

The Safety Valve

In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

☐ If you doubted Holocaust whining was a money-grabbing scam, the recent hoopla about Nazi gold should convince you. In the early years of WWII no one knew who was going to win. The Swiss could not afford to antagonize their powerful neighbor. These facts are ignored in favor of the usual black-and-white contrast of demonic Gentiles vs. angelic Jews. 300

30

□ The triage law of the sea prescribes a legal limit for the number of people in each lifeboat. Any others seeking to fight their way aboard must be refused in any way necessary, in order to keep the lifeboat afloat.

715

Diversity is very good so they say. Stereotypes are bad. A Confederate banner hung outside your dorm window no doubt would bring diversity to the college scene. Better not try it. As for stereotypes, the conviction that straight white males are wicked seems beyond challenge.

802

□ Jews never forgave Dole for his timid suggestion of a 10% shift of U.S. foreign aid to the former Warsaw Pact nations at the time of the Soviet breakup. They were outraged when Bush delayed the \$10-billion loan guarantee. Any presidential candidate who demonstrates an ounce of patriotism will automatically be vetoed by the Jews. No matter how pro-

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc. Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

> Annual Subscription \$35 (third class) \$45 (first class) \$48 Canada \$50 foreign (surface) \$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen. Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded. Advise change of address well in advance. ISSN 0277-2302 ©1997 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc. Israel he seems, that loyalty will always be suspect. A weakling like Clinton, with wet finger always testing the direction of the prevailing winds, is the only kind of president permissible.

226

□ Our alleged legislators are posturing clowns babbling in a Big-Top Knesset West. Billy Jeff is the prevaricating puppet president strung along by his Chosen masters. For a few months B.J.'s biggest worry was how to make his trysts cripplecompatible.

420

American blacks are utterly indifferent to continued slavery in Sudan and Mauritania.

111

□ It's said that by middle age the face betrays a person's inner nature. Contemplate the physiognomies of our rulers: Rubin (Treasury), Albright (State), Cohen (Defense), Tenet (CIA), Ginsburg (Supreme Court), Greenspan (Federal Reserve). A collection of visages worthy of a Tel Aviv bazaar.

914

□ A recent study conducted by the University of Michigan's Population Studies Center has shown that whites are fleeing faster and further than ever from both coasts and major U.S. cities. "White flight" is no longer simply out of the cities into the suburbs, but now extends to rural areas. New York City and Los Angeles each lost more than 1.3 million residents in the period 1990-96, while Chicago and San Francisco each lost 300,000. 200

□ According to Horst Kruger's book, A Crack in the Wall, German prisoners of war in WWII were met by blacks who immediately took their watches and money.

785

□ The fast-fading Majority has lost the real levers of power to pressure groups. No longer do our fat-cat "leaders" even pretend to represent the Majority and defend its dwindling rights. Now whoever openly protests this treachery risks life and liberty. Fear stalks the land and no citizen is secure on or off the streets. Crime, most of it ethnic, is everywhere and burgeoning. Violence, drugs, immigration, militant feminism, child porn, crackpot cults, prostitution, multiculturalism, sodomy and impiety—all run wild. Institutionalized discrimination against whites grows unchecked. New laws with which to bludgeon the unorganized into silence are hatched like chicks on a poultry farm.

802

□ Instauration (March 1997) deplores the demeaning of the Medal of Honor by awarding it to black soldiers of questionable merit. Remember when Jewish cripple Leon Klinghoffer was proposed for the medal just for being pushed off the *Achille Lauro*? Today he'd probably get the award. Either that or Mt. Rushmore, a postage stamp or a national holiday.

111

□ So nutty is the U.S. these days that it's a toss-up which will come first—tobacco banned or pot legalized.

329

The unnoticed rock that sinks the white man's ship is stale food.

606

□ As you may have noticed during the Oscar broadcast, except when announcing categories, the word "actress" is now as politically incorrect as the n-word. The f-word and s-word are very *comme il faut.*

930

Like many Americans who can afford it, I live in a gated development. José and

CONTENTS
What Color Is Your Buddha? 4
The Title Is the Message
The Title Is the Message.5Efficacious Majority Activity.6
The New American Family 7
We Think the Unthinkable 8
Black Primitivism
Fuzziest Thinker of Them All 10
Ruminations on Loyalty
A Matter of Focus
No Truck With Homos
Et in Arcadia Erro
Et in Arcadia Ego 15 Blacks Partially Averse to Crime15
The Mush Durgenier
Too Much Dysgenics
Witch-Hunters Strike Again!16
Let's Go A-Cloning
Cloning Factor in Evolution 17
Backtalk
Cultural Catacombs
Inklings
Primate Watch
Talking Numbers
Waspishly Yours
Satcom Sam
Notes from the Sceptred Isle29
Report from the Darkening Tip 30
Elsewhere

The Safety Valve 🗑 🖏

his crew of Mexicans do the yard and odd jobs around the house. When the next recession hits and I can no longer afford this luxury, I wonder if a gate will suffice to keep José out.

786

□ It's clear Clinton is much more comfortable using American power in the interests of Haiti, Bosnia and Somalia than for our own benefit.

664

□ "It's a good thing the Americans didn't have the bomb during the European phase of WWII. The continent would be unlivable today." I take that as a statement of pure fact. In its later decades this country has produced some of the worst mush-heads known to history. I think modern man may simply have run out of steam. His chimpanzee brain is not adequate to deal with the havoc he has already wrought.

852

□ Item on local all-news radio: "Children raised by lesbian parents are better adjusted than those raised by heterosexual parents." This "news" is read with the finality of an announcement that the sun rises in the East. That's it. Case closed! 942

□ The British refer to shunning as "putting a person in Coventry." The American elite fear this more than anything. They know that the least slip leads to the fate of Jimmy the Greek and Al Campanis. One day a highly-paid media personality, the next day in Coventry! This is why presumed conservatives so often "grow" when they get to Washington. A Kemp, Dole or Souter only dares to say, "Yes, but." That explains Newt Gingrich's toadying to the likes of Jesse Jackson.

303

□ We are all alike. Right? Our blood bleeds red when we are cut. Right? Human blood is the same color as that of a monkey, cat or dog. Right?

714

□ Paraphrasing Dietrich Bonhoeffer, when a Korean immigrant just off the boat gets a 10% contract preference, well it doesn't affect me. When judges overturn referenda in California, well I don't live there. When a white single mother is passed over by a law school in favor of a less-qualified minority, that's not my problem. White workers at Texaco make an automatic 10% less than blacks just because of race, well I don't work there. Like Bonhoeffer, by the time I'm affected, it'll be too late to complain! 610

□ Nowadays if a black gang member butchers your scholarship student kid, don't get angry. Embrace the perp and his family and act apologetic. Let the healing begin!

400

□ In a dictatorship, censorship is externally imposed. The punishment for violating it is prison. In America, censorship is self-imposed and the punishment is even more terrible: the awful guilt of being politically incorrect!

022

□ Clinton, casting about for some big issue to glorify his second term, has apparently settled on repairing race relations in America. He should've picked something easy, like squaring the circle or turning lead into gold.

804

□ The father of Mary Jo Kopechne is rumored to have said that his daughter did not die in vain, because "her drowning prevented Ted Kennedy from becoming president." The silver lining in the multiple Clinton scandals is that it may deny Gore the presidency.

199

□ Black NBA star Charles Barkley is married to a blonde Nicole Simpson clone, but his insults to whites go far beyond anything Zoeller said about Tiger Woods. No endorsements are withdrawn and his ambition to be governor of Alabama has not cooled. Jokes about silly blonde bimbos and slighting references to foolish "angry white males" are common. How long is this racist double standard supposed to last?

890

□ Colin Powell supports affirmative action, continued immigration, welfare for immigrants. Never mind that most Republicans oppose all this. The inference is that the mountain will have to come to Mohammed.

733

□ I think Robert Burns' political ideals are now somewhat obsolete. We may wait

a long while for the day when all men may brithers be.

528

220

□ Bombast, threat and hyperbole are as destructive to the racialist cause as is a boatload of Third Worlders landing on the beach at Asbury Park.

