism ultimately led to the demise of the South. Instead of resisting their oppressors, their uniquely European traits of fair play and compassion kick in and hamstring Southerners as they backslide into oblivion.

The last valiant effort to save Southern society took place during Reconstruction, when an obstreperous population, aligned with the Klan, literally drove the federals out of the South by constant covert and overt civil disobedience. It was proof positive of what a determined and cohesive populace can accomplish in times of great difficulty.

Many romantics like to fondly recall the "great desegregation rebellion" of the 1950s, which pitted angry Southerners against an obtrusive federal government. First of all it was not that "great," encompassing only a fraction of the total population in specific areas. Second, it was too little and too late. Unlike the Southern people in the Reconstruction era, the failure of mid-20th century Southerners to unite racially and culturally to fight a common foe was eventually their undoing. The South's failure to "radicalize" was its death knell.

Unfortunately the leaders of contemporary Southern nationalism have shown a disturbing proclivity to embrace the same tendentiousness that has been so destructive in the rest of America. Almost every pro-Southern publication has an article dealing with issues which can only be regarded as dubious—an article that praises Jewish, black or multicultural "contributions" to the Confederacy.

Regardless of how many nonwhites gave their best efforts to the South, its culture and heritage were products of an overwhelming Eurocentrism. Not a single nonwhite group, and pitifully few nonwhite individuals, can claim Southern loyalty or ancestry.

Southern nationalist leaders point out that blacks were treated better in the South than the North. A few British travelers wrote back to England—almost in horror—at the "over-amicable" treatment of Negroes by both master and

poor white dirt farmer. There are voluminous accounts of loving treatment of slaves by the plantation owners.

While this is all true, it does nothing to hide that however amicably and lovingly Southern whites treated blacks, they never for one moment entertained the idea that Negroes were their equal in any way, shape or form—and neither did blacks. In the minds of white Southerners, the South was their land and the Southern lifestyle was to be maintained forever. Any serious talk about multiculturalism in the South of the 1860s would have been answered by a fusillade of bullets.

What Confederate nationalist leaders should now be doing is sticking to the concept for which most of their forefathers ran headlong into cannon and rifle fire: "The South for Southerners"! If comparisons must be drawn, then let them be drawn from their own rich racial history.

The tragic happenings in Rhodesia and South Africa should raise the consciousness of any fence-sitter and send a strong message to all Southerners. The lessons of those two beleaguered countries should be mandatory reading in Southern schools. They chronicle the destruction of two successful regimes which transformed backward populations of savages into shining outposts of European civility, industry and stability. Then, like the South, they were undermined and attacked because they were white, successful and an embarrassment to liberal ideologues.

Instead of mouthing loaded platitudes about M.L. King, the Holocaust and Lincoln, Southern leaders should be pointing out the iniquitous anti-South bias of the federal government now and in the past—and the desire of Southerners to break free from that government. Instead of regurgitating failed liberal racial and social policies, true-blue Southerners should be stating clearly that they don't want multiculturalism, egalitarianism, affirmative action or centralized government. Instead of genuflecting to the PC pundits, Southerners should look them in the eye and defiantly state: "This is our agenda—these are our demands—and we don't give a tinker's damn what you think about them." Instead of quoting from people who hate them, they should stick to lionizing their own cultural kith and kin. In the words of Southerner Mary Boykin Chesnut writing in 1860: "We would only be too grateful to be let alone. Only let us alone. We ask no more, of Gods or men."

If the leaders of current Confederate nationalism feel they must inject their cause with alien propaganda in order to salvage legitimacy, if they continue to allow charlatans to infiltrate their groups and infect their people with leftist notions and doctored history, if they cannot muster the guts to tackle what must be done to effect real change for Dixie and her people, then any and all Confederate, pro-Southern and pro-white movements will fail spectacularly. As this country slowly slips into barbarism, so will Southerners if they do not find the courage to ignore the labels, disregard the naysayers and move in the direction of racial and cultural solidarity.

Why the Militias?

Before the Oklahoma City bombing the existence of militia groups in this country was little known. Since their discovery I have encountered a variety of reactions that range from surprise, rage and horror to even a few cases of let's-get-the-bastards' approval. To all but these last I say the following:

Let's, first of all, ask ourselves why these groups came into being. Today's Ku Klux Klan members are often little more than low-level street thugs dressed in silly costumes, whose antics give all their would-be allies a bad name. The founders of the KKK, however, comprised a collection of the finest and most honorable citizens of the South. Their aim was not to see how miserable they could make life for the freshly emancipated Negro but, rather, to protect their turf and their families from marauding Yankee carpetbaggers.

Most couples' choice of where to settle and buy a house is predicated on the quality of the school in that locality. Try to imagine how young Los Angeles parents felt when, having purchased a home that was far from cheap, school busing laws were enacted and their children had to be transported 25 miles across that urban sprawl. In addition to plummeting academic standards, parent-teacher rapport dwindled and vanished.

Real estate laws and practices, too, have changed over recent decades. If, for example, I want to sell my house, my guess is that, given the neighborhood that

I live in, my neighbors would prefer that I sell to a white person. If a Negro, on the



other hand, were to make me an offer and I turned him down, then, by law, I may not sell to a white at a lower price. The same laws apply if I try to favor people in a geriatric community or refuse to sell to parents with young children. Or single parents. Or homosexual couples.

Over the past 35 years or so we have seen increasingly brazen bias in news reports on television along with the constant growth of that medium's influence on our lives. Day after day it relentlessly twists the news, omitting what it does not condone, prescribing with its own slant what we may approve, what we may laugh at and what language we may or may not use. As it heaps affection on AIDS sufferers, the traveling quilt, which commemorates those who have died of

the disease, is now more sacred than the Shroud of Turin. No luckless individual who brought home a dose of clap or syphilis a few decades ago was so canonized! His status was as low as that of today's cigarette addict!

Our history has fallen into the hands of educators who hate our history. Our urban classrooms have become jungles. Kids are being shot at as they sit at their desks. Some English teachers sorely need as much instruction in our language as their students.

The modern militia member is someone who has watched the erosion of his country's time-tested customs and values, watched the disintegration of what he considered his god-given rights and watched the apathetic public acceptance of the dismantlement of our culture over the years. He is not someone who awoke one morning, called several of his friends and suggested, "Let's go out and be mean today!" I think the more likely opening line was, "We're being smothered. What can we do about it?"

Like everyone else, I was appalled by the senseless action in Oklahoma City. I hope the perpetrators are swiftly put to death. But I have a queasy feeling that we may see imitative behavior as long as we continue on our present course. It is time to ask ourselves what triggers such drastic and destructive action? Blaming it on Rush Limbaugh isn't the answer!

190

Sexual Exploits of Black Teenagers

A recent Washington Post story on the effectiveness of various contraceptive methods and devices among teenage black girls in the D.C. ghetto found that the Norplant birth control implant was vastly more effective in preventing pregnancies than any other method. This study of 100 young Negroes also found that the average age they began having sex was 13. By age 16 each had had an average of 20 partners. Nothing, by the way, indicated that this group represented an extreme sampling of sexual promiscuity.

In response to a Post op-ed piece by former HEW Secretary Joseph Califano, who insisted that most teenage pregnancies were accidental, a retired teacher

with 25 years in D.C. schools wrote that in her experience most of the school pregnancies that she had witnessed were very much wanted, both by the teenager (who thereby "proved her love") and by the grandmother-to-be, whose daughter would now become an AFDC cash cow. As for the teenage Negro fathers, the woman explained, they were the "top of the line" males who decisively established their masculinity by having children with a harem of different girls.

The mainstream media, perhaps in an effort to reassure honkies nervous about sky-high Negro proliferation, never stop telling us about the "growing numbers of the black middle class." Even if true, this

really boils down to little more than Negroes getting a cornucopia of government and private jobs, courtesy of affirmative action. For all of this whistling past the graveyard, however, the time bomb of the American Negro lumpenproletariat—quite possibly both the most extensive and the most dangerous experiment in dysgenics in all of human history—keeps ticking ever more loudly. To label the values and behaviors of that growing stratum of society "animalistic" is an outrageously unfair insult to the by-and-large harmless denizens of our fields and forests. Unless this demographic time bomb is somehow defused, life in the American future bids fair to becoming a very unpleasant experience.

Hitler and the Nazi Poofs

The N.Y. Post is fairly unique among Zoo City papers in that it consistently maintains a "conservative" line on its editorial pages. But anyone at least potentially favorably disposed towards it for that reason should be forewarned that it also promulgates mind-numbing Zionism every bit as intensely as, say, Martin Peretz's New Republic. Yet the Post has a certain inherent interest in reconciling Semitism and conservatism, given that Diaspora Jewry has historically exhibited a powerful predilection for the Red side of the political rainbow.

One day the Post published a letter which was a unique and memorable example of its tortured effort to harmonize Judaism and American conservatism. It was written by the director of some Jewish "pro-family" and anti-homosexual group (which perhaps consists of little more than that director and an empty post office box). As part of his overall case against Third Sexers, the writer discoursed on what he believed was the widespread influence of homos in Hitler's Reich. As evidence, he cited Ernst Röhm and other SA leaders, while also mentioning the prominent roles of queers in run-

ning many concentration camps.

Whatever the historical merits of his argument, I found it incredibly fascinating. As most of us are aware, the liberal-minority coalition invariably castigates the Nazi regime for its prejudice against homos. Any number of proofs are cited, from the closing down of Magnus Hirschfeld's sexual research institute in Berlin and the pink triangles some fags were forced to wear to all sorts of wild charges about the number of pansies given an overdose of Zyklon B in the death camps.

Since it is an established article of faith in the neo/lib/min creed that ultranationalist, racist and anti-Semitic political movements are ipso facto "homophobic," it was baffling to read that some Jewish conservative group, even if it was little more than a lone eccentric, actually attempted to excoriate homosexuality by linking it to Nazidom.

If nothing else, this letter is a reminder of the curious and omnipotent position Der Führer occupies in the collective Jewish psyche. Those who support the homosexual cause make a big point of Hitler's opposition. Those who oppose it claim that Hitler was not at all fazed by it.



Not the anti-Christ, but the anti-Messiah

Jewish theology informs us that the Chosen are still eagerly awaiting their Messiah. In actual practice, the contemporary Jewish mind often seems to be fixated on the anti-Messiah.

121

The Time Must Come When the Goodies Dry Up

Is altruism a luxury that we as a society can no longer afford? Can an argument be made that nurturing and assisting the disadvantaged among us is immoral because it damages our social order? Does society, per se, have a responsibility to the "unfortunate"? Paul Galloway in the Chicago Tribune says our responsibility "is so deeply imbedded in our national consciousness and culture, so much a part of our national ethic, that no one in public life will question it, conservative or liberal, Republican or Democrat, believer or non-believer."

I may not be in public life, but I have reached the point where I definitely question the concept of productive people having to work for the "unfortunate" on an ever increasing scale. To my mind, more and more of the poor are unworthy of the largess the government strips from our workers to pass out to those who claim to have less than their "fair" share

of society's goods.

What is particularly disconcerting is the role of government in this increasingly dreary picture. For centuries Western charity was strictly on a voluntary basis. People in need turned to family and friends. Now it is compulsory, the state dictating how much an individual must give.

I am ever more disenchanted with the whole concept of altruism. Leaving gleanings in the field for the widow is one thing, but being forced—frequently at the expense of you or your family—to provide for millions of people, many of whom are able-bodied, is something else.

The unavoidable if brutal reality is that large numbers of the poor deserve their poverty. All too many lack the intelligence or ambition to make it through life on their own, even in what used to be the richest country in the world.


There are several unpleasant aspects

of the underclass that we are reluctant to face. Do not the overwhelming majority of our violent criminals come from their ranks? Isn't their penchant for violence manifested by the continual vandalism of their surroundings? These destructive impulses, coupled with sloth and apathy, guarantee their life-long existence in slums.