□ Author Paul Theroux commented that the graffiti in Zoo City are "so extensive and so dreadful it's hard to believe that the perpetrators are not the recipients of some enormous foundation grant." I submit to Mr. Theroux that the graffiti and many other social ills of New York City are indeed made possible by an

111

□ When Dennis Rodman said he wanted to play a basketball game naked, Jay Leno snidely quipped that that would reveal white men as even more inferior. Blond men are "villains"; blonde women "silly airheads." Negroes, however, tout "black power" and "black pride." Similar phrases for whites would be racist. Mexicans have their Casas de la Raza (houses of race) all over the southwest. Unthinkable for whites!

enormous grant. Welfare!

465

□ The FBI preferred not to tell the White House about a \$700,000 Chinese contribution to Clinton's defense fund because it suspected the President might be in on the illegal deal!

665

□ Early nominee for Majority Renegade of the Year: Bill Gates. Imagine what he could do to preserve the society which made it possible for him to be a billionaire. He's too busy sucking up to Chosen Tinseltowners.

944

□ An artist friend of mine was recently painting landscapes in Brooklyn's Prospect Park. Among the admiring onlookers were a couple of Russian Jews. When the conversation turned to Germany they both became elated. They referred to the high Turkish birthrate in Germany and how the Germans would continue to have fewer and fewer children. Thus only a handful of generations would be needed for the Turks to replace the Germans in their own country. Finally, one of the Jews commented, "This may be the slowest way to destroy a people, but it's forever."

What Color Is Your Buddha?

e is certainly a familiar enough image, this Buddha fellow, even in the Western world. Usually he is portrayed as a beatific Mongoloid in a sitting position. Somewhat less frequently he is standing, stretching and jubilant—the happy Buddha, but still Mongoloid. We accept this portrayal of the Buddha without question. After all, Buddhism and Asia are almost synonymous. That the Buddha could have had Nordic features is rarely considered. Nonetheless the possibility is intriguing.

The Aryan invasions of India occurred from roughly 1500-1300 B.C. Tribes of Aryans (noble ones) led by a warrior aristocracy implemented the caste system in India. That this system pertained to race more than class can be surmised from the archaic word for slave, *dasa* (dark) and the classical word for caste, *varna* (color). The Brahmans, the priestly caste, rose to the top of the heap, but not everyone bowed and scraped before them. At the time of the Buddha's birth, social and religious change was in the air.

Reading "official" biographies of the Buddha can be perplexing because they start with his previous incarnations. Born (or reborn, if you prefer) in 563 B.C., the Buddha, Siddhartha Gautama, was the scion of the leading family in the city of Kapilavastu. His father, Suddhodana, was the ruler or raja of the realm lying in the foothills of the Himalayas in present-day Nepal. Siddhartha's mother died soon after giving birth, but her sister (also married to Suddhodana) raised the precocious. Born to the Ksatriya or warrior caste, he enjoyed a well-to-do upbringing. By his own account he was "spoilt, very spoilt."

An oft-told tale concerns the prophecy of greatness that an aged priest named Asita made after the birth of Siddhartha. The name Asita ("not white") refers to the priest's dark skin, pointing to his descent from the pre-Aryan inhabitants of India. The rich golden glow of the baby Siddhartha is duly noted. What this observation means in terms of his racial origins is open to question.

Can we say with certainty that the Buddha looked more like a Hjalmar than an Asita? Or was he something in-between? The answers range from yes to maybe to no. H.G. Wells, noting the Buddha's highborn status, did not hesitate to classify him as an Aryan:

There were already strongly marked class distinctions and a practically impermeable partition between the noble Aryans and the darker common people. Gautama belonged to the former race. His teaching, we may note, was called the Aryan Path, the Aryan Truth. [H.G. Wells, *The Outline of History*]

Another reputable writer, Alexandra David-Neel, commented in 1939: One important point remains doubtful: did Suddhodana come of a pure Aryan stock, or was he, on the contrary, a member of the yellow race? Or had the ancestors of this petty prince, reigning over a frontier state, endowed him, by marriage contracted between members of the white and yellow races, with a mixed heredity? The present inhabitants of Nepal—the Newars—are of yellow stock, but this does not justify any definite conclusions as to the racial characteristics of their predecessors on the same ground, twenty-five centuries ago. [Alexandra David-Neel, Buddhism: Its Doctrines and Its Methods]

By 1974, Richard Henry Drummond noted:

There is some evidence, such as the presence of certain non-Aryan customs and the non-Aryan etymology of the names of Sakyan [the clan into which Siddhartha was born] villages, to suggest that at least part of the population about Kapilavastu was of Tibetan-Burman and therefore of Mongoloid racial origin. On the basis of the total evidence we seem to be unable to speak with finality of the racial background of the Buddha. The Scriptures, however, consistently refer to him as of Aryan race, and the term *Arya* is frequently used in his discourses to denote that which is noble and good. [Richard Henry Drummond, *Gautama the Buddha*]

During the Buddha's 50-plus years of preaching, the Brahman caste provided a relatively large proportion of his monastic disciples. The Brahmans also furnished many disciples for the other religious movements brewing in India at that time. One can only wonder if these disciples, members of the most elite group in the social order, would have been as attracted to the teachings of a Mongoloid as they would have to those of an Aryan.

Absent forensic evidence, it would be difficult enough to determine the race of any man living 2,500 years ago in northeastern India. To investigate the racial origins of the founding father of a great religion is to enter the realm of myth as well as history. The linking of the word "Aryan" with anything positive, let alone noble, must be a real eyeopener for the contemporary reader. Certainly, if we could get more non-Aryans to muster some enthusiasm for the Aryan Way, our world would have rosier prospects.

It must be noted, however, that Buddhism asserts that true Brahmanism is a matter of character rather than race:

No Brahman is such by birth. No outcaste is such by birth. An outcaste is such by his deeds. A Brahman is such by his deeds.

Words to remember, since noble is as noble does. Certainly, the proliferation of so many ignoble Majority members in our midst is proof enough that Caucasian genes are not in and of themselves a guarantee of elevated behavior.

In the meantime, let us take to heart the words of the Buddha, a man who may or may not have been one of us, but was certainly a credit to his race:

- Be a torch unto yourselves. Be a refuge unto yourselves.
- Let truth be your torch and your refuge, and seek no other.

JUDSON HAMMOND

The Title Is the Message

Jewish Power by J.J. Goldberg typifies the kind of book which is becoming ever more common, the kind that certifies the enormity of Jewish power but denies any suggestion of disloyalty or outright conspiracy. Written by the son of the late U.N. ambassador and Supreme Court Justice Arthur Goldberg, it contains a revealing but ultimately misleading look at the Jewish political presence in America, especially from 1967 to the present.

Goldberg provides valuable information on the first great splurge of American Jewish organizational efforts at the time of WWI, but the author (with good reason) chooses not to delve too deeply into the Paris Peace Conference or the stranglehold exercised by Rabbi Stephen Wise and Justice Louis Brandeis over President Woodrow Wilson. Instead he induces readers to believe that the all-powerful Jewish lobby in America is a creation of comparatively recent origin.

Superficially this thesis is plausible. As Goldberg correctly points out, American military aid to Israel was minimal until the advent of the Kennedy and Johnson administrations. It was Richard Nixon who practically made the U.S. a dependency of Israel. It was Richard Nixon who attempted to buy Jewish support by appointing the first Jewish Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger.

Jewish Power candidly admits the long-standing Jewish commitment to black "civil rights." Confirming what some honest writers have maintained, Goldberg reveals in laborious detail that Jewish radicals, such as Abraham Maslow and Isaiah Minkoff, working through the American Jewish Congress and other Jewish groups, were the driving forces in overturning the 1952 McCarran Act, which severely restricted immigration, limiting it largely to European whites.

The Jewish power of the purse and its effect on American foreign policy is intricately delineated. Goldberg shows how Jewish neoconservatives broke with the traditionally liberal, Jewish-financed Democratic Party to make support of Israel American Jewry's #1 issue. The coming to power of Israel's right-wing Likud coalition in 1977 reenforced the *Israel über Alles* mentality. Goldberg also has much to say about AIPAC (American-Israel Public Affairs Committee), the Conference of Presidents of Major Jewish Organizations and other mainstream Jewish organizations.

Since the in-house machinations of Jewish groups have been a mystery to most outsiders, it is here that the author demonstrates that Jewish power is particularly influential. First, there are the defense organizations: The American Jewish Committee, American Jewish Congress, B'nai B'rith and its Anti-Defamation League. Predictably, Goldberg describes these as purely virtuous groups devoted solely to protecting Jews from bigotry or anti-Semitism. Then there are the local Jewish communities organized by the Community Relations Councils and their umbrella organization, the National Jewish Community Relations Advisory Council. Most important is the financing of the various Jewish organizations through the massive funds provided by the United Jewish Appeal. This 50-year-old mechanism has, in Goldberg's words, "been the central engine driving all the other parts of the machine called the organized American Jewish community." Operating on a \$4-billion annual budget, the United Jewish Appeal leaves no doubt that Jews are internationally organized. Every year \$300 million is donated by the United Jewish Appeal to the Jewish Agency for Palestine, which, as a division of the World Zionist Organization, is directly responsible for the settlement and expansion of the Jewish settlements in the occupied West Bank.

Jewish Power is written from a transparently philo-Semitic perspective. It argues from its opening pages that Jews, in putting their group interests apart from and above their interests as American citizens, are only exercising their rights in a participatory democracy. The book makes no mention of the early Jewish objections to Zionism, particularly in Britain, where the Balfour Declaration was feared by many Brits as a prelude to double loyalty. Readers of *Jewish Power* will quickly understand that the fear was well founded.

The author concedes what cannot be denied, while avoiding unpalatable conclusions. In discussing Jewish control of the media, Goldberg allows that its organs are indeed permeated with Jews, but claims that this presents no problems of bias other than the peripheral ones, which Jews consciously strive to overcome in accordance with strict journalistic objectivity. Goldberg even tries to persuade the reader that Jewish journalists, such as Mike Wallace, are sometimes too harsh on Israel for this very reason. This sounds reasonable, but fails to explain why facts highly damaging to Israel, like the Nazi-Zionist collaboration of the 1930s, are seldom if ever brought up, whereas the Holocaust, the purported slaughter of six million Jews, is constantly featured.

Jewish Power is a safety valve book. It provides a semi-plausible explanation for the proliferation of visible Jewish power in recent decades, while obscuring the well-documented but less visible exercise of the same power in the past. The enormous Jewish role in the post-WWI Communist revolutions worldwide, the role of Jews at the Paris Peace Conference, the Jewish influence on FDR, Jewry's behindthe-scenes stage-managing of the Nuremberg Trials, the end of the British Mandate in Palestine are all passed over in silence.

Jewish power is not, as Goldberg alleges, a comparatively new phenomenon. What is significant about the book today is that it no longer faces strong opposition from those Jews who think it is appropriate to keep their clout under wraps. In this sense the book reveals far more by its title than its contents.

472

Prolegomena to Efficacious Majority Activity

y next several columns will deal with what Instaurationists can do to be of service in the struggle for the survival of the American Nation. The emphasis will be on fact, not fancy; on pragmatism, not visionary schemes. It is to be noted that, despite the drastic situation facing the Majority today, the formulation "What Can Be Done" has been preferred to, say, Lenin's more strident and imperious "What Is to Be Done," keeping in mind that the Majority's present prospects are bleaker than were those of Lenin's Bolsheviks in 1902.

This writer does not pretend to have all the answers. Nor does he mind risking the wrath of the gung ho crowd by expressing as much of a distaste for precipitous and illconsidered action as he has for inertia. Real achievement in the service of our race will rest in the future, as in the past, as much on deliberate action as on Hotspur heroism. What is needed is a persistent, efficacious heroism that infuses all Majority members.

This and subsequent essays offer suggestions for what Instaurationists and other racially conscious Majority members can achieve by means of personal activity that is aimed at both practical effect and personal fulfillment, rather than the venting of frustration. These writings are directed towards those who want to act here and now, rather than towards those who cherish fantasies as to what might be done later.

This first column will lay out a framework of operative facts, which demarcate the boundaries of effective action. The following columns will deal with effective means of acting by oneself and within an organizational framework.

At this point it is a waste of time to devote too many words to the current American racial quagmire. Each issue of Instauration is crammed with news of the dispossession (if not looming suicide) of the Majority and includes frequent recapitulations of the national and global peril to our kith and kin. (There will be readers who think the situation is really not so bad as Instauration paints it, or who believe that eugenics, the Second Coming or kindred technological or religious nostrums will be able to obviate the need for political remedies. They are invited to skip these lucubrations if they so desire, but by this time it is probable that few readers of Instauration cleave to such fancies.)

At the outset it should be observed that there are two modes of political activity, violent and nonviolent. Armed, insurrectionary struggle for citizens' rights has a long and honored tradition in this country. From Bacon's Rebellion to the American Revolution to the Confederacy and its aftermath, to the armed resistance that contributed mightily to the rollback of Reconstruction, whites have taken up arms against the legally constituted authority, and won renown for their struggles from their most valiant opponents.

Despite occasional past successes, armed resistance seems more than impractical at the moment. Neither an appropriate organization, a popular infrastructure nor a territorial base exists. Given half a chance, most fellow whites would inform on us and sentence us to prison or death in a trice.

In the absence of a reasonable hope for waging any meaningful resistance with any success at this time, it should be said of such attempts:

1. Scattered paramilitary forays not only tie up Majority resources (economic and legal), but render our cause largely reactive and defensive.

2. Such activities tend to make negative propaganda for the Majority (e.g., the Oklahoma City bombing).

3. Any openly insurrectionary activity dooms activists, often of high character, to lengthy prison sentences.

The most that can be hoped for from any violent undertaking at this time would be the symbolism that makes for effective propaganda, rather than for self-expression. Given the absence of the objective conditions for paramilitary success and that even the minima for independent action are missing from the start, it seems judicious to advise strongly against any manifestation of violence at this time.

This leaves nonviolent action, either on the part of an organization or an individual. Such action can be political, educational, cultural, charitable or mixtures thereof. As effective Majority activity increases, and an increasingly inclusive division of labor becomes possible, many more specific types of endeavor will become possible.

The first thing to be observed is that most speech on behalf of the Majority or against its minority opponents has been proscribed from the normal channels of discourse. As every Instaurationist knows, careers in big business, sports or politics can be ended by the stray joke or the impolitic remark at the expense of what Rudyard Kipling called the "lesser breeds without the Law." Now that they have the law—in spades—poking idle fun at this or that sacrosanct minority is of a piece, in America anno 1997, with the violation of the hermae alleged against Alcibiades in ancient Athens—an insufferable, intolerable sacrilege against the established order.

The inevitable concomitant of this state of affairs has been that efforts of whites to resist the threats to their national and racial integrity have been proscribed by law or censored, unofficially but with daunting effectiveness, in nearly every white country on earth. Explicit Majority activism in the U.S., as in those other countries in which it is not illegal, is for all practical purposes banned: from advertising, distributing and getting anything resembling a fair hearing in all the media that count.

The proscription of the full, overt Majority agenda doesn't necessarily mean that all public activity is closed to activists. A following column on this theme will discuss dealings with groups which advance this or that portion of the Majority agenda, sometimes on a single issue, such as immigration reform. There are political candidates who embrace all or much of the Majority program and who have a chance of winning or at the least making a national impact. Past examples include David Duke and Pat Buchanan, although such candidates and such causes may have their pitfalls for Majority activists. One needs to chose carefully, accept perforce lacunae and imperfections and occasionally sail under a somewhat different ensign than will be one day unfurled.

MORIARTY

The New American Family

I thought I'd seen everything in the way of poor taste, but I hadn't counted on Sara Rimer, a reporter for the N.Y. Times. In an article, "Across a Barrier, a Family Waited," Rimer tells the bizarre story of a black woman (described as "brown-skinned") born of the rape of a Boston-Irish white woman in the 1960s. The baby was immediately put out for adoption. Like many adoptees, when she grew up, she sought her natural mother. One would think that anyone finding out that she was the product of a rape would stop right there and get on with her life, instead of forcing herself on a person who was brutalized at the very act of conception. Not Barbara Williams of Minneapolis. Once she had discovered her origins, she barged into the life of her natural mother, Geraldine Cummins.

What is most disturbing about this is that Cummins accepted this ghastly intrusion, even inviting Williams and her black husband to visit the Cummins family in Boston for a Fourth of July picnic.