The situation is grim and getting grimmer day by day. Despite some feeble rear-guard actions by a few states, we are still paying through the nose to the non-productive who go about outbreeding us. Our open borders invite millions of Third Worlders to continue to pour into the country, bringing with them the poverty, crime, illiteracy and anarchy of their homelands.

All of which eventually forces Majority members to ask the all-important question: "At what point does altruism become stupidity?"

323



Trash the Vile Pix

Halfway through reading the March 1995 *Instauration*, I felt strongly compelled to write you. The first rush of disgust occurred when I saw the picture on page 17 of two men about to kiss. That one [Larry King] is Jewish and the other [Marlon Brando] is a race-mixer doesn't matter. If that photo wasn't enough, I turn to page 21 [the black embracing the semi-clad blonde in the Jordache ad] and see something even more disgusting.

I live in the same degenerate society as you do. I see the same vile acts on a regular basis. These types of photos are available in most every mainstream magazine. Why does *Instauration* decide to pollute its pages with such "art." Couldn't you just have pictures of whites doing normal things?

One reason I read *Instauration* is for news that I wouldn't find in the controlled media. Another is to read the words of fellow whites who still have some life left in them. Often this helps pull me through the horrid nightmare I endure everyday. In this way *Instauration* gives me and probably many other readers a psychological boost. Those photos may have invoked resentment towards integration back in the 50s. Now they are mainstream. They won't shock whites out of the anguishing death grip that has been fastened on them. Therefore I respectfully request *Instauration* not to chip away at one of the few enjoyments I have in this world.

Just for the record, I vote for Bosnian Serb leader, Radovan Karadzic, as "Man of the Year." He has been standing up to massive U.S. and Zionist pressure for many a moon. They haven't managed to buy him out yet. He continues to defy them.

920

Defender of Mensa

I am a member of Mensa as well as a frequent contributor to its publication. Judson Hammond (March 1995) states that Mensa members, as far as he could learn, are "a hopeless lot of misfits, malcontents and underachievers."

Members of this high-IQ group come in a variety of shapes and sizes and belong to both genders. Mensans even include a few prisoners, alcoholics and homosexuals. They do not all agree with or like each other. Their most common link is that nearly all are Caucasians of Northern European ancestry. Members have a variety of social and political views as well as interests. The *Mensa Bulletin* lists over 100 Special Interest Groups (SIGs) members can join. No SIG is Jewish or deals with non-white topics. Some groups concentrate on eugenics, survivalism and separatist communes; others on anti-gun control, atheism and Western European culture. A few express opinions on the untouchable and unpopular.

Instauration made reference (March 1995) to the flack caused when the female editor of the Los Angeles Mensa bulletin suggested that people "who are so mentally defective that they cannot live in society should, as soon as they are identified as defective, be humanly dispatched."

Intelligence is part of the racial genetic makeup individuals inherit and pass on. Like other genetic factors, IQ can be diluted through improper selection of a mate. With the majority of *Instaurationist* subscribers claiming to be evolutionists and/or Nietzscheans, I find it mystifying that Judson Hammond would disparage whites in the top learning ability percentile, whose only offense is to have joined together not only to share common

interests, but also in many cases to find mates with whom to pass on their combined superior genes for future generations. On these pages we repeatedly read bemoanings over the loss of white breeding stock due to interracial mating. The implication is our race would be benefited if these self-hating individuals would stick to marrying whites. Anyone who thinks the offspring of defective whites can be "saved" is an environmentalist, not an evolutionist.

Hammond should have been satisfied with his statement that, "Civilization advances when men of superior intelligence find productive uses for their leisure time. . . ." His remarks about Mensa members, and by implication all who are qualified to join, are not to his credit.

EDWARD KERLING

All Is Not Well in Germany

Zip 918 writes, "Is any other nation on earth forced to support and nurture illegal immigrants?" (March 1995). You ain't seen nothing until you check out Germany. This small country, overpopulated, about half of the size of Texas, this Fatherland of mine, is crammed with blacks, Asians, Gypsies and other East Europeans, Turks and Kurds. The politicians in Germany (don't call them Germans) bend over backwards to support all these legals and illegals. They get free room, food, clothing and all-expenses-paid medical care. When a Gypsy Chief has to go to the hospital, the whole clan camps in the halls. These invaders even get free glasses, false teeth (many are old) and heaven knows what else, all thanks to the German taxpayer. The politicians with their high salaries would not dream of living close to these aliens who will never assimilate. Meanwhile, Germans are losing their jobs, as many companies relocate to where labor is cheap.

That is not all. How would you feel, Zip 918, when, 50 years after the end of an infamous war if your country was still occupied? What else can you call it? In this year of our Lord, 1995, 80,000 American troops, many of them jungle bunnies, 30,000 British, 18,000 French and other Europeans are stationed in Germany. The Germans have to shell out more than 1 billion Deutschmarks a year for all these foreign troops, not to mention the cost of the more than 80,000 apartments that they and their families occupy.

After 45 years of brainwashing in schools, universities and the Bundeswehr School for Officers, most Germans have forgotten how to think. The army officers are taught by a man born in Israel, who teaches them—of all things—history! Zip 752 bemoans that the Confederate flag cannot be flown because the people who try to fly it are called racists. In Germany all old flags are banned, not only the Hakenkreuzfahne. The swastika is one of the oldest symbols in the world. Patriotic organizations in Germany are also banned. People are still afraid to open their mouths for fear of losing their jobs or being jailed. The politicians call this "the freest Germany that ever was."

781

Waffles on Christianity

I have noticed over the years an anti-Christian tone in *Instauration*. Since I am a Christian this grieves me. Western culture which *Instauration* ardently defends has always been undergirded by Christianity. In all honesty, however, I must admit I can

understand the alienation from the absurd beliefs dogmatically taught by Judeo-Christians today. For one thing Christians have always been ridiculed by unbelievers for thinking that a man, Jesus Christ, could be perfect. Since I believe that Jesus is God Incarnate, I have no problem with this. But the new doctrine of the Judeo-Christians appears to be that every Jew who ever lived is perfect. I choke on that one. The other point of criticism I can understand is the belief that every syphilitic or AIDS-ridden prostitute, male or female, in the world should be admitted into America. The theory is that they will watch Christian TV broadcasts and be "saved" for all eternity. Christ said: "For ye have the poor always with you" (Matthew 26:11). Readers will recognize this as His acknowledgment of the effects of IQ distribution, health factors and social problems, such as alcoholism. Christ also said, "Go ye into all the world and preach the gospel to every creature" (Mark 16:15). It seems to me that if my fellow religionists were not so darn lazy, they would "go into all the world" instead of encouraging the federal government to bring every malcontent here and prop them in front of a welfare-bought boob tube.

Are we Americans actively promoting our own destruction? I very much appreciated the reproduction of the Jordache ad. As a Christian woman, I would never have seen that ad in a pornographic magazine. Since, thanks to you, I have seen it, I will never buy another Jordache product. This is the kind of information Instauration should publish. Why not have a Dirty Dozen of those who undermine Western Culture? We can then embargo the products of the offenders. I would like to nominate the Disney Co. to head the list. It is constantly putting out programming loaded with potentially dangerous subliminal messages for children. The most blatant example is the Pocahontas story, which obviously pushes race-mixing. So does *Beauty and the Beast*. The message seems to be, "Find someone as bizarre and weird and different from yourself as possible and you will have your true love." Unfortunately, it is too late to save Nicole Brown Simpson, but how many other beautiful blue-eyed blonde girls must find their "true love" in the arms of a "beast" before we wake up? Let us have a "Blackguards' List" of corporations who threaten our values. If the only thing these corporations understand is loss of profits, let us retaliate in the only way they understand! Let us hide behind our checkbooks in the same way that Old Southerners hid behind their sheets.

934

Country Lowdown

"PCing Country Music" (April 1995) was right on the mark. The Chosen do have a lock on the entire entertainment/music business. Music is an extraordinarily powerful vehicle for racial cohesiveness, inspiration and action, or at least can be. Real country music belongs exclusively to whites and their culture.

I've carefully observed the country music explosion in recent years. Without a doubt it has been meticulously promulgated, manipulated and exploited by Jews—with nary a protest from the superrich packaged performers and the brainless Joe and Mary Six-Packers. The bulk of this so-called country music isn't country at all, but standard rock and pop with an occasional twanging guitar or pedal steel riff thrown in to deceive the goyim. In direct proportion to the increase in popularity of this music and its related affectations, it has become steadily more non-descript, multicultural and politically correct. Millionaire country stars in their cowboy hats and Western attire are no different than the hypocritical rock stars. These maggots piously preach tolerance for all races and for every type of sexual deviant. They know full well that their wealth insulates them from the consequences of such insanity. They pad their bands with blacks and

nonwhites smugly secure in the knowledge that not a mud person in a hundred thousand could afford to live within ten miles of their ostentatious estates. The bland, pudgy feminoid Mary Chapin Carpenter was propelled to stardom with her song, *He Thinks He'll Keep Her*, a salute to militant, Judaic feminism. A wife and the mother of three children, she feels she is an unfulfilled slave and walks out on her family to "find herself" in the workforce. This chubby bitch who hails from Princeton (NJ) readily admits that she has not been with a man in years. Billy Ray Cyrus is just a bubble-gum Bruce Springsteen. The music of Kathy Mattea is completely void of any country influence. I could go on and on. An older female country singer, Barbara Mandrell, put *I Was Country When Country Wasn't Cool* on the music map. The title should have been, *I Was Country Before Country Was Minority-ized*.

089

Boycott Nashville

Edward Kerling's music article (April 1995) forgot to mention Charlie Daniels. His early 1970s record, *Uneasy Rider*, made fun of John Birchers, Klansmen, Wallace supporters, Southern Baptists and Daniels' fellow Southerners in general. I've known something was rotten in Nashville for quite a while. Thanks for filling in all the nauseating details. Instaurationists should not buy any records, tapes or CDs of any contemporary entertainers. To do so enriches the Jewish music companies and Majority renegade performers.

074

Jesse Isn't All Bad

I read with sadness, "Devious Helmsmanship" (April 1995), about Senator Jesse Helms's promise to cut all foreign aid except Israel's, which he wants to insert into the Pentagon's already bloated budget. Despite this, I still like Jesse. Back in 1982, when the Simpson-Mazzoli Immigration "Control" Act was before the Senate, with its insane amnesty for illegal aliens, Jesse was its loudest, strongest critic.

Some months ago I wrote a few "racist" letters to House and Senate members. Helms was one of the three congressmen who replied. Senator Dole thanked me for my observations and promised to keep them in mind. Part of Senator Mitch McConnell's (R-KY) response read:

On occasion, I receive letters where I find myself in such complete disagreement with the views expressed that I can think of no reasonable way to respond. This is such an instance.

The senior senator from North Carolina's letter surprised me. Some excerpts:

The New York Times, which many regard as a sort of New York City branch office of the U.S. State Dept., has never left any stone unthrown at me because of my disdain for things like wasteful foreign aid and my unyielding insistence that U.S. foreign policy be based on what's best for America and the American people. . . . For 22 years, I have been a burr under the saddles of the liberal news media. They have always gone to extremes in their criticism. They disagree with me—and I disagree with them. I have never tried to curry favor with them—and I never will. . . . I believe with all my heart that the strength of America will never be rebuilt unless and until there is a restoration [instauration?] of moral and spiritual values. . . .

I plan to keep in touch with Jesse. A few letters from other Instaurationists to him wouldn't hurt. Who knows? A few months before his retirement he might possibly say, "What the hell!" and start blasting his enemies on C-Span. I can dream, can't I?

420



Reverend Kowtower

A while back I saw a copy of a big N.Y. Times ad demanding that Jonathan "I Spy" Pollard be sprung from jail instanter and his life sentence be commuted to time served. The names of many Jewish potentates appeared in the ad, along with many Jewish organizations. Near the top of the 98% Semitic roster was the name of Rev. Pat Robertson, listed as a member of the steering committee. This prompted me to write a letter to the philo-Semitic clergyman, who was ungratefully denounced as an anti-Semite by *über alles* Jews. He replied as follows:

Thank you for your letter of February 13th concerning Jonathan Pollard. Having studied the facts carefully concerning the case, it is my feeling that he is a victim of gross injustice and cruel and unusual punishment. His offense consisted of telling our closest ally in the Middle East about military buildups in Iraq and Syria that threatened the very existence of Israel.

We acknowledge that what Mr. Pollard did was a violation of the law, but there is no question in my mind that he has been made a scapegoat and has been given unbelievable excessive punishment for what he did. I feel that justice and human compassion demands [sic] that his sentence be commuted to time already served in prison.

Upon reading this, I did not say "Amen."

420

Tally Ho!

The ADL 1994 scoreboard: 2,066 anti-Semitic incidents, including 143 in 79 universities and colleges; 141 arrests for anti-Semitic crimes; 869 incidents against Jewish institutions and property. Forty-seven states and the District of Columbia have hate crime laws. The ADL, itself anti-Christian, does not list anti-Catholic or anti-Protestant acts.

Black Supermarkets?

A favorite theme of civil righters is to remind blacks that the Afro community has enough consumer buying power to make considerable inroads in the national economy—if only the folks in the ghetto would patronize their own businesses. Blacks as businessmen is hardly a new idea. The same proposal was advanced back in the early 1930s by Tuskegee Institute's Robert Moton, who observed, "The Negro spends more than a billion and a half dollars for groceries each year." He advised solving the problem by establish-

ing all-Negro chain stores. Today, 63 years later, it's still an idea whose time has not yet come.

Speaking of chain stores, not long ago I was wandering the endless aisles of my neighborhood emporium when I espied a fattish black woman helping herself to a three-some of T-bone steaks, carefully placing the items inside her coat. A bit mischievously, I whispered to her, "Trying them on for size?"

I.H.

Ubiquitous Hate Museums

One unseasonably warm day in February, I was window-shopping in upscale, quaint, boutiquey Snider Plaza in Dallas. The shop windows displayed schedules and posters for the local high school sports teams, as well as various other community announcements, all of which enhanced the pseudo-village atmosphere. As I casually catalogued the posters, I noticed one that was egregious, to say the least. It was advertising the 50th anniversary of the liberation of WWII concentration camps. The sponsors were the Dallas Memorial Center for Holocaust Studies, the ADL and a couple of local synagogues and Jewish social groups. (Yes, we have our own museum, no need to go to Washington.)

By a strange coincidence the poster was on display in the window of a German restaurant, whose owner is a German immigrant, currently a Mormon, who had once belonged to the Hitler Youth.

If the Dresden firebombing, the Morgenthau Plan and the Eisenhower death camps didn't get him, Texas Jewry will!

I.H.

Catholic Vote Switch

Catholics composed 4% of the Republicans in Congress in 1947; 22% today (9 senators, 55 representatives). Catholic Democrats in Congress are down to 85. A recent poll indicated 54% of white Catholic voters opted for Republicans in the last election. U.S. Catholics, who now number 58 million, used to vote en bloc for Democrats. A traditional fixture of American politics is being unfixed.

Corporate Renegade

Edwin Artzt, CEO of Procter & Gamble, received the 1995 Martin Luther King Jr. Salute to Greatness Award after his soap company had commissioned the award and contributed \$500,000 to the

widow King's Children's Campaign. How much less would P&G products or for that matter the products of any U.S. corporation cost, if their bleeding-heart CEOs did not accumulate Brownie points by pouring millions into "worthy" minority causes?

Shearing the Sheep

Microsoft magnate Paul Allen has agreed to buy \$500 million of DreamWorks' shares. Still little more than a figment of Hollywoodian imagination, DreamWorks is the entertainment catchall of a trio of Jews: record magnate and self-proclaimed fag, David Geffen, hate-film impresario Steven Spielberg and ex-Disney Co. showbiz whiz, Jeffrey Katzenbach. The three Chosenites will put up only a fraction of the money that Paul Allen, the Gentile who may be headed for the cleaners, has.

Inter-Minority Feuding

James J. Brown, an 18-year-old denizen of Dallas, was given a life sentence for killing Clemencia Mederos in what was described as a racially motivated murder. Brown was black; Mederos Hispanic. A racial murder with a different twist took place in Lubbock (TX). Two Hispanics and one white went hunting for blacks, killed one and wounded two. The accused may expect tougher than usual sentences. Their lawbreaking comes under the federal statute that has created 60 new death penalty crimes.

Charity for His Mistress

A federal court jury nailed William Aramony on 25 counts of defrauding United Way, which he ran for 22 years. Evidence showed he had flitted around the country with a 17-year-old female "consultant" whom he at one time paid \$89,000 for doing two months' work. Aramony is apparently of Middle Eastern descent. His mother's maiden name was Nazley Farrah. His divorced wife is Bebe Najem. Aramony's replacement as United Way president is Elaine Chao.

Good Riddance

Scalawag Howell Heflin (D-AL) announced he will retire from the Senate next year. Two of his close senatorial pals were broken-hearted. Paul Wellstone (J-MN) wailed split infinitively, "Judge, I am going to really miss you." Carol Moseley-Brown (N-IL) chirped, "My mother would have been very proud to know Judge Heflin." Heflin earned his 15 minutes of oratorical fame when he sounded off on "Gucci-wearing, Mercedes-driving, Perrier-

drinking, Aspen-skiing, richy-rich Republicans who eat broccoli."

Professor Angela

Angela Davis is the black harpy who furnished the gun that blew off the head of a judge in the infamous 1970 Marin County courthouse putsch. (Her lover, George Jackson, was the actual killer.) Despite her less than reassuring history, she is now a tenured professor at the University of California at Santa Cruz. A vice presidential candidate on the Communist Party ticket in 1980 and 1984, she now presides over something called "The History of Consciousness Program." In 1979, Ms. Davis was the proud recipient of the Lenin Peace Prize.

Bombast

The right-wing is making a big noise about Waco, while the left-wing makes a bigger noise about what happened in Oklahoma City. Conveniently unnoticed by the anti-government bunch is that Koresh and his end-of-the-worlders were an extremely motley crew that included blacks, Asians and white ethnics of every stripe. Yet we are supposed to believe that they were all Majority martyrs. Koresh was simply a copycat Jim Jones, although the former's Negro followers were not as numerous as the latter's, and the firestorm in Waco didn't incinerate as many of Koresh's congregation as Jones lost in the mass suicide in Jonestown.

Why is Weaver a right-winger? Did hunkering down in the woods make Thoreau an arch conservative? According to the latest count there are some 12,000 militia members in 25 states. Some of these militias have signed up Negroes, Hispanics and even Indians.

In the media's deliberately distorted perspective a hint of fascism and anti-Semitism is always attached to gun-slingers and bombers. The Weathermen fitted the bill perfectly, but somehow they were described as radical leftists, not radical right-wingers, and therefore much less menacing.

McVeigh, blond and blue-eyed, had to be a racist in media parlance, but were the World Trade Center bombers racists? In the West Bank and Gaza they are called freedom fighters. The stereotype fades even more when it comes to Terry Nichols, presently behind bars as a material witness. Nichols' second and current wife is a Filipina. What kind of a white racist marries a brown Asian?

John Doe #2 may or may not exist. Rumor hath it that McVeigh's bomber buddy had a deep tan and spoke with an accent.

It's too early to do any definitive sleuth-

ing about the Oklahoma bombing, except to say that it wasn't a racist plot. Despite the hate-inciting allusions of Injun Dan and his White House buddy, some confused, simple-minded bozos pulled off the job to avenge the deaths of a group of multiracial religious nuts and a loner's wife and son.

Footnote: The minorities couldn't wait to get into the act by claiming that the Oklahoma City blast was a Majority plot. The ADL added its two cents by claiming the bombing would not have happened if its "monitoring" (spying) had not been slowed by the revelation of its lawbreaking snooping in San Francisco. Morris Dees asserted he had warned the Justice Dept. a year ago about the militias and had advised that surveillance of right-wingers be increased, although when he was campaign finance director of several Democratic presidential candidates, he called for less federal monitoring of left-wing groups. As for stirring up distrust of the government, nothing remotely approaches the effect of Oliver Stone's movie, JFK and this year's rabble-rousing black film, Panther.

McNamara's Bloodbath

Defense Secretary Robert McNamara was one of the leading advocates of the Vietnam War. Now 25 years later he tells us that he had decided the war was lost at the very moment he was whipping up more war hysteria against the North Vietnamese. Reading between the lines of his new bestselling confessional, *In Retrospect*, indicates that the onetime president of the Ford Motor Co. cared less about the mounting casualties and much more about not wounding the sensibilities of China and Russia. That is why he put so many strategic targets off limits to American airmen.

From the very start McNamara's war became a piecemeal war, the kind that can only result in humiliating defeat. Actually all that was necessary to drive Uncle Ho out of Hanoi and back into the arms of his paymaster, Chairman Mao, was to explode a demonstration H-bomb in the waters off North Vietnam, followed by the threat that, unless the gooks immediately surrendered, the next one would be dropped on Hanoi.

If McNamara had had one ounce of race consciousness, he never would have sent young Americans who shared his genes to die in a war that should never have been fought and, if fought, should never have been lost. Unfortunately he is one of those raceless creatures who would betray their own kind for a friendly word from the network news. Loyalty to their own people is the last thing that comes to their minds. Fifty-eight thousand dead! A mere bagatelle. Send in more

bombers, but hobble them by forbidding them to drop their loads on Hanoi harbor. Fight the war from Washington. As a reward for his "outstanding services," President Johnson got McNamara appointed head of the World Bank, even though at the time he was secretly supporting Robert Kennedy in the upcoming 1968 presidential campaign.

Even worse than what McNamara did in Southeast Asia was his outright treasonable behavior when Israeli warplanes attacked the U.S.S. *Liberty* and killed 34 and wounded 171 Americans. McNamara and his boss, Lyndon Johnson, sent off U.S. fighter planes to rescue the *Liberty* and stop the attack. But they were stopped in mid-flight when it was discovered the attacking warplanes did not belong to some Arab or Muslim country but to Israel. Better to let Americans die than to tangle with the Israelis. Think of what the Jewish lobby might do in the next election if one hair on the head of one Israeli was touched.

With such craven proditors as McNamara in charge of this country, it's a wonder it has managed to endure.

Pseudo-Conservative Magazine

The U.S. will soon have a new "conservative" magazine, *The Standard*. Guess who will be the publisher? William Kristol, 42, longtime guru of Dan Quayle and now chief guru of the Republican Party at large. Kristol is one of those Jewish Firsters whose duty it is to keep Republicans in lockstep in support of Israel. Fred Barnes, the "conservative" who has spent much of his journalistic life working for the fervently Zionist New Republic, will be executive editor, which means the new mag will be able to boast it is not all Jewish—just overwhelmingly so. Deputy editor will be John Podhoretz, the son of Norman Podhoretz, editor of the all-Jewish *Commentary*. Financial backer to the tune of \$3 million is Rupert Murdoch, billionaire mediocrat whose pretty blonde daughter married a Negro.



Israeli Foreign Minister Peres gives Clinton his orders before the latter's speech at one of those almost quotidian Jewish gabfests



Unjust Jurors

Ronald Freeman, a black from Georgia, murdered seriatim a Pakistani and three white store clerks in cold blood after they had opened their cash registers to give him change. With one exception, the jury wanted to give him the death sentence. He was saved from the chair by one lone black. Justice was also not served in the trial of four Florida Negroes who murdered a British tourist. Three of the accused confessed. The trial of the triggerman ended in a mistrial when black jurors deadlocked. Altogether nine European tourists have been murdered in Florida in the last 14 months.