The article regales us with happy talk about "cousins," "aunts," "uncles," "brothers," and "sisters" hugging, kissing, eating, talking, playing volleyball and dancing. A wonderful time was apparently had by all! Too bad Barbara Williams' rapehappy father couldn't have shown up to make the party complete.

Mrs. Cummins happened to be married when she was raped by the proverbial black jumping out of a dark alley. Her Catholic religious beliefs prevented her from getting an abortion. Her husband stood by her and her other children never knew the truth about their so-called "sister."

Cummins told Rimer that "for a while" after the rape she had "feared black men," but she had put it behind her, saying she couldn't blame the whole black race for what had been done to her. Sorry, Mrs. Cummins, you can and should consider any black man a potential sexual predator if you are a white woman—or a white man, if you have the misfortune to be locked in an integrated jail cell.

Williams, a person without wit one, mused out loud about her "mother's" reason for giving her up for adoption. She just couldn't figure it out. The Cummins family are apparently real classy folks. Daughters Carol Delehanty and Theresa Shamshak gurgled that "everything in Boston is biracial now!" Wonder if they have been dragged into an alley recently.

The N.Y. Times' tawdry trash journalism is a stomach-turner. The facile assertion that Mrs. Cummins's family was in some way also the family of Barbara Williams was put forth in such a feckless tone that it defies belief.

It may come as a surprise but there are no family ties between Williams and Geraldine Cummins and their respective families. Mrs. Williams may be a decent person, if stupid. In any case she is not guilty of anything other than having been born under tragic circumstances. She should keep her distance from persons who may have a biological but will never have a true human bond with her.

Rape is the ugliest of crimes, worse in some ways than murder. When a primi-

tive rapes a woman of a higher stage of human development, even a woman as unthinking and unaware as Mrs. Cummins, it is a monstrous crime that must be punished in the most savage and ruthless fashion. Millions of years were required to bring together the genetic components that went to make a Geraldine Cummins. in a normal world no black would have the opportunity to pollute her genes or those of any other white woman. The white woman who courts the favors of a black or, as in the case of Mrs. Cummins, fails to see the woeful aftermath of giving birth to a mulatto, has committed a crime against her race.

I am personally ambivalent about abortion, but there is no fence-sitting when it comes to cases of rape. I believe that pregnant rape victims should have abortions without delay. As far as blackwhite rapes are concerned, only a lunatic would stand in the way of getting rid of a resulting fetus. As for black rapists of white women, prison should no longer be an option. There will only be one sentence and it should be carried out at once. That is why God gave us rope.

N.B.F.

The Hatch

On taking inventory of the ills from selfish thought's repetitive routine how cramped, how claustrophobically mean the world it makes, how wearily it mills pleasure from life and color from the hills our skull-encircled selfhood may be seen as a prisoner in a submarine where rusty bolts confine and boredom kills.

But ah! how radiant the rose when Love through something simple as a helping hand draws music from this dry and empty motion! For then we feel as if some hatch above our heads burst in a blaze of jubilant voices crying, "Look — the limitless ocean. . !" PETER J. LORDON

We Think the Unthinkable

A serious discussion is currently taking place here in these progressively disunited United States—one not simply among the drunken weekend warriors at the local bar or among the crazies busy at work making bombs in their basements in between appointments with their parole officer. The discussion concentrates on a solemn debate among otherwise sober and thoughtful citizens. The subject? The overthrow of the government! Questions are being raised by seasoned and reasonable minds as to whether or not a manifestly abusive government can be justifiably overthrown by its citizenry. If so, does the U.S. have such a government? The answers to that all-important question are startlingly candid and openly revolutionary!

A general consensus is that most men, most of the time, strive to function within the system. Most of us agree as a part of the unwritten Social Contract that we will support our governments and use whatever channels or processes are deemed appropriate for conflict resolution and the redressing of wrongs. The other element of this Social Contract is the expectation that government will in turn act as an impartial broker in the resolution of disputes brought before it—that it will, in some fashion, be responsive to the needs and concerns of its constituency.

The issue then is one of what, if any, alternatives to revolution exist for the citizenry when the executive, legislative and particularly the judicial means of redressing wrongs or achieving change are increasingly closed and, worse, when the government pursues a course of action diametrically opposed to long-established moral and ethical principles.

Christian activists and those of the racialist/nationalist right have been asking these questions for some time. The government's response has been attempts to silence these groups by clumsy and sometimes deadly means. As a result, the ideas of these groups, once marginalized, are beginning to enter the mainstream of intellectual thought. This is, needless to say, a natural consequence of and response to repression. Throughout history, however, most governments have been unwilling to understand or to accept this enduring truth.

The nationally known columnist Charley Reese wrote:

The law cannot protect people from tyranny. Americans, if they are to survive as a free people, will have to rely on some other moral compass other than the current corrupt law. Or they will have to, as others have done, force the law to conform once again to their morals and sense of justice—not sacrifice their morals and sense of justice to the law.

Former White House Chief-of-Staff Charles Colson, writing in First Things (Nov. 1996), a journal of contemporary moral and ethical concerns, sees a time when the people will simply have to declare the current Social Contract null and void. While Colson has been careful to frame his arguments with admonitions against violence, the underlying message is there and is clearly one of pessimism. Citing the systematic usurpation of power by the judiciary, Colson questions whether the state should continue to command the people's allegiance.

Clearly an unprincipled government's response to citizens who question its legitimacy is likely to involve the regime's established system of repression. The question is both fruitless and an invitation to further repressions. Whether or not citizens have the right to overthrow their government can be answered in part by citing historical precedent and resorting to the established principle of higher law.

Llewellyn H. Rockwell II, writing in the libertarian journal, Triple R, states:

Governments are not and should not be permanent, because they are not exempt from obeying the moral [or natural] law. Unjust and immoral regimes are not blessed by God; sometimes the angels are on the side of the revolutionaries.

Rockwell cites as an example the profound lessons of U.S. history: "The Declaration of Independence is nothing if not a tract on behalf of the eternal right of revolution,

The unanimous Declaration of States of America.

granted by God to a people who have suffered a 'long train of abuses.'" Even before the establishment of the U.S., Western advocates of natural law had established precedents dating back to at least the 14th century. According to Rockwell both Protestant and Catholic reformers have been the most outspoken and passionate advocates of the view that citizens both can, and should, resort to violence when faced with overwhelming tyranny. This is a particularly sad and ironic statement in light of the passivity and betrayal which characterizes so much of today's mainline Christian community.

As for the right to overturn the government, we do and always have had that right. The founding fathers knew that. Thomas Jefferson stated:

What country before ever existed a century and a half without a rebellion?. . .The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure. . . .Rebellion to tyrants is obedience to God.

At what stage of repression should this higher law be invoked? At what level can a people exercise their Godgiven right? Has the current government crossed that threshold or so violated the conventions of morality that the right of the people to revolt comes into play?

Clearly the list of tyrannies currently perpetrated by government and instituted in a cunningly incremental fashion would have outraged Jefferson. Had the population in the 1950s been suddenly confronted with the manifold evils of mass desegregation, the ascendancy of group rights over individual rights, quotas, affirmative action, unrestrained immigration, minority crime, legalized double jeopardy, the destruction of the family and the whole train of current abuses—abuses arising out of policies which have at their base no democratic consensus, but which have simply been imposed upon the people from above there would have long since been a revolution.

By now people have become so conditioned to these abuses that the level of repression needed to invoke the recourse to higher law becomes greater with the passage of time. James Madison wrote during the Virginia Convention (June 5, 1788):

Since the general civilization of mankind, I believe there are more instances of the abridgment of the freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments of those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations.

Madison was clearly right. Equally clear is that the government has used the very methods he warned against as a sinister yet very effective tool for the establishment of its agendas.

No crystal ball can foretell a clearly defining moment when the gauntlet will finally be thrown down. Nor is there a historically sustainable answer to the riddle of human forbearance, when the will to endure is replaced by the need to act and the rush to the barricades begins. What we find, however, is that the very question itself portends its own answer, an answer whose moment will only become known after the act.