Fearful Syndrome

Fed up at kids who threw garbage, bricks, broken bottles and other refuse at his Baltimore home, Nathaniel Hurt, a 63-year-old black janitor, fired his .357-caliber Magnum at a rampaging 13-year-old busy breaking his windows. Shot in the back, the young black died before the ambulance arrived. Hurt's lawyer resorted to the Urban Fear Syndrome in his client's defense, winning an acquittal on the murder, but not on the manslaughter charge. The UFS is a nervous condition supposedly brought on by living in constant fear of neighborhood thugs.

Ambisexuals in the News

Jann Wenner, founder-editor of the \$200-million company that publishes Rolling Stone, left his wife for a man. Another Jewish queer, Mark Harris (born Mark Bleefeld), hopes to inherit part of the estate of the late film comedienne, Martha Raye. Interviewed in a Washington hotel suite, Raye's seventh husband, attired in an ebony opera-length mink coat, discussed his liposuction ordeals. Harris is a stereotype of the bisexuals who cosset and prey on aging divas.

Negro Lynchers

When Americans read of lynchings in the South in the post-Civil War era, they have been conditioned to think of innocent blacks hanged by rabid whites. Such a scenario is misleading. Practically all the lynched have been convicted or accused of major crimes. Over one-third of those lynched were whites. Negroes were often part of the cheering crowd during such hangings.

There were also incidents of Negroes lynching Negroes. The 1892 lynching of J.P.F. Lightfoot, one of the seemingly end-

less "preacher" chariatans Negroes often choose as leaders, is a case in point. In Eastern Arkansas, Lightfoot was hanged when an estimated 3,000 poor blacks learned that the \$3 tickets he had sold them for a boat trip to Africa were worthless.

Two months after Lightfoot died at the end of a rope, two other black preachers used the back-to-Africa scheme to collect thousands of dollars from their "marks." Learning from Lightfoot's mistakes, the two reverends chartered a train and sent a "first group" of 410 blacks to Brunswick (GA) to meet a nonexistent ship. While the train was en route, the two crooks escaped with the loot and avoided Lightfoot's fate.

E.K.

Killer Word

Valerie Johnson of Monessen (PA), a white single mother, was out strolling with her three-year-old son when two "youths," who were trading racial slurs with each other, walked by. The little boy heard what was presumably the "N" word and bounced it back at the blacks, one of whom took offense and smacked Ms. Johnson in the face. She went home and reported the incident to the police. Out for a walk a little later, mother and son were confronted again by one of the black ruffians. This time she was beaten so badly that she died two days later in a hospital. Valerie Johnson was described by a neighbor as "being not a bit prejudiced. She had dated black men."

Causes of Rome's Decline

The present-day cultural decline in America is beginning to bear a distinct resemblance to the decline and fall of the Roman Empire. The many causes of Rome's decline were well outlined by English historian Edward Gibbon a couple of hundred years ago. Recent archaeological investigations have added other probable causes to Gibbon's list.

(1) a decline in soil productivity from overgrazing, improper use of fertilizers and soil erosion;

(2) overpopulation resulting in the spread of disease;

(3) immigration and outright invasions of aliens from more primitive cultures;

(4) introduction and growth of exotic religious ideas and practices from the Eastern Mediterranean that defied the Roman government and undermined the effectiveness of the military;

(5) inflation of the currency by debasing

and issuing excessive amounts of coinage;

(6) a decline in morals, especially among the more affluent, who spent their leisure time exploring new depths of debauchery;

(7) a public debt that reached such proportions that eventually the government had to declare all loans null and void;

(8) the ruinous impoverishment of the middle class by excessive taxation;

(9) the cessation of territorial and business expansion.

All of the above sounds disturbingly familiar.

Mixed Bag

In 1985, Bob and Lynda Cornym received the Thomas Jefferson Award sponsored by a Seattle newspaper. In 1988, HUD presented them with a housing voucher that enabled them to move into a large home vacated by a Catholic priest. The couple was again in the news in 1991 when they received the Spirit of the Nation Award from the Kimberly Clark Corp. This year the Cornymys were one of three county families honored at a Martin Luther King celebration.

What have Bob and Lynda Cornym done that warrants all the favorable attention, including flattering articles and photos in the Seattle press? Although they have three biological children, they have adopted 52 children since 1979, many of them, if not most, from nonwhite countries. The Cornymys make sure that everyone knows that many of their adoptees have physical and mental problems.

Where Do You Belong?

Majority adults grouped according to attitudes towards Jews:

Group 1: Those who don't know Jews run the U.S. (The ignorant)

Group 2: Those who know Jews run the U.S. but don't care. (The apathetic)

Group 3: Those who know Jews run the U.S. but think it's fine. (The pro-Semites)

Group 4: Those who know Jews run the U.S. and don't like it. (The anti-Semites)

As a Group 4 ex-American, expatriate exasperated at our execrable existence and our excessive extraneous exploitation by various and sundry experts, executives, excetera, I exhort: "Majority members, unshackle your shackles and unbind your bonds."

420

Temple and State Fusion

The U.S. has unquestionably been successful in observing the doctrine of separation of Church and State. But has it been equally successful in separating Synagogue

and State? Every day countless hundreds of American high school children, not one of whom has the slightest notion of how many Americans were killed in WWII, pass through the horror chambers of the U.S. Holocaust Museum in the nation's capital to be indoctrinated in the beliefs of Holocaustianity. Since the children have had precious little serious instruction in European history, religion or any other discipline against which to evaluate the exhibits, the Museum vicariously puts a U.S. government imprimatur on all the docudramas, newspaper accounts and movies supporting exterminationist views. It has reached the point where adolescent schoolgirls would much rather play Anne Frank in a school drama than Joan of Arc. It is now far more acceptable to question the divinity of Jesus Christ than the holy legend of the Six Million.

Barbie's Lineage

Originally called Lilli and a semi-pornographic gold-digger type invented in Germany, the doll was eventually "discovered" by an American Jewess, Ruth Handler, president of Mattel Toys, who named her Barbie after her own daughter, Barbara. Ms. Handler, although once indicted and convicted for fraud, went on to become a multimillionaire and prides herself as being the woman who gave dolls breasts. There are now black and Hispanic Barbies, but so far no Jewish one. There is even a living Negro transvestite based on the model of Barbie. He goes by the name of RuPaul.



Barbie in the (black) flesh?

Dark Country

A couple of months ago I rather naively asked if there were any limits or boundaries which the alien-controlled media respect? I illustrated my rhetorical question by calling attention to several new flicks which portrayed blue-black Wesley Snipes as the "All American Boy" and blond Nordic types as vicious, un-American criminals and psychotics. My naiveté was a *fortiori*.

Yet another roorback is currently being dished up to the phlegmatic sheep

known as the American public. This little ditty is entitled *Losing Isaiah* and is sure to warm the cockles of all analogical disciples of Franz Boas. The theme of this intellectual polemic is simple: two women, one black and one white, fight over the parental "rights" to a dusky male pickaninny. Heart-wrenching, *n'est-ce pas?*

Nordic blonde Jessica Lang, who stars in the film, is the latest in a long line of renegades to insult their racial brethren by playing into the hands of our genetic enemies. By portraying the outlandish, improbable or agrestic as "normal," our Talmudic mind-benders are hoping for a full-scale *Aufklärung* of our impressionable youth. I mention our youth because even though Joe and Mary Six-Pack shrug this movie off in typical apolitical fashion, a thorough perulustration of their subconscious would reveal their refusal to buy any part of this Marxist grandstanding. I would think, however, that even lazy, disinterested Six-Packers would have the common sense, if not the decency, to at least alert their kids that what Lang & Co. are trying to sell us is just so much hogwash.

760

Junior Goes Hollywood

Edgar Bronfman Jr., the bossman of Seagram, the Canadian-Jewish booze conglomerate, has stars in his eyes. He wants to get into Hollywood in the worst way and has now made a \$5.6-billion offer to the Japs, who own MCA, for 80% of the company. The deal may go through because the Japs are unhappy about the performance of Lew Wasserman, a onetime bouncer in a Chicago speakeasy, and Sidney Sheinman, the duo who were put in charge of the operation.

Junior's granddad was Sam Bronfman, who made his millions as a bootlegger. Father Edgar Sr. is head of the World Jewish Congress and international Jewry's biggest checkwriter.

To pay for the purchase of MCA (Universal Pictures, several Spielberg films, theme parks and a record company), Junior sold Seagram's substantial holdings in Du Pont for \$8.8 billion in cash and securities. Du Pont accounted for 70% of Seagram's pretax profits last year. Seagram is still holding on to its 15% stake in Time Warner.

Edgar produced a flop movie when he was only 17 and later wrote the lyrics for a forgettable song, *Whisper in the Dark*. In his earlier flirtation with showbiz he married a black actress who bore him three darkies. His second and current wife is an Hispanic. As more and more of what passes for American culture falls

into the hands of creatures like Edgar, we can only say we'd probably be better off culturally if the Japs remain in Hollywood.



Footnote: Now that Iraq is out of the picture, Israel's prime enemy in the Middle East is Iran. Clinton has obligingly tightened the embargo against the Mullahs and banned an upcoming billion-dollar deal between Conoco and Iran. Conoco is owned by Du Pont, and Seagram has or had several directors on Conoco's board. Junior says he knew nothing about the deal, but he must have known about Conoco's buying and selling Iranian oil for years.

Fatal Genderism

Sometimes playing the politically correct card is a matter of life and death. The Navy was so determined to have female fighter pilots that it literally drove Lt. Kara Hultgreen to destroy her F-14 jet fighter and herself. Although she had accumulated four unsatisfactory citations in the training program for taking off and landing on aircraft carriers, when it was time for her to go it alone, the Navy, with its collective ears attuned to Injun Dan & Co., gave Kara the thumbs up signal. On her first attempt to land on the U.S.S. *Abraham Lincoln* she flubbed it, overshot the carrier and crashed to her death. A man with her dismal record of training errors would have been cashiered. But liberal ideology, the equality of the genders and the goal of getting women into combat are the reigning dogmata of the day, to which Lt. Hultgreen had to be sacrificed. Naval officials at first tried to cover up the mess by blaming it on a failed motor. But the truth will out. From the very beginning the Navy gave Lt. Kara Hultgreen the special treatment that resulted in her death.

Texas Palace

More than 100 homes appraised at more than \$100-million dot the landscape of Travis County (TX). The most expensive is the 33,000-sq.-ft. chateau on 120 acres that belongs to Chosenite Michael Dell, the owner of Dell Computer. The next two most expensive dream homes also belong to Jews: Alex Sheshunoff, the owner of a bank consulting firm, and Robert Thomajan, the attorney for the Jewish tax fugitive, Marc Rich. Dell's home, which is not yet totally completed, will have 10 bedrooms, a dozen bathrooms, an entertainment room, a three-story spiral staircase, a 150-ft. indoor swimming pool, an outdoor pool with cabanas and two guard houses at the two entrances. The whole shebang will be protected by a high guard fence and a perimeter road that can be patrolled by security guards.



What Jared Taylor's taboo-smashing *Paved With Good Intentions* did to put the Negro question on the front burner, Peter Brimelow's *Alien Nation* (Random House, N.Y., 1995) has done to define the immigration disaster. Any reader who digests this masterful compendium will never again be an apostle of the open door.

What is happening is that intelligent and responsible people are beginning to speak out on the life-and-death issues facing the American Majority. Not only are they speaking out, but they are being heard. Their works are published by mainstream publishers, which means that the absolutely essential channels of publicity, distribution and promotion are no longer denied them. (*The Dispossessed Majority* and a few other "racist" books have said the same things—and much more—for several decades, but newspapers and magazines refused to carry their ads or review them, thereby forcing them to go underground and depend on word of mouth for sales.)

Peter Brimelow, a senior editor of *Forbes*, is a member in good standing of the communications establishment. He is particularly to be congratulated because in writing his explosive book he has put his job at risk. His employer's editorial policy is by no means anti-immigrant.

A Brit who has become a U.S. citizen, Brimelow has put together a devastating demographic scenario of what a future America will look like, if immigration, legal or illegal, is permitted to continue its present course. The U.S. as we know it, the author writes, and especially as our children and grandchildren will know it, is irrevocably doomed. When the population changes, the nation and culture change. Within another century the U.S., parts of whose largest cities have already become no-man's lands, will join the ranks of the Third World.

It will be an historic tragedy of the first order. As the point race of mankind's advancement for much of the 20th century, Americans put men on the moon and discovered the structure of DNA—and also made some important trail-blazing contributions to the arts. Northern Europeans, even Russians, may be able to take up the evolutionary burden again, as they have done for much of Western history, but the loss of American Nordics will certainly be a crippling blow.

The developing racial tragedy, though not specifically addressed by Brimelow, is shadowed everywhere in his book. Its title, *Alien Nation*, contains the meat and potatoes of his argument. The driving force of the racial sea change is immigration. Brimelow's multitudinous charts dramatically trace the transformation of immigration law by Lyndon Johnson into a welcome mat for exactly the kind of people the U.S. had always wanted to keep out. If Majority members had known what the 1965 immigration law would do to the racial makeup of their country, they would have fought its passage tooth and claw.

As the author points out, the scourge of violent crimes bedeviling this country, including the acts of mass terrorism, would never have been possible if the old immigration barriers had been maintained. If immigration had favored Northern Europeans, as once was the case, the alien criminal bands, especially those composed of Asians, Hispanics, Mafia types and Israelis, would have been forced to restrict their mayhem to their own countries.

What particularly bothers Brimelow is the deadly silence of libertarians and *soi-disant* conservatives on runaway immigration, proving once again that as far as conservatives are concerned, they seem bent on conserving everything but their own country. In regard to the proponents of the Universal Nation, like Ben Wattenburg, Julius Simon and all those who would let in everyone and his brother, Brimelow alludes to their ethnic origins and then more or less clams up. He does, however, quote Earl Raab, a leading Jewish think tanker:

It was only after World War II that immigration law was drastically changed. . . . In one of the first pieces of evidence of its political coming-of-age, the Jewish community has a leadership role in effecting those changes.

Brimelow is not chary of alluding to the immigration sins of the Democratic Party. "The brutal truth is. . . the Clinton administration is a black-Hispanic-Jewish-minority white (Southerners used to call them 'scalawags') coalition."

One of the more interesting parts of *Alien Nation* is where the author shows that the countries furnishing most of the legal and illegal immigrants avalanching into the U.S., will themselves not accept any immigrants. When he asked Chinese, Japanese and Mexican officials about accepting immigrants from the U.S., they practically laughed in his face.

Brimelow's solutions? He wants a cutback of legal immigration in the region of "none" to 400,000 a year. . . . a radical reform of the family unification policy. . . . a sharp reduction in the number of refugees and asylees. . . . no more payments to illegals. . . . no more government-sponsored bilingualism.

Instauration, of course, would go much further. We propose negative immigration—the forced deportation of all illegals and criminals and the forced sterilization of Negro brood mares with no husbands and two or more pickaninnies. There is a hint in Brimelow's book that he would agree with some or all of these sensible proposals, though if he said so openly mediocrats might soon reduce him to the status of a pariah or Instaurationist.

For what Brimelow has written, he deserves a tip of every Majority member's hat. He has given a truckload of ammunition, not only to us but to politicians like Governor Pete Wilson, who are shifting to the anti-immigration, anti-affirmative action side of the political spectrum.

Brimelow, who admits that unchecked immigration might result in another American venture into secession, ends the penultimate chapter of his book on a personal note and chastises those who are bringing this once magnificent example of statecraft close to extinction.

Deep into the 21st century, throughout the lifetime of my little son, American patriots will be fighting to salvage as much as possible from the shipwreck of their great republic. It will be a big wreck, and. . . a lot to salvage. But the struggle must be contrasted sadly with the task of completing the "Great Society" upon which Americans were encouraged to think they were embarking in 1965.

And the politicians and pundits who allowed this to happen truly deserve, and will certainly receive. . . the curses of those who come after.

Malice aforethought is the leitmotiv of much, all too much, of present-day and present-night TV. Although the producers and writers of sitcoms are happy about the money and greatly enjoy the ego trips, their main goal seems to be to downplay, demean and derogate what is left of Western civilization in these parts. What makes their sitcoms so banal is the deliberate injection of envy-fueled minority racism. We tune in to be entertained. Sometimes we are; sometimes we aren't. At all times, however, we get blatant doses of insufferable and unsubtle preachments about our defects and about the minorities' lack of same. It gives the showbiz crowd a big kick to build themselves up, an even bigger kick to tear us down.

Their Achilles' Heel is that it is all make-believe. Their messages don't stick. By far the greater number of rapes, murders, burglaries and other violent crimes are committed against us, not by us. In the long run it is firsthand knowledge, not ridiculously biased news programs and sitcoms, that shape our opinions about ourselves and about them.

How many Majority members now laugh and smirk as TV puts them in the worst possible light? Perhaps as many as 25% now take everything they see on TV not with a grain but with a whole carload of salt. And the percentage grows daily. This means that the messages radiating out of the tube and viewed by millions, often tens of millions, of people are actually inducing a mind-set diametrically opposite to the one the TV culture mulchers have long been peddling.

Lies are only really effective when they are enshrouded in what purports to be the truth. Precious few viewers will buy lies they know to be lies. Malice aforethought is still paramount in medialand, but the media are becoming counterproductive. No one is more pitiful and more ineffective than a soap-box orator whose chief come-on is his clownishness.

On a new prime-time HBO children's show, *Happily Ever After, Fairy Tales for Every Child*, Little Red Riding Hood has been changed into a Chinese girl who carries some wonton to grandma. The wolf is no longer the heavy. Little Red Riding Hood is scolded for taking the wrong path and arriving too late to prevent the wolf from doing his thing. The evil giant in *Jack and the Bean Stalk* snarls, "Fe-fi-fo-fum, I smell the blood of an African," adding later, "a little brown boy would be perfect for eating." Jack himself is the son of a black sharecropper. Hansel and Gretel have become Hanselito and Gretelita and do a mean rumba.

American TV's perversion of European folk tales was highlighted when BBC tried to sell its hit children's show, based on a popular nursery book by Enid Blyton, to foreign buyers. PBS was interested, but objected to Big Ears, one of the characters. The network was worried about accusations of disability discrimination or "earism." BBC officials vaguely promised to cooperate in making its product more readily palatable to U.S. political correctness.

Will we ever stop hearing about so-called right-wing babblers dominating the air waves? What about Larry King, who has a nightly prime-time show on CNN? *Larry King Live* is broadcast across America and beamed to 122 countries around the world. His three-hour nightly radio spiel



has an audience of 3.5 million and is aired on 355 radio stations in North America. And what about the network news? The anchors of all three, despite the alleged conservatism of Peter Jennings, veer sharply to the left. Larry King, by the way, was arrested in Miami some years ago for pulling off a \$5,000 scam. The statute of limitations saved him from a stay in the Big House. No one knows how many rubber checks he bounced while he presided over a local talk show in south Florida. Last March he married what he said was his fifth wife, but was probably his sixth or seventh.

Walt Disney Co., not satisfied with having minority mind-benders occupying practically all the executive suites, appointed Dennis Hightower, a Negro, to run all its TV operations.

From Zip 606. Asked on a PBS nature program about the invasion of African bees in southern Texas, an entomologist commented: "(1) Both bee species have complex social hierarchies; (2) African bees are exponentially more likely to attack and sting a human than European bees; (3) African bees produce two to three times the offspring of European bees; (4) European bees produce much more honey; (5) The only way to reduce African bees' violent behavior would be to infuse the queen with the DNA of a European queen.

This should put a bee in every Instaurationists bonnet.

From Zip 420. The lead story of *NBC Nightly News* (April 7, 1995) centered on the Contract with America and Newt Gingrich's "revolution" (the 100 daze). If this was a revolution, Reagan's invasion of Grenada was WWII!

From Zip 121. Every day the television section of the N.Y. Post carries a column listing the various topics and guests appearing on daytime talk shows. As most, if not virtually all, of these yelling matches have degenerated into sensationalized, rating-mad freak shows, scanning these listings is invariably a rather amusing, though somewhat depressing, experience. One day while looking through the program schedules with their parade of transvestites, trans-

sexuals, bisexuals, homosexuals and husbands having affairs with their babysitters, I noticed that both Phil Donahue and Sally Jessy Raphael were actually addressing public affairs topics. But hold the applause until you learn the nature of these topics. Sally's show was advertised as an in-depth discussion of reparations to Negroes for their uncompensated work during slavery. Phil's topic was "blacks who are harassed when they move into white neighborhoods."

To read about Sally and Phil's sudden but certainly short-lived concession to serious broadcasting is to experience a great weariness. At a time when Negro incompetence, vulgarity, misbehavior and other forms of negativism make the U.S. a more distressing and downright dangerous place to live, how much longer can the Phils and Sally Jessys—and indeed, pretty much the entire mainstream media—hang on to their distorted universe of oppressed and saintly Negro choir boys and rabid, hateful Klansmen and red-necks?

The Post's TV listings on that particular day left me with the lugubrious and cynical feeling that, as long as the liberal-minority coalition maintains its iron grip on the mass media and continues its demoralizing bombardment of the American Majority, we are, at least for the time being, better off with sex and sensationalism than with the more overt, politically inspired attacks upon our well-being, our peoplehood and our peace of mind.

Ever since Newt Gingrich & Co. threatened to turn off the spigot of public funding for PBS, I have found myself in a state of profound ambivalence. I am a regular watcher of both the *MacNeil/Lehrer NewsHour*, which is covering itself with glory these days by scarcely mentioning the O.J. Simpson farce and devoting an hour each night to the intelligent late-night interviews of *Charlie Rose* (who isn't). In prime time the only listings I bother to check are those of my local PBS outlet. If it should go off the air for lack of money, I might give up watching TV altogether. Commercial TV is little more than a wasteland peopled by Connie Chung (Mrs. Maury Povich), wretched Jewish-scripted sitcoms and low-IQ talk shows.

That said, I can't help but enjoy watching PBS bigwigs squirm as they try not to lose their government financing, while beefing up their private and foundation contributions. My criterion for allocating funds to nonprofit outfits boils down to what, if anything, has it been doing to help the beleaguered American Majority? When PBS begs for my my bucks, I think about all those Holocaust three-handkerchiefs and the seemingly endless number of Negro "self-esteem" shows (most of which attack *our* self-esteem) and about the "consensus of liberalism" that undergirds the political programs. At times I feel that Majority members shouldn't part with a dime to PBS, the network that has proved how much it loves Negroes over the years. Let the Negroes return that love in the form of dollars. I'm certainly not going to fork over any of my dough to help subsidize another worshipful tête-à-tête of Charlayne Hunter-Gault with Nelson Mandela or Father Aristide!

Another source of aggravation is the way PBS officials boast about shows like the *Civil War*, *Baseball* or *Sesame Street*. Funny, they don't mention the nauseating *Tongues*

Untied, which dwells on the joys of Negro homosexuality or *In the Life*, a documentary filled with withering scorn for "homophobic" straights.

Where PBS bosses are really lading out the schmaltz is in the realm of children's programming, which they believe is the strongest source of viewer support. Look, they are saying, while commercial TV offers only mindless cartoons bracketed by endless commercials for toys and junk food, PBS provides high-quality, commercial-free children's shows geared towards learning instead of hucksterism.

PBS's "greatest asset" is precisely what I consider to be its "worst." The kids' programs, particularly *Sesame Street*, although not sanctifying sugary cereals or cheap toys, is selling something a thousand times more lethal—multiracialism. "Learning" is only the "cover mission" for *Sesame Street*. Its real agenda is the promotion of race-mixing by saturating white children with images of "people of color." If you ever get the chance, try a little experiment. Over the course of a few days, turn *Sesame Street* on at random and see if you ever see any whites, aside from those shown in cartoons and comics. I tried this three days in a row recently and have yet to see my first honky. The thought of millions of white parents plopping their preschoolers in front of all this melanin and feeling good about it is infuriating. The cavity-manufacturing treats advertised in-between cartoons on commercial TV may endanger white children's teeth, but *Sesame Street's* sledgehammer multiracialism may ultimately endanger their very existence!