LAWRENCE

Black Primitivism, White Technocracy

Trying to teach inner city blacks to operate computers is on the order of giving an M-16 to an African tribesman. He can be taught to shoot it, clean it, maybe even perform minor repairs on it, but that's all. It's an artifact from a higher culture and the benefits that primitives derive from it are strictly limited. Newly armed tribesmen can use acquired rifles to attack and defeat fellow tribesmen who do not have late-model guns. Under the right circumstances African blacks can even use M-16s to temporarily defeat the troops of advanced Western countries, as long as the savages have the advantage of numbers and an accurate knowledge of the terrain. In the long term, however, the entire cultural panoply that created that new rifle is missing and African tribes cannot recreate it.

One would think that Western man would learn not to hand out the products of advanced technologies to primitives

and expect any lasting good to come out of it. The personal computer and the Internet are both exclusive inventions of the Western mind. To most Asians, the computer can be put to effective and profitable use, though it is still unclear if they can initiate new advanced technologies. To a black man, the computer can only be a toy-fascinating, sometimes useful, often puzzling, but totally outside his inner world. It is distressing to see Negroes using the buzzwords of the Information Age when we all know that the essence of it is ever lost to them. One is reminded of some nameless rappers singing something about "technotronics." The closest they will get to technology is the latest device to steal a car.

An article on the Internet in the N.Y. Times contained a photo of two young blacks staring intently at the monitor of a computer. One of the two has the dazed and baffled expression of the village idiot trying to string a violin. The other is looking at the screen, trying to fathom what it is and what it means. He just can't figure it out. The expression on his face will one day turn to rage as his frustration grows.

I am reminded of the simple Russian soldiers who unscrewed light bulbs in German homes in WWII and took them back to Russia, where they tried to screw them into the mud walls of their huts. The difference is that the Russian peasant has within him the genetic material required to produce a nuclear scientist. Only circumstances and wretched historical events have deprived him of his opportunity. His son, given the right education, could take his place with Thomas Edison and William Shockley. Not so the Negroes glumly glowering at the computer. They will be screwing light bulbs in the walls of their mud huts for the next 250,000 years.

N.B. FORREST

The Fuzziest Thinker of Them All

ave you no decency, gentlemen?" This is the question that I would like to ask the editorial board of the New York Times. This august body must bear full responsibility for the unspeakable cruelty of allowing Mr. A.M. "Crazy Abe" Rosenthal to continue to publish his weekly column of mental spittle. While it is true that Rosenthal once ran the show at the New York Times and it might be difficult breaking the news to him, at least one of the carbuncled and withered crew on the editorial page should be able to muster enough nerve to give it to Old Abe right between the eyes. May I suggest Ms. Penelope Muse Abernathy, Senior Vice President for Planning and Human Resources? With a name like that (I am not making it up, friends), she would seem to be a natural to sit for a day or so, stroking Abe's liver-spot-blotched hands, cooing in his ear, gazing soul-

fully into his frenzied eyes, explaining in soft, measured tones that perhaps he should consider flying off to a bungalow in Bermuda. As long as all the sharp objects in the room have been secured before she starts talking, she should do just fine. True, it will be no fun sitting in a closed office with a 300-pound Jew, jowls aquiver, eyeballs revolving independently in their sockets, adult diaper soaked through and leaking.

Something has got to be done about Rosenthal. I can take the high-strung screeching of a Richard Cohen or the Talmudic hysteria and hatred of an Anthony Lewis, but can any normal or abnormal person stomach the words of a man in the last stages of dementia,

howling off into his own private nightmare, as the entire nation looks on in horrified fascination and skin-crawling embarrassment? I have monitored Abe's writing over the past two years, watching with, at first, amusement and then a deepening sense of disbelief as he went right over the edge.

In the New York Times (Aug. 9, 1996) there appeared an editorial by Rosenthal in his regular "On My Mind" column (I am just waiting for some typesetter to switch this to "Out Of My Mind"). Entitled, "Dred Scott in San Diego," the editorial claims to address the recent action of the Republican Party convention in adding a plank to its platform that calls for a change in the 14th Amendment that would deny automatic citizenship to children born in the U.S. to illegal immigrants. Rosenthal is outraged at the very idea! Well, he should be. His own father was an illegal. If the amendment had been properly and clearly worded in the first place, none of us would have had to bear the burden of having Crazy Abe around lo these many years. I will not examine the issue of the legitimacy of the entire 14th Amendment, which was illegally enacted in the Civil War era by Radical Republicans in violation of the clear provisions of the Constitution. But that is another bone to pick.

Rosenthal started his screed with a florid, kooky outburst that accused Republicans of having "violated" the spirit of the Constitution, the meaning of being an American, the nature of America and "a specific American ideal that has given it strength at home and glory abroad." That ideal is, as he went on to say, "If you are born in America, you are immediately and forever American."

"Is there any American reading this newspaper who

was not taught that in school?" Abe squealed. I can think of at least one. Hitting his stride, Abe said that the children of foreignborn parents believed this fable and "it was a candle that warmed the heart."

Abe was not finished. He complained that the "stinkweed of antiimmigrant prejudice" is spreading across the country, after having first been planted by "Fringe Folk" (that's us, the Fringe Folk). There followed a confused, muddy, inaccurate, illogical eructation on the *Dred Scott* decision, the slaves, common law and the mutterings of some opium-eating

professor from Columbia University. Columbia, we might recall, has given us of late an infamous school of frauds who have managed to distort the entire field of anthropological research into racial differences. The university is a playground for leftist nuts and Trotskyite hairballs who can't get a decent university job elsewhere. Rosenthal also claimed that the proposed change in the 14th Amendment would deny automatic citizenship to the children of some foreigners legally in the U.S. True enough, but so what? Let us look at the facts, something Rosenthal is genetically incapable of doing.

First, the *Dred Scott* decision has absolutely nothing to do with the issue of granting automatic U.S. citizenship to the children of illegal aliens or anybody else for that matter. The *Dred Scott* decision referred to property, not citizens or foreigners, legally or illegally in the country. At



Ehe New York Eimes

that time, before the Civil War, "chattel slavery" existed in the U.S. "Chattel" is defined as a movable piece of personal property. Black slaves were chattels. It was ugly; it was wrong; it was a great misfortune for our country; but it was a legal fact. The intention of the 14th Amendment was to give the rights of citizenship to those who, before its enactment, had been legally private pieces of movable property. Nothing was further from the minds of Congress than to allow this amendment to be perverted into legalizing for all time the invasion of this country by hordes of nonwhite Third Worlders and Jews. It never once occurred to our Congress in those far-off times that the day would come when our immigration and naturalization laws would be mocked and ignored by millions upon millions of aliens who sneered at us as they swam, flew, walked and rode across our borders, dropping brats as soon as they managed to squat in the Promised Land.

Until the passage of the 1965 Immigration Law, Congress made it quite clear that permanent entry into the U.S. was to be strictly controlled and that certain classes of people were to be either totally excluded or permitted to enter in minimal numbers. Prostitutes, criminals, vagrants, persons with communicable diseases and mental defectives were excluded. Other exclusions were based on race (Chinese and Japanese). When nonwhites were allowed to enter in large numbers, they were often classified as "guest workers," with the requirement that they leave after a specified time.

On the specific issue of granting automatic citizenship to children of illegal aliens, sloppy law-making allowed it to become a problem. Had Congress ever dreamed of the immigration mess we have today, it would undoubtedly have written into both the 14th Amendment and the various naturalization laws language that excluded the children of illegal aliens from the rights of citizenship, whether they were born here or on the dark side of the moon. *Jus soli*, citizenship by right of the soil, is certainly an ancient concept, as Abe stated. What he neglected to mention is that this "right" was always predicated on the idea that the persons giving birth were at least guests in the country where the child was born. It did not apply to lawbreaking interlopers, such as Rosenthal's father.

If one accepts Abe's logic—something no sane man is likely to do—Americans have no right to control who does and does not become "immediately and forever American." According to Abe, if 500 million pregnant Chinese or Nigerian women should manage to waddle across the Rio Grande and give birth the next instant, we would just have to throw up our hands and say, "Damn the luck!"

Relentlessly fuzzy-minded as always, Abe made the preposterous claim that, by denying citizenship to the American-born children of illegal immigrants, we would be "creating a hereditary class of aliens." By God, he groaned, it would "fundamentally alter the American Republic!"

Enough of this bosh. The pro-mud crowd writes about

illegal aliens as if they were created in a test tube or stranded in L.A. when their spacecraft pooped out while on an intergalactic journey to Alpha Centauri. Oh Lord! Where will they go, what will they do, if we—gasp! deport them from the Big PX? The simple answer is that they will just go home, like it or not, under their own steam or with the assistance of the Border Patrol. And they will take the kids with them.