So when PBS comes around with its begging bowl, I reluctantly recommend turning your back. If you're in a phil-anthropic mood, order a subscription to *Instauration* for a friend or relation.

Dan Rather recently devoted an entire segment of his plug-ridden network news to "hate radio," the radio that left-wing haters love to hate. It began with a shot of a highway full of cars whose radios were all tuned to talk shows. The camera zoomed in on a white caller referring to someone as a "black bitch," followed by a black caller speaking in ominous tones of just how he "fantasizes every day about strangling a white boy." I was surprised such a potent reminder of black racism managed to make it to the air waves. Thenceforth, however, the focus was predictably on "white racist hate." The recent brouhaha over WABC's Bob Grant, which grew out of a slanted cover story on him in *New York* magazine, was considered at some length. Also noted was a case involving two morning talk show hosts in St. Louis who allegedly used the "N" word while talking to some black woman. The two were fired and had difficulty finding work elsewhere until they promised never again to refer to racial matters on the air and agreed to attend a "sensitivity seminar."

The whole tone and feeling of Injun Dan's editorial was one of deep, dark disapproval. At one point he used the phrase "air pollution," a term that could just as easily be applied to his own effusions as to the remarks of the "haters" he was ranting against. But Dan has a great advantage over the average talk show host. He reaches many more people and his own brand of hate is defined in televisionese as "reporting."

Talking Numbers

1.83 71 12 100.013 .03% -43 45 31425 7

A sampling of 42 populations worldwide found Danes to be the most genetically akin to the English. Least genetically related to Brits were the Mbuti pygmies of Zaire. (Mankind Quarterly, Fall/Winter 1994, p. 74)

#

A UN conference on crime attested that certain unnamed heads of states can be bribed for a cool \$5 million.

#

The affirmative action-boosting, crypto-racist Nation had only one nonwhite on its editorial staff in the last 13 years. Harper's, Atlantic and N.Y. Review of Books had zero nonwhite staffers; Mother Jones 1; New Republic 2; New Yorker 3.

#

900,000 residents of Texas in 1990 were born south of the border. With a population of 18.5 million the Lone Star State has passed New York to come in second in the demographic derby. The winner is still California. Estimated cost of educating illegal Mexican students in Texas is \$26.5 million a year.

#

Weep for these lost, once-thriving American cities now beyond all possibility of resurrection because of their 60% or more minority component: Birmingham 64%, Chicago and Baltimore 60%, Atlantic City 69%, Detroit 77%, Newark 82%, Camden (NJ) 86%.

#

Blacks comprised 20% of U.S. troops in the Gulf War; 8.7% in WWII; 9.8% in Vietnam. 5,681 blacks died fighting Uncle Ho. Total American war dead in Vietnam was 58,168. The arithmetic demonstrates that contrary to all the media breast-beating the percentage of black war dead was significantly less than the Negroes' 12.5% of the population.

#

David Horowitz and Peter Collier, two of the more emetic Marxist scavengers in the Vietnam War days, have prospered greatly since they switched to conservative politicking. Right-wing foundations gave the Horowitz-Collier duo \$2.2 million in 1994.

#

The 10 least frequent voters in the House of Representative in Gingrich's "100 days" were all Democrats. Of the 40 shyest voters, 15 were black.

#

The National Foundation for Jewish Culture received a \$500,000 gift from taxpayers (who would have opposed it if they had known about it) for doctoral fel-

lowships in Jewish studies. As far as can be ascertained, no congressman or judge raised his voice in protest. The halls of Congress would have rung with high-decibel complaints if the same amount of the citizenry's money had been allocated to "Christian studies."

#

Some "juice fan" paid \$1,350 for a 1992 insurance claim bearing the signatures of Orenthal J. Simpson and his murdered wife.

#

61% of Alabamians believe God created "humans in the present form at one time in the last 10,000 years or so." 52% want creationism taught in schools. Only 12% favor teaching the theory of evolution. (Mobile Register poll)

#

Whoopi Goldberg will star in 2 pictures for Disney for slightly under \$20 million. For acting herself in a couple of cranked-out films, the dreadlocked Negro will make 20 times more in a few months of lolling in front of klieg lights than a miner or a lab technician makes in his entire lifetime. It's the standard financial gradient of a Goldberg vis-à-vis hoi polloi.

#

Last year the murder count in New Orleans, a faux French city of 497,000, was 421, a higher per capita kill rate than that of any other U.S. metropolis.

#

In 1989 the University of California at Berkeley turned down the applications of 2,800 white students with perfect 4.0 grade point averages. Half the minority students admitted that year had scores below 3.53 grade points. We are still being told they are not quotas. They are worse. They are academically inspired racist embargoes.

#

The top 10 nations, which vote against the U.S. in the UN most often, received \$313 million in American foreign aid last year. India, scheduled to get \$155 million in foreign aid this year, votes counter to the U.S. 84% of the time. 74% of foreign aid recipient countries vote "aye" when the U.S. votes "nay" or vice versa.

#

Half of U.S. exports are created by firms with 19 or fewer employees. The same can be said about German exports.

#

The College Board has "recentered" SAT tests by raising the verbal part 80 points; the math part 30. The average tes-

tee can now expect to get 500 on both SATs. Previous to this "recentering" the average math score was 479, the average verbal 423.

#

3% of single offender crimes in the U.S. are white-on-black; 50% black-on-white. (Pat Buchanan column, April 4, 1995)

#

In 5 more years the New York City population (70% white in 1970) will be 35% white.

#

80% of children on commercial network shows are white, 14% black, 4% Hispanic, 2% Asian; on public television, 35% white, 28% black, 20% Hispanic, 14% Asian. If some of the figures don't add up, blame the N.Y. Times (Feb. 27, 1995) from which Instauration stole them.

#

In 1992 the 960 largest U.S. foundations gave \$1.3 billion to education, \$944 million to health, \$674 million to arts and culture, a measly \$115 million to religion.

#

In 1944, 76 law enforcement officers were murdered while on duty. 33 of them were wearing body armor. 6 were killed by their own weapons. The dead included four federal agents. 56 additional officers were killed in on-duty accidents.

#

More than 850,000 lawsuits were filed in California courts in 1992. There are more shysters in West Los Angeles than in all of Japan. The Rand Corporation estimates that "wrongful termination" suits have cost the state some 650,000 jobs.

#

The White House state dinner for King Hussein II of Morocco was attended by 50 to 60 Americans. Close to one-half were American Jews. How disproportionate can the 2.5% get? Talk about mirroring the population!

#

81% of Jewish voters cast their ballots for Democrats in the last election, according to an exit poll conducted by the American Jewish Congress. Rabbi Daniel Lapin discounted the figures, saying that Jews lie to exit pollsters.

#

Too many white faces are showing up on visitors to the National Park Service's 368 parks, monuments, historic places, waterways and recreation areas. Park officials, afraid of losing their jobs if the agency's \$1.5 billion budget is cut, are trying desperately to devise ways to attract the urban masses. A recent survey shows that only 7% of the visitors belong to minorities (excluding Jews). Blacks have less interest in visiting parks than any other population group.

Primate Watch



Three weeks after **Denver Mayor Wellington Webb's 32-year-old son, Allen**, was released from a drug rehab center, he was picked up by police as a suspect in an assault case. A TV monitor in his jail cell showed him shifting something from his pants to his jacket. When it turned out to be two rocks of crack cocaine, the mayor's errant black son was rearrested on a drug charge.

#

Although it took almost 17 years to carry out his death sentence, black **Samuel Hawkins**, guilty of two murders and linked to some 40 rapes, finally got the needle in Huntsville (TX) prison.

#

A **black teenager and a black female police officer** shot and killed two young Vietnamese while robbing the family's restaurant in New Orleans. The female fuzzi had once moonlighted as a guard for the eatery. Also killed was a white cop who had taken the place of the female moonlighter. This was the first time in New Orleans police history that one cop shot and killed another.

#

The **NAACP** has been deregistered by New York State for failing to file its 1993 financial report. It can no longer solicit or receive more than \$25,000 in the Empire State. In Louisiana, **George Washington Eames**, 61, head of the Baton Rouge chapter since 1980, went on trial for fondling a 13-year-old girl inside a parked van.

#

A pair of **seven-year-old identical Jersey City twins** have accumulated an extensive mug sheet. One twin set a neighbor's house on fire. Together they stole a bicycle, tossed bricks through a Kmart window, broke into a garage and ransacked a Lutheran Church. The police say the twins are too young to arrest. Their black mother is a recovering drug addict.

#

White female army private **Tracie McBridge**, 19, stationed at the Goodfellow Air Force Base in West Texas, was kidnapped, perhaps raped, and deliberately murdered by a black animal named **Luis Jones**. Will the heinous black-male-on-white female rapes/murders ever let up?

#

Four officials of the Jewish Community Center of Greater Washington robbed the Center of almost \$900,000.

#

Jewish heiress **Nina Solarz** is the wife of check-bouncing ex-congressman **Stephen Solarz** (743 bad checks totaling

\$595,000). Mrs. Solarz suffers from the same vice as her husband. She pleaded guilty to charges of signing her own rubber checks and misappropriating funds.

#

Jewish financier **Steven Hoffenberg** pleaded guilty to bilking thousands of investors of some \$500 million. Hoffenberg, who claims he is being treated for anxiety, once made headlines when he tried and failed to buy Pan American World Airways and the New York Post.

#

Oded Aboodi, the right-hand man of **Gerald Levin**, CEO of Time Warner, managed to escape a criminal inside trading charge by agreeing to pay \$961,077 to the SEC. Aboodi remains the de facto chief financial officer of Time Warner.

#

Convicted child molester **Rogilio Garcia Ruiz**, 35, is one of the first criminals in California to be put away for life under the state's new three-strikes-and-you're-out law. The detective who arrested him said, "Any little white boy between 10 and 13 was a target."

#

The first female president of the ACLU, **Nadine Strossen**, out on the hustings promoting her book, *Defending Pornography*, proudly asserted she subscribes to Playboy and while traveling enjoys watching adult films in her hotel room. Ms. Strossen claims to be the daughter of a "Nazi labor camp survivor."

#

Lilith magazine devoted an entire issue to Jewish hair: hair in the Bible, hair in Auschwitz, and so on.

#

Captain **Jim Wang** of the U.S. Air Force, the man most responsible for the loss of two American helicopters shot down by two Air Force F-15s in the skies over northern Iraq, will be court-martialed. Twenty-eight died in the bloody snafu. Wang, the weapons' controller in the Awak's surveillance plane, failed to inform the F-15s there were friendly helicopters in the area.

#

A 15-year-old **black** walked into an Opelika (AL) flea market, shot three white ladies dead, then ran off with their purses. The slaughter of whites continues.

#

Caribbean and African gods are being propitiated by **Cubans and Haitians** on trial for various crimes in Miami's Metro Justice Building. A court-appointed voodoo squad has been assigned to clean up

the remains of sacrificed roosters, chickens and goats.

#

Mike Tyson moved from his jail cell to Las Vegas where he is buying a \$3.7 million French-type chateau. Mike was last seen in the gambling capital praying in a mosque. During his move he bought five new BMWs.

#

Manhattan Community College has (**Uncle**) **Ho Chi Minh and Assatu Shakur Scholarships**. Shakur (born Joanne Chesimard) was the notorious black female cop killer.

#

Edward Bernays died at the ripe old Jewish age of 103. A nephew of Freud, he is often credited for being the founding father of PR, the profession dedicated to making sleazy people look less sleazy.