Liberals apparently have some kind of mental block that makes them incapable of imagining life for little brown men outside the U.S. There are billions of people outside the U.S. They go to sleep, wake up, go to work or not, depending on luck, inclinations and the local economy. They eat two or three times a day, get drunk, have parties, get married. Sure, most of them don't live as well as we do and I would rather die than have to live out my life as a Hindu ragpicker. But I am not a Hindu ragpicker. I am an American, born here. My parents were born here and their parents and so on. I have a right to be here. The little brown men don't. They have a right to be in India or Gabon or Cambodia or wherever.

Which brings me to the whole idea of "stateless persons." Abe would have his readers think that the American-born children of illegal immigrants would be "people without a country"— stateless persons, if denied American citizenship. Rubbish. What they will be is citizens of the country their parents are citizens of. I am aware of no country on earth that denies citizenship to the children of its citizens born in another country. True, they would have to register their children at their embassy or consulate or, better yet, return home with them. But stateless they will not be. They may not be pleased at having to move back to the Third World. Again I say, so what?

Even more transparent and foolish was Rosenthal's scare-mongering about the children of legal immigrants or persons legally in the country being denied American citizenship. Let us assume that you are a British student at Harvard. While you are studying here legally, with a student visa, your wife gets pregnant and has a baby. Would the child be stateless? Would it be exposed on a rock by the beach? Come on. The child will be a British subject. If the parents are really here temporarily, as they have to claim when they apply for anything but a permanent resident visa, they should have no interest in having any children born here claim U.S. citizenship. If they want that, they should apply for a Green Card. That is, again, just the point. Many foreigners come here as "students" or "temporary workers" with the sole intention of having their wives drop a kid on U.S. soil or marry some witless American. Right now they become instant Americans, immediately and forever.

Another racket that needs to be abolished is so-called "dual citizenship." We have Rosenthal's racial cousins to thank for that. They want to keep one foot in Israel and one foot in Brooklyn. We have millions of persons in the U.S. now who are nominal citizens who are openly engaged in political activity in their native countries, involving us in their disputes and fights. In many cases they use their "dual" status to evade U.S. law and taxes.

But let's stop dancing around the real issues! Let's cut right through the bone and the marrow of this subject. As usual, Rosenthal let his Semitic blood get the better of him. He tipped his hand like the town drunk playing poker with Doc Holiday. Said Abe:

Eliminating citizenship as birthright unravels the careful weave of the entire amendment. What comes next? A little rewriting of the equal treatment business? Would you bet not?

Well, this is something. Rosenthal and his crowd have been the prime movers behind the events that have led to the flood of nonwhite immigration into the U.S. for the past 30 years. This has been a major factor in allowing them to attempt to destroy the real America, Majority America. The keystone of their efforts has been the "birthright citizenship" idea. Pack them in. As soon as they have a kid here, they are home free. Illegal aliens with U.S.born children are almost impossible to deport. They breed like rabbits. Soon the aliens will be here in such numbers that there will be no practical way of getting rid of them under the existing legal system, not even blow-torches and plastic explosives will get them out. Their children, the "New Americans," will have just as good a claim to being Americans as any WASP or hillbilly redneck.

Should the 14th Amendment be rewritten to deny automatic citizenship to the children of non-U.S. citizens or U.S. permanent resident aliens born here, it would at one stroke close a huge immigration loophole. Sneaking in would do little good, since establishing a family here would be a useless effort. Together with the crackdown on fraudulent marriages of aliens to U.S. citizens, the new, amended law would make it possible to first control and then reverse the muddy tidal wave.

More remote but of much more serious concern for the likes of Rosenthal is the possibility that at some time in the future a Majority government would take a whole new look at immigration, legal and illegal, and the citizenship issue. It would go something like this:

Following the appointment of true Majority Supreme Court Justices, some patriot would bring suit to deny citizenship to all persons and their children who illegally entered the U.S. since the passing of the 1965 immigration law, and in some cases before. The Supreme Court would rule in the patriot's favor and make the ruling retroactive, on the basis that the law never was intended to take legal notice of persons who had not entered the U.S. according to established immigration law. In other words, if you did not enter the U.S. legally, you never entered at all for legal purposes. All amnesties would be declared unconstitutional. Marriages of illegal aliens to U.S. citizens would be ruled invalid, as would all legal contracts they may have executed while illegals. Any person who had once entered the U.S. illegally would be permanently barred from legal immigration.

Panic City on the Lower East Side! With such legal backing a massive repatriation of post- and pre-1965 immigrants could start. Remember, when we rule, we will make the laws and interpret the Constitution, not them.

Mr. Rosenthal, newly minted citizen of the Russian Federation, courtesy of his scoundrelly old man from Smolensk, would be escorted to planeside personally by me. He'll love Moscow in the winter. He and General Lebed will eventually see eye to eye, Lebed looking at Abe through a peephole in a cell in the basement of the Lubyanka.

Not that the anti-immigrationists would go hog wild. After all, there are plenty of fine people who have come to the U.S. as immigrants. We would simply insert an executive clemency provision that would allow a presidential board to decide who goes and who stays. Good, decent folks would stay. All others would have 24 hours to pack. Then it's Nizhni Novgorod, here I come!

Maybe Old Abe isn't so crazy after all. He sure saw the danger in the idea of reforming the 14th Amendment. Seeing danger and avoiding it are two different things, Abe. Talked to your Aeroflot agent recently?

N.B. FORREST

Thinking over the implications of all the recent CIA, FBI and Defense Dept. turncoats who sell government secrets the other day, I got to ruminating about the meaning of loyalty. To the average Instaurationist, loyalty may appear to be almost instinctual, learned around the hearth and pertaining foremost to race, culture and nationality. To the average modern American bureaucrat, loyalty is something freely given to the authority structure momentarily in power. If, for example, the Democrats rule the political roost, it will be the Donkey Party to whom loyalty flows. The successful bureaucrat learns

Ruminations on Loyalty

early on to shift his loyalty from program to program depending on where he works. It is impossible to survive in the contemporary American bureaucracy in any other way. Such an easily given and easily withdrawn spirit of loyalty sets up a condition where innate loyalties—to race, culture and nationality—are hardly touched upon. A child may sense the importance of bonding together with his "own kind," but such intuitive thinking becomes passé early on and yields to the bureaucratic sense of loyalty, when subjected to the strains and stresses of modernday bureaucratic life. Lest we comfortably think that the bureaucracy of which we talk is limited to employment in Washington, the state capitals and even county governments, we should recall that nearly every organization to which modern man belongs has its unique spirit of bureaucratic selfprotection. Are you a member of an organized church, fraternal lodge, sports club or country club? Then, as likely as not, you are being "socialized" to support such an institution even against the best interests of the country as a whole.

A Matter of Focus

There is a frequent subtext to many writings in Instauration, to the effect that organized Christianity has let us down—which it surely has, with the higher echelons especially lining up against the interests of the Majority. Nevertheless it must surely be the case that practically all members of the Instauration family were born into and raised in some Christian denomination. Thus the mental evolution from standard member of Somechurch, Somewhere, to committed supporter of the white race must have involved some wrenching decisions, especially as regards the role religion plays in providing a moral basis for fundamental issues of right and wrong.

Some instaurationists have clearly had to abandon the faith of their fathers or adopt, or even invent, new religions to meet their grown-up needs. I suppose that just about everybody has invested some time and sincere thought in such matters. We have seen a number of approaches to this issue in the pages of Instauration. To me all of them have been valuable. In return I would like to offer some thoughts that have come to me as I have worked my way through these issues.

The basic matter is one of viewpoint or seeing. Conveniently the camera, that mechanical eye, provides us with some useful metaphors.

The depth of a camera's field of focus can vary from shallow to deep, as the aperture is varied from wide open to a narrow circle. With a deep "depth of field" a camera sees objects, near and far, in sharp focus. With a narrow depth of field, only a thin layer of objects is sharply delineated. Objects closer or further away are unfocused and blurred.

One can think of a person's sense of time as a matter of depth of field. With a grasp of the present moment that is only instants deep, the short-sighted see nothing approaching before it arrives. They cannot see where events are going. The astigmatic inhabit a world of constant surprise and no meaning.

With wisdom gained by the experience of years, a person can develop a deep sense of the present moment—a sense that what is happening "now" started long ago, is organically developing and has an evolving shape so clear that "future" stages of it are apparent now and, in effect, exist now.

This is why some people devote themselves to longterm causes, the origins of which go back many lifetimes, and whose destinies will not become manifest for years, generations or even centuries. For such people these things are not matters of a distant era. For them the subject of their concerns are issues occurring right now.

With maturity comes an interest in history, based on a desire to understand the present, because what is called history is really a part of the present. Since origins shape

destinies, wisdom perceives the earliest facts as more important than the trivialities of the passing moment. The powerful insight grows that the view which can see furthest backward is thereby empowered to see furthest forward into what is becoming.

There is another direction in which this analogy of a camera's depth of field can go: the sense of self. But here the description is not as straightforward as it is with the concept of time.

The alienated intellectual posited by the existentialists has a tortured sense of self. On the one hand, as a highly individuated person, he has a strong ego. On the other, he is alienated enough from all other people to feel lost in a large and loveless universe. For such minds other humans are just objects, because they are perceived as other, separate, disconnected, pointless, meaningless and destinyless. This perception reflects back upon the self of the perceiver. He, too, is disconnected and meaningless.

This is the ideal and goal of the masters of manipulation—the state of mind they wish to impose on all people who have capability for independent thought. Atomized mankind will not unite to overthrow its oppressors. People who see everything as meaningless have no motive to revolt—or take any other coherent action.

The ignorant mass man represents another extreme. His sense of self is deficient at the polar ends of being. At the micro end, he has little sense of himself as a separate acting and observing consciousness. Solzhenitsyn remarked that to have a point of view is that aspect of a person which is most to be prized. At the macro end, mass man's consciousness is comprised of a small number of people, and a set of small concerns.

On the benefit side, mass man is not lonely. He brings with him a state of mind most convenient for the controllers.

The ideal person has a powerfully balanced force of mind. He is highly individuated and self-conscious, yet completely a part of the organic, evolving stream of humanness: biological, cultural and spiritual. We approach this "depth of field" with the question: of what am I a part?

The wise parent knows that the child is the self of the parent. The knowing mother says of her daughter, "I know what she is thinking before she thinks it." The mother had just such thoughts as a child. The child brings back the childhood of the parent, making the two times of the child's childhood and the parent's childhood coextensive. The times and selves are at one in the consciousness of the parent.

That this realization is not reciprocated by the child has no bearing at all. The universe is full of non-reflexive relationships. The experience of parenting reveals the parents of the parent in a new light. The father sees how for his own children he is the father his father was to him. Eventually he begins to seek the mind of his grandfather. Ancestry becomes interesting and important.

This expanding realization of the self continues to grow throughout life in a progressive and expanding consciousness: family, tribe, nation, race, planet. In consciousness, as in biology, ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny.

It may be thought that a highly individuated and selfactualized person—a great intellect, a soul full of poetry and art—cannot be heroic, could not sacrifice himself for others. He has too much to live for, too much to lose. But this is not the case. The fully realized self could easily make the trade: to let go this instance of the self (a gift after all), in order to assure the ongoing life of the rest of the self.

In addition to the other tragic costs of divorce, the destruction of marriage and the family is the breaking up of this chain of realization. When the state becomes the parent, what kind of consciousness evolves?

The mental war against the guardians of the white race involves the attempt to corrupt, deny or destroy history, and to atomize the sense of self. This is an attempt to maroon us in a universe without unity—either of the world in which we live or of the self that we each are.

Wisdom compels virtue, because the truly wise person recognizes the consequences of his actions and knows such consequences cannot be avoided. Consequences do not fall on others and they will not visit us some other time. The enemy says, "Après moi, le déluge." But there really is no such thing as "Après moi." Keynes's famous dodge: "In the long run we shall all be dead," is totally false. In the long run, we are still here, carrying on.

When I take personal responsibility for past, present, and future generations, I am consciously maximizing my personal sovereignty over self and time.

This is the moral basis for our stand.

145

No Truck With Homos

The pink tide has washed over Washington. We are governed by men who haven't the guts to call a queer a queer, who quibble over the wording of a law to legalize same-sex marriages, instead of throwing the perverts proposing such a legal abomination into a dark pit.

The N.Y. Times recently carried an article by a creature named Frank Rich, who was in a snit over alleged "hypocrisy" among senior members of the Republican Party. Seems that G.O.P. bigwigs have been willing to wink at the pansies in their midst, as long as the poofters toe the party line and keep their private lives private.

Calumnist Rich names Arthur J. Finkelstein, a lavender-tinted political consultant for the likes of Jesse Helms, New Hampshire's Bob Smith and Oklahoma's Don Nickles, as prime examples of hypocrites. According to Rich, pervert Finkelstein lives in Ipswich (MA) with his catamite and his two children (presumably from an earlier marriage). If Helms, Smith and Nickles knew this when they hired Fruity Finkelstein, they are no better than he is. Holy Moses! Two homosexuals shacked up, with kids! "Family values," 1997 style.

Rich claims that if all the Republican homos came out of the closet, "the G.O.P. wouldn't be the anti-gay party." I don't doubt it for a minute.

Actually, Rich is on to something. It is disgusting for so-called "conservative Republicans" to associate with homos, seek their advice and allow them into the councils of the nation. The so-called conservatives then pretend they are opposed to homo dallying for the benefit of the hicks back on the farm. As GOP political consultant Tanya Melich puts it, this "poisons politics." Indeed it does, but not in the way she thinks.

I have tried, evidently without much success, to make Majority members understand the dangers of giving any ground on the homo issue. Too many people on our side think that this is a secondary battle. It is not. The acceptance of homosexuality, its elevation to the status of a "normal lifestyle," is a cultural disaster.

Only by rebuilding the traditional family unit will we ever crawl out of the slime. Homosexuality is not the only issue these days, but it is a basic issue. Children must be raised in stable, loving families. Children from such families, as opposed to single-parent ménages such as Finkelstein's, are crippled from the start. That some heroic single mothers succeed against all odds in turning their children into fine, upstanding adults does not alter the general rule. Broken homes equal broken kids.

As has become all too clear, the homos' grab for political power does not stop at their desire to legalize their habit of flaunting themselves in public restrooms. What these weirdos really want is nothing less than the destruction of what they hate—the normal, healthy American family. Homos are driven by a primeval rage against heteros. Police officers know that murders committed by homos are the sickest and most violent. Why? Because their genes are out of joint. As the editor of this magazine once put it, queers do queer things.

Our mission is not merely to wrest political power from the alien. We also have the task of rebuilding our nation from the ground up, a task which can't be accomplished with the participation of homos. Ever wonder why the G.O.P. always folds? Why is it it can never quite muster what it takes to break the hold of the Beltway crowd and do what its leaders know must be done and what the people want done? Jewish influence is part of the reason, as is the influence of twits like Jack Kemp and William Bennett. But Kemp and Bennett are not the only guilty parties.

The G.O.P. is in thrall to men who have no beliefs, no principles. A decent pol would not tolerate for an instant the likes of a Finkelstein in his campaign. And yet, Jesse Helms, Mr. Troglodyte, the leftists' public enemy #1, is palsy-walsy with the man whose lifestyle would delight Caligula and Nero. The G.O.P., my friends, is a sham. It will never be the vehicle for the recapture of our country.

There will be a vehicle, though. The boldly lettered sign at the entrance to the HQ of that future party should read: "Queers need not apply"

Et in Arcadia Ego

Charles Gaines, the fellow who wrote the national bestseller, *Pumping Iron* (an exposé of the body-building culture), has written a new book, *A Family Place*. It's his true-to-life story, the saga of a runaround, coke-snorting Hollywood monster who wanted to "return to the center of his life." Accordingly he, his wife and three children bought a plot of land and built a vacation cabin in a remote corner of Nova Scotia. Their experience constitutes the meat of the book.

The rural area where the family moved was the home of a closely knit Acadian community that welcomed the newcomers to its bosom and was a major factor in Gaines's healing process. The way of life of the real, untainted, down-toearth people who had their heads screwed on straight and their priorities in order differed sharply from the lifestyle of denizens immersed in the Hollywood trash culture.