#

Two trials of **Aurelia Macias** for castrating her husband with a pair of scissors (one testicle was found in their bed, the other in a trash can) ended in hung juries.

#

Ellen Cooke, ex-treasurer of the Episcopal Church stole \$2.2 million of the Church's funds. Not satisfied with her \$120,000 annual salary, Cooke used her "discretionary income" for a new home, travel, jewels and tuition for her children.

#

A University of Pennsylvania student group that calls itself **White Women Against Racism** has refused to allow blacks to attend its meetings.

#

When D.C. Mayor **Marion Barry** was criticized for traveling to Senegal to attend a so-called black summit, the ex-convict shot back, "[N]obody would be raising these questions if I was a Jewish mayor going to Israel." He's right.

#

After cheating hundreds of investors out of millions of dollars before he was 21, flimflam whiz kid **Barry Minkow** spent seven years in jail. Having done his time, the Jewish embezzler is making the rounds of TV talk shows. In prison Barry suddenly got religion. His book, *Clean Sweep*, expounds on his newfound faith in Jesus.

#

Republican Senator **Alfonse D'Amato**, the buffoonish Judge Ito mimic, held a news conference to announce his love for millionairess **Claudia Cohen**, ex-wife of Jewish mogul, Ronald Perelman. He kept mum about his April divorce from his wife, Penelope Collenburg, from whom he had been legally separated for 13 years, but with whom he had continued to share his Long Guyland home.



Waspishly Yours

When Kissinger stole the Nobel Prize, I suffered an attack of verbal tachycardia. My vowels hyperventilated, my consonants became catatonic. I was stunned into nausea.

When sell-out Sadat and wholesale murderer Menaphegm Begin also stole the prize, I experienced an apoplexy of the spirit. Were the stone-faced Swedish prize-givers sick or simply ice-berg hypocrites, with the hypocrisy of hope two-thirds below the surface and one-third above the brazen waterline of shame? Maybe they were just trying to make the world sweat. Who says those snow-white Swedes don't have a black sense of humor?

When rabid Yitsuck Rabin also stole the prize, I was beyond mere apoplexy and tachycardia. I was simply perplexed. Life is a mystery of preliminaries; death is merely the knockout bell of the main bout. But after Rabin's Nobel robbery, I didn't know whether to sit or stand, especially since calumnifying Chosenite neo-con-artist Mona Charen was outraged that Yasser Arafat had also shared the prize with a Sephardic socialist. That Jews got two and the Muslims one is the story of modern Palestine. Though Arafat did no more than try to defend his land from the illegal AshkeNazi lust for *Lebensraum*, he is derided as a terrorist. Were the French, Norwegians, Dutch and Czech resistance fighters against the Nazis also terrorists?

In 1948, Rabin drove 50,000 Christian and Muslim Arabs from their homes in Ramle and Lydda. At a moment's notice, they were tumbled into trucks or forced on foot to abandon centuries of identification with the land. When Rabin asked Gauleiter Ben-Gurion where the dispossessed were supposed to go, the Zionist honcho waved his hairy arm cavalierly and cried, "East! Drive them east!"

Now, thanks to the U.S. taxpayer, the AshkeNazis are the "Mongols of the Middle East," the dominant military force in the region, frequently ranked as the world's fourth-ranking military power in the world. And yet, after having driven 1.5 million Arabs "east," they're still crying about being "driven into the sea."

Once and for all, let us be clear about the real victims in the Middle East. Predictably the mezuzah-monitored American media praised Rabin for shaking hands with "terrorist" Yasser on the White House lawn. I was appalled to see Arafat so willing to clasp a Hebrew paw so steeped in Arab blood.

After Madeleine Albright, our Chosen ambassador to the UN, declared that sad-sack Saddam Hussein must not feel free to threaten his neighbors at will, President Saxophone kept applying military heat on Kuwait to show Saddam what a draft dodger can do once he decides to protect *Lebensraum* in Israel.

So we drove Iraq to rack and Babylonian ruin in order to safeguard the Jewish state and the gold bathroom fixtures for the shakedown sheiks in democratic Kuwait. So we deny Saddam the right to shuttle his troops around in his own country. Meanwhile, the Hebrews brewed a witches' stew of death and destruction and stirred the cauldron of Zionide in Lebanon, while still occupying the southern strip of that bedeviled country. How come Saddam risks the wrath of U.S. "smart" bombs—which aren't smart enough to distinguish between soldiers and children—if he's dumb enough to come anywhere near Kuwait? But Jews can blast Lebanon at will?

It's fairly obviously that Saddam must be greatly puzzled about why our Chosen UN Ambassador Albright is urging the Arabs to lift their economic sanctions against Israel, while she in-

sists that we should maintain our sanctions against Iraq? After all, it isn't as if Israel has ever "threatened" *its neighbors*—except in 1948, 1956, 1967, 1979 and 1982.

For those of you who hate politicians and cynicism, ponder this proposition. The success of Israel proves that might makes right, that international law is an oxymoron propounded by moral morons and that brazen lies can triumph so long as they are trumpeted by a parasitic pip-squeak supported by an 800-pound gorilla with a puppet conscience whose choices are not made but Chosen.

They're incensed and burning incense and swinging censors and being censorious about Gina Grant, who was first admitted to Harvard, then rejected after an astute admissions officer discovered that the straight-A co-captain of her high school tennis team had bludgeoned her mother to death at the fetal age of 14.

Personally I doubt that Gina ever was a straight-A student. Otherwise why should it have taken 17 whacks of a candlestick to dispatch a mama who supposedly was looped at the time she completed the loop from birth to oblivion? Lizzie Borden needed 40 whacks, but she had a mama and a papa to dispatch, and nobody ever considered her a candidate for ivory-towered Harvard.

Why should Harvard have rescinded its initial acceptance of the convicted candlestick killer? What makes Harvard so fastidious? It is exactly where Gina belongs. Once Harvard was the tribal preserve of the WASP establishment. Like Boston, it was "The home of the bean and the cod," where "The Lowells talk to the Cabots/ And the Cabots talk only to God."

But everybody knows that beans have become ubiquitous, just as our civilization has become increasingly creptational, just as the schools of cod which once bankrolled in the Georges Banks are now bankrupt and have been replaced by carping gefilte fish. There is no one left for the Lowells to speak to now that God himself is dead.

Why force Gina to waste her lethal talents in some semi-decent, but still salvageable taxpayer-supported state university, some provincial alma mater, only half pregnant so far with the political correctness which totally corrupts our elite universities? Well-meaning liberals will see to it that college is the politically correct birthright of every straight-A student convicted of manslaughter.

Shouldn't we insist that Gina be granted her wish to go to Harvard? Gina belongs at Harvard, the tribal cave of Lawrence Tribe and Alan Dershowbizowitz.

Since Dershowbizowitz is so familiar with courtroom debacles already, so conversant with the corruption of the criminal justice system, wouldn't Gina be more comfortable under his aegis than hidden from the limelight in some backwater state-supported university, attended by middle-class, non-criminal mediocrities?

Why force a claimed candlestick-clubber to live among the pathetic inmates of a middle-class morality unsympathetic to her plight? Gina deserves nothing but the best. She deserves Harvard and vice versa.

Consequently I call upon Harvard to reconsider its reconsidered rejection of Gina Grant and admit her to the bosom of the alma mater that fits her character best.

V.S. STINGER

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

The Irish are the only people who know how to smile with the eyes. I attribute this to the fact that Ireland is the world centre for light eyes—sometimes so light that they look positively mad, until their owner smiles. Ireland has a strong Upper Palaeolithic element (heavily built, brown hair, light eyes) in its population, as well as a strong Atlanto-Mediterranean element (slim build, black hair, light eyes). The ancient Celts were blond, which perhaps explains why the Irish admire hair of that colour. Hence the admiration of Maud Gonne (the daughter of an English colonel) by everyone except her husband, MacBride. He used to beat her when he was drunk—which was wrong, of course, but she must have been rather a difficult lady to live with. As William Butler Yeats puts it in a poem to Anne Gregory, a young lady of the Ascendancy:

*Never shall a young man,
Thrown into despair
By those great honey-coloured
Ramparts at your ear,
Love you for yourself alone
And not your yellow hair.*

Perhaps because we notice the less attractive characteristics of our neighbours, the English tend to regard a long upper lip and low intelligence as characteristic of the Irish, whose name for the English used to be *Buidh Sean* or Yellow John because some Englishmen have a yellower skin colour than the freckled Irish.

What else about Ireland? Like England, it was colonised by the Vikings. (A lady called Aud the Deepminded scandalised the Christians by having shamanistic visions on the high altar in the holy city of Clonmacnoise, on the Shannon.) Like the English (temporarily) under Alfred, the Irish managed to defeat the Vikings under Brian Boru. But when the Normans arrived in 1169, having already colonised England, they found the Vikings in possession of all the ports except Dublin. The Vikings had invented the deep keel, which required a harbour, while the currachs, made of hides stretched over a willow-rod framework, had a shallow draught, enabling them to sail up the river Boyne to the High King's capital at Tara. Still, the Irish were already in Iceland when the Vikings arrived, and probably in America as well. (The Viking name for America was "Ireland the Great.")

The Irish have a saying, "horn of a bull, hoof of a horse, smile of a Saxon," implying that the weapon of the Englishman is his smile. But when it comes to hypocrisy no one is in the same league as the Irish. If you want a really good laugh, read the novels of Honor Tracey, especially *Mind You, I've Said Nothing*. There you will find the lace-curtain Irish at their most comic.

One would have expected the emigrant Irish to have settled in South America, where the people shared their religion, but they hypocritically chose instead to settle in the countries colonised by their hereditary enemies. Now take a look at that Republican flag: green, white and orange. A writer in *The Irish Sword* 30 years ago described it as looking like the flag of Bongoland. The colours of a tricolour are meant to mean something. Well, the green stands for the native Irish tradition and the orange for the Unionist tradition, which is quite incompatible with the green. What the white stands for nobody knows. Presumably it's

there to keep the green and orange apart. Simple folk in Ireland find no place for the orange and refer to the "green, white and gold" (the gold presumably representing the papacy). At least we can identify the meaning of all three colours in the French flag (the three estates of the Middle Ages) and the German flag (in which the black stands for the Catholic Church, the red for the socialists and the gold for the Jews).

Irish hypocrisy is so entrenched it is a constant danger to the host community. In Northern Ireland they claim to be fighting for "civil rights," but are determined to drive out most of the Unionists. What would they say if someone suggested pushing six million people of Irish origin out of England?

The Unionists represent the real Irish tradition of America. In the 18th century many Scotch-Irish Presbyterians were republican in sympathy because they suffered from religious disabilities. A Hessian officer described the American Revolution as a Scotch-Irish Presbyterian Revolution. Eleven presidents (12 if you count Bill Clinton) have been of Scotch-Irish background. George Washington, like Jefferson a Southern gentleman of English origin, said that he would make his last stand among the Scotch Irish of his native Virginia. When Robert E. Lee was asked who his best soldiers were, he said the Scotch Irish, because they had all the dash of the Irish in seizing an enemy position and all the stubbornness of the Scots in holding it. Note that the Scots he was talking about were the Lowlanders who settled in Ireland, not the Highland Scots, who have at least as much dash as Irish in the attack, but are equally well-known for not being so good in defence. (I except the Campbells, who formed the thin red line at Balaclava).

In the 1920s, when IRA warlords committed atrocities against them in the South, the Anglo Irish (Church of Ireland people) began to take refuge in the North. They are one of the great creative minorities of Europe.

During the 19th century the Catholic Irish in America set up their mafias in the big cities and rioted against conscription in the Northern forces during the Civil War. Well into the 20th century they helped block the ambitions of Jews. Father Coughlin was the spokesman for that tradition. During and after WWII the Irish were wooed and idealised by the Hollywood Jews and have never recovered. The whole Kennedy family (like Tip O'Neal and other Irish pols) has been a close ally of the Jews. Just look at the Judaised celebration of St. Patrick's day in New York, which fills even nationalists in Ireland with embarrassment: the "gay" Irish, the green beer, and above all the Jews—all Irish for the day.

In both North America and Britain some of the Irish have joined the majority. But the Irish of South Boston, who recently re-elected Senator Ted Kennedy against a political tide, have caved in before the black menace. In England most of the Irish in the inner cities are knee-jerk Labour voters (as are most of the Scots and Welsh), but it is in Australia that the greatest Irish treachery has manifested itself. It was Australian Labor politicians who welcomed large numbers of settlers from the Balkans in order to dilute the Anglo-Saxon majority. Since then they have been all in favour of mass immigration from Southeast Asia, which is swamping all the whites, including the Irish.





Canada. Bob Morrow, mayor of Hamilton, was fined \$3,700 by the Ontario Human Rights Commission for failing to proclaim Gay Pride Week in his city in 1991.

Ernst Zündel, the most denying of all Holocaust deniers, received a hate package in the mail—a razor blade attached to a mouse trap. When it was opened, the trap sprung and the blade was supposed to slice into the hand of the addressee. Zündel escaped injury by opening the package carefully, very carefully. An accompanying note stated:

SNAP. Greeting's [sic] racist trash. So take this first wave of devices as our declaration of war. . . . Until we see evidence that you have stopped your fascistic activities, you and others like you, will need to watch your backs, your homes, your cars, your mailboxes, your offices, your food.

Canadian police shredded a copy of Earl Butz's *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century* that had been discovered in the Didsbury, Alberta, library.

In 1991 Canada's Jewish population numbered 356,315. Nearly half of them live in metro Toronto—a jump of 70% since 1971.

Britain. The "official" British immigration figures are about 50,000 a year. In the 1988-93 period, 264,500 non-Brits were supposed to have settled in the Sceptred Isle. Peter Tompkins, who headed the British Immigration Service for 10 years, strongly disagrees with these numbers. He asserts that the annual number of arrivals each year is 100,000 and the number of arrivals in 1988-93 is 625,000.

Clinton's handshake with Irish terrorist Gerry Adams was a bit much for the British. Never had Harold Macmillan's words rang truer, "We are the Greeks in the new Roman Empire."

There is a rhythm natural to Aryan men and women indicated by their breathing rate and heartbeat. Where the best of "music" is speeded up much above the normal pulse rate per minute, tension is built up in the emotional system of the person participating to the delirious point of what amounts to a hypnotic seizure of the mind. In this condition the critical faculties are overcome and suspended by the convulsions of the senses; reason collapses; and in

this "hypnoid" state there is a greatly increased suggestibility. (Excerpted from *Gothic Ripples*, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, North Yorks, HG3 5J0, England.)

Documents recently released from the Public Records Office revealed that in February 1943, 16 months before the Allied war machine landed in Normandy, Victor Cavendish-Bentinck, who later became the ninth and last Duke of Portland, proposed calling off the landing and letting the Nazis and Russians bleed each other to death. British and American forces would then be able to invade the continent practically unopposed.

An intelligently written, four-page newsletter sent free to British police officers accused London's Metropolitan Police Chief, Sir Paul Condon, of putting cozy relations with Jews ahead of the needs of the bobbies. Not too long after the newsletter appeared, two men, names withheld, were arrested and charged with responsibility for the publication. Criticism of Jews in Britain, as in many other European countries, has become illegal.

France. In the first round of France's presidential elections the Front National got 4,559,520 or 15% of the vote—the best nationwide showing that Jean-Marie Le Pen's party has made so far. He would have collected almost 20% of the votes had it not been for the Movement for France party, bankrolled by Jewish billionaire, Sir Jimmy Goldsmith, a citizen of France, Britain and Israel. Goldsmith's straw man, aristocrat Philippe de Villiers (full name Viscount Philippe Jolis de Villiers de Saintignon), garnered 1,437,840 (4.7%) of the ballots by practically copying the Front National platform. Le Pen's steady climb in voting confidence is largely due to his being France's loudest and most sincere voice against immigration. Jacques Chirac, the new French president, is a middle-of-the-roader who calls himself a conservative. He would have nothing to do with the Front National, but did support the party's policy against immigration.

The Communist Party, once France's most powerful, could only scrounge 8% of the votes. Now headed by a chubby *bon vivant* named Robert Hue, who wraps himself in the tricolor instead of the hammer and sickle, the party has suddenly become patriotic and sings the *Marseillaise* instead of the *Internationale*. Hue,

by the way, is a former rock singer. It is doubtful if Uncle Joe would have given him the time of day.

Denmark. On the evening of April 4, Copenhagen experienced a harrowing power failure that left it without TV, telephones, transport or light for five hours. The police in the city of 500,000 reported not a single accident or burglary. Total damage was three broken windows. When the blackout began troops encircled the Rosenborg Palace to protect Denmark's crown jewels, but such vigilance proved quite unnecessary. Even though the city's transportation system ground to a complete halt and car traffic became chaotic, no one turned aggressive. Some drivers actually displayed far more courtesy than usual. A capacity audience of 1,300 expecting to see a performance of *La Bohème* was confused when the lights went out some 10 minutes before curtain time. But when the opera buffs realized what had occurred, they good-naturedly filed out into the lobby where they received a ticket refund and a free drink. Elsewhere in the city a female string quartet was given a standing ovation after having completed its concert flawlessly with the aid of a flashlight given the performers by a member of the audience.

Compare the looting and mayhem that take place during power outages in American megalopolises with the behavior of the Danes. Environmentalists will say this has nothing to do with race.

Belgium. Latest country to join the anti-free speech club is Belgium, which has now criminalized Holocaust denial. Penalties of up to one year in jail and \$30,000 in fines will be slapped on anyone who questions the politically correct version of WWII concentration camps. About the only remaining Western European country where the Holocaust can be researched without Big Brother looking over your shoulder is Denmark.

Germany. If Hitler had only looked inward after his triumphant feats of German irredentism, if he had only allowed non-Germans surrounding the Reich to live in peace, he might have set up a model state for the rest of the white world, which was falling into cultural and economic decadence. By pushing his luck too far, his military defeat buried Germans in an avalanche of obscenity, egalitarianism, minority racism, Holocaust-ery and liberal obtuseness.

Western civilization may never recover from Hitler's defeat. It unloosed all the blind blockheadedness and irrationality



inherent in the human species. It has allowed his enemies—and ours—to put us into a cultural straitjacket that stymies any meaningful resistance to the literature, music and art that honors and deifies the parasites feeding on us.

It was genetically ordained that Germany would bounce back after its bloody defeat. The Nordic half of the population has the habit of overcoming the worst crises. But the “economic miracle” has brought about a cultural catastrophe. An overload of materialism is deadly to the arts, which are far more important in attaining a high level of civilization than a safe deposit box crammed with Deutschmarks. Never has the West had a lower cultural output than in these supposedly advanced times. Locking up people for throwing some racial light on the cultural darkness only deepens the darkness.

The West is waiting for a leader who, unlike Hitler, knows when to stop. Until then, there will be no “spiritual miracle.”

Hitler's Germany burned books. “Democratic Germany” recycles them. Such was the fate of 6,000 copies of a German translation of John Sack's book, *An Eye for an Eye*, which recounts what happened in a Polish concentration camp for German civilians when a sadistic Jew, Solomon Morel, was appointed camp commander after the end of WWII. Although between 60,000 and 80,000 Germans expired in the camp, many tortured or starved to death, the commandant was never tried for war crimes and is now living happily in Israel.

Italy. After the French government banned the distribution of a book by German author Jürgen Graf that denied the Holocaust, 11 courageous Italian professors decided they had had enough. They sent a letter to the mass-circulation Turin daily, *La Stampa*, denouncing the habit of “resolving historiographic debates by judicial means.”

Poland. *From a subscriber.* Proving that Eastern Europe is in the last stages of replacing one dictatorship controlled by Moscow with another directed from Zoo City, the Polish government “awarded” a shadowy group headed by American-Jewish cosmetics heir, Ronald Lauder, a license to establish and run ten regional TV stations throughout central and northern Poland. In perfect agreement with Poland's post-WWII Orwellian history, the

Lauder group will call its network Independent Polish Television.

Russia. *From a subscriber.* Having recently visited Russia I had occasion to talk to several young Russian university-age students who had become totally exasperated and quite embittered by the brisk, unsympathetic, almost insulting treatment they had received by U.S. officials who uniformly reject their applications for visas to study in the U.S. These young students represent the future of Russia. They are the ones the American people should be offering the open hand of friendship. Unfortunately, the U.S. government seems disinterested. Instead, it continues to furnish large amounts of money to aid Soviet Jews who want to go to Israel or America. Some have shady Communist backgrounds. Others have joined Israeli gangs that rival the Mafia in brutality.

Jewish immigrants from Russia have been allowed into the U.S. in great numbers. On the initiative of Jewish members of Congress they are classified as refugees, members of a persecuted group. One member of this allegedly persecuted group is Vladimir Gusinsky, now considered to be the richest man (and Jew) in Russia. Only 34, Gusinsky already owns Russia's first private TV station, a radio station, a bank and a liberal newspaper.

Euro-Disney is negotiating to buy Lenin's embalmed body and move it to a Lenin exhibit in the French Disneyland. Since Jews were largely responsible for the skyrocketing career of the part-Jewish Bolshevik leader, it only seems right that they should embrace him as warmly in death as they did in his lifetime.

Israel. *From a subscriber.* Bob Simon of CBS reported that Israel has now imported 70,000 agricultural workers from Thailand, China and the Philippines to replace the Palestinians who had previously been performing most of this stoop labor, but who are now viewed as “too dangerous.” An Israeli journalist interviewed about the Asian field hands commented, “Thais don't hate Jews.” Simon noted that his racial cousins were “no longer interested” in farming because they had “moved from cucumbers to computers.”

Whatever happened to all that fancy talk about “normalizing Jews” by having them perform the full range of tasks re-

quired of citizens in an independent nation? How many times have we been bombarded with noble images of Jewish lemon pickers pooling their labor on a “frontier” kibbutz? How often have we heard of the mystical attachment between Jews and the sacred soil of Israel? It's frequently been remarked that American Jews “will do everything for Israel except move there.” Can't it now be said that Israelis will do everything for their land except farm it? And what about the huge unemployment rate boosted by all those recent Jewish arrivals from the former Soviet Union? Are they also by virtue of their tribal affiliations “too good” for farm work?

It was a rank injustice to the Palestinians when Zionism came along to “make the desert Bloom's.” Now that Bloom is apparently too busy with computers to farm that desert and too scared of the Palestinians to hire them to do the menial labor on their former lands, Zionism is taking on such an unpleasant odor that even Yahweh must be holding his nose.

After performing an autopsy on a Palestinian prisoner who had been subjected to a lengthy “interrogation” by Israeli intelligence agents, Dr. Derrick Paawder, a Scotsman, said the victim had been tortured to death.

Some 6,000 copies of a 500-page book of eulogies, testimonials and memorabilia adulating the late Baruch Goldstein, have been printed and immediately sold out. Goldstein is the American Jew who massacred 29 Palestinians praying in a Hebron mosque. Killed before he could slay any more Arabs, he is now a cult figure in Israel and his grave has become a shrine. According to one devoted follower, “Baruch's every act was done in the name of God.”

Jewish racism shifted into high gear on the occasion of the recent visit of Cardinal Lustiger, Archbishop of Paris. Because his trip to Israel was timed to coincide with Holocaust Remembrance Day, it evoked a multistage of high-decibel attacks on the Cardinal for having converted to Catholicism in 1940 when he was 14. Israel's Chief Rabbi Israel Lau called him a “betrayal of his people and his faith.” Lustiger replied, “For me to say I am no longer a Jew would be to deny my father and mother, my grandfathers and grandmothers.” What he really seems to be saying is that it's possible to be a Jew and a Catholic. In contrast, the Chief Rabbi seems to be saying that a Jew cannot be a Catholic.