But once the cabin was finished, once the hard lessons had been learned and domestic bliss restored, Charles regressed. He invited his old Hollywood director friend, Stan, to come up and buy a piece of land adjoining his bayfront paradise. A discordant note sounded as soon as Stan stepped from his rented Lincoln Continental, attired in a Hawaiian shirt and punching a cellular phone in his hairyknuckled hand. There was no cellular service, he griped. He couldn't call his agent and his starlets.

The Tinseltowner huffed and puffed as he strutted about, spouting lewd jokes inbetween his complaints and completely ignoring the inspiring scenery. "Where are we? We're nowhere! I'm getting bitten by something!" Stan was aghast that Gaines's land was at a higher elevation than the property he was considering. At one point he announced plans to build a Mexican stucco palace and bring greasers up to do the sweat work. Noticing the beautiful island at the mouth of the harbor, Mr. Hollywood announced he might buy it and install a marina or a theme park.

The second day of his visitation Stan insulted the local real estate agent by telling him that he'd overcharged Gaines in the land sale. He then tried to jew him down on the purchase of a piece of land for himself.

To Mrs. Gaines's credit, she chased Stan off. Thankfully the locals never had to worry about the threat a voracious Chosenite posed to their tranquil, pastoral existence.

But Charles Gaines still hadn't learned his lesson. His book ended with a description of the family's dream to start a "camp for disadvantaged children." Let us hope that this dream never materializes. Moving ghetto kids up to that pristine environment and exposing the local white children to all sorts of dysfunctionional blacks is a nauseating proposition. Let the lovely Acadian community be spared from any and all efforts to despoil it.

233

Editor's note: Arcadia was a sort of classical Garden of Eden in Ancient Greek literature. The Latin quotation can be translated, "And I also am in Arcadia." The speaker is a cynic, whose pessimistic message is that some element of evil will always be found in the most idyllic and holiest places. Acadia is the name the French gave to their colony in what is now Nova Scotia. In 1755 British troops drove out the Acadians. Some went to Louisiana, where they're now known as Cajuns. Others eventually found their way back to Nova Scotia.

Blacks Only Partially Averse to Crime

Instaurationists should put minimal stock in the minority argument that colored folk are steadfastly opposed to crime because they are its chief victims. The minority view on racial crime may be complex, confused and contradictory, but overall it certainly isn't overly antagonistic. For those who live on life's other side, crime is payback time for the endless list of real or imagined injuries suffered by those who lurk in the shadowed alleys of urban America. To them crime is not only justified; it is the source of a great deal of income. For some it may be only the solution to the extra dollars needed to buy the weekend bottles of Ripple.

Many an Afro mother looks upon the ill-gotten lucre of her Leroy's evening romp through the downtown department store as a windfall tax-free gain. Many a Leroy, who works in white suburban malls by day, takes his own bit of bonus out the back door while the shop owner guards the front. It is true that the same crime which is excused when committed against whitey becomes a cause célèbre when perpetrated against minorities. At such rare moments nonwhites trot out a tap dance of wailing and woe, a shuffleshoe rationalization that still scores with the liberal rubes who pay their shekels for this cynical vaudeville. Never does it occur to our rulers to wonder that if minorities really hate crime so much why do they wallow in it? The establishment line is that crime is an expiation of sin based on the inevitabilities, not of race but class.

Liberals look down their noses at working-class whites, saying, in effect, that all lower classes are bitten by the criminal bug. But are they? Not not according to the tale told by FBI crime statistics, which pretty clearly state that working-class whites—especially those who live apart from minorities—maintain a high moral posture either despite or because of their limited resources. Blacks do the opposite, committing crime at a much higher rate than whites in the same econmic category.

It was the Irish sluggers and the Italian bomb-throwers of old immigrant days who bent the law as well as the heads of many a Majorityite. But that episode of social dysfunctionality lasted only a short time, the Irish moving on to the building trades, politics and the professions, while the Italians rediscovered the law, medicine and the arts. In sum both groups proved that the white man has within his genes some mysterious impulse that finds its expression sooner or later in a manner not shared by blacks, who, having been here nearly 400 years, still scratch about the edges of existential life, making louder and louder excuses for the failures that deepen their despair.

IVAN HILD

Not Enough Eugenics, Too Much Dysgenics

One of the most important books of this era is *Dysgenics: Genetic Deterioration in Modern Populations* (Praeger Publishers) by Richard Lynn, Director of the Ulster Institute for Social Research, Coleraine, Northern Ireland. Lynn, a graduate in psychology at Cambridge, was one of the 52 world-renowned psychologists listed in the Wall St. Journal article, "Mainstream Science on Intelligence" (Dec. 13, 1994). All were in agreement with the major premises of the controversial The *Bell Curve.*

Professor Lynn's new work sounds loud and clear the alarm that dysgenics (genetic deterioration) is a major threat to Western civilization. Until about the year 1800, the author asserts, people with the best qualities had more children reach maturity than did the lower classes because they took better care of their children. For the latter it was a common practice to expose unwanted and illegitimate children to the elements. Dead babies were frequently discovered in gutters or on rubbish heaps.

Today, with numerous social programs in effect, the lower classes greatly outbreed the middle and upper classes. As *The Bell Curve* and other studies have shown, children with high IQs have fewer brothers and sisters than their low IQ counterparts. Lynn concludes that because of disparate birthrates the overall genetic IQ has declined in the developed world at something like one point per generation. In Britain he estimates IQ declined 6.2 points from 1890 to 1980.



Contraception is one of the main causes of genetic etiolation in Western populations. Since their inception contraceptive devices have been used much more by people of greater intelligence. The introduction of the rubber condom in the 1870s gave responsible individuals a reliable way to limit their families. Unfortunately not all population segments had the foresight, discipline and means to make use of them. Lynn writes, "Once contraception became widely available, dysgenic fertility became inevitable."

Race enters into his study when Lynn says the black underclass is outbreeding the few intelligent blacks at a much greater rate than intelligent whites are outbreeding low-IQ whites. At present the IQ of white Americans is probably declining at the rate of one point per generation; the decline for black IQ is just over two points.

Lynn reaches the dismal conclusion that the current reproductive habits of Western populations not only guarantee further genetic decline, but dash any and hopes of genetic improvement.

It is fair to say that the future of the West depends on how we cope with human genetics and its two components, eugenics and dysgenics. If we lack the intelligence and the will to confront this disastrous situation, then there is no hope, not only for this country but for our species. In Lynn's words, a "demographic catastrophe" is assured.

323

Witch-Hunters Strike Again!

When the U.S. needed tool and die makers during the Cold War, advertisements in Germany invited qualified personnel to apply for the jobs. Hans Breyer responded to the invitation while pointing out that he had been drafted into the SS infantry reserve for service at Buchenwald and Auschwitz.

Initially Breyer was turned down. Six months later he was accepted when it was determined that the SS Verband, which ran the camps, was not the same as the SS units, which served as guards on the perimeter of such installations.

Breyer chose to work in Philadelphia, since his mother had been born in Manayunk (PA). As a teenager she moved to a German enclave in Slovakia where she married and raised a family. Unaware he was a citizen by virtue of his mother's birth in the U.S., Breyer successfully applied for citizenship in 1957. This citizenship has now been revoked.

In 1994, the House of Representatives passed a bill which formally acknowledged that children of American mothers were automatically citizens, as had been the case with offspring of American fathers. When the bill reached the Senate ironically while Breyer was on trial— Senator Edward Kennedy attached an amendment to exclude persons who had participated in ethnic persecution. This should be known as the Hans Breyer Amendment, since he is the only one affected by it. Not found guilty of any crimes, Breyer has been forced to spend his hard-earned money to defend his right to live out his retirement years in the country in which he became a citizen. He came to America because America needed his expertise. He served his new country well and, if his persecutors in Washington have their way, his reward will be expulsion at the very twilight of his life.

The OSI (Office of Special Investigations) claims credit for Breyer's deportation, which he is appealing. Little wonder that the eternal witch-hunts staged by Jewish bureaucrats are a prime source of the very anti-Semitism that the organization is supposed to wipe out.

191

Let's Go A-Cloning

When British embryologist Ian Wilmut successfully transferred DNA from the cell of an adult sheep into a sheep egg from which all the original DNA had been removed, he created Dolly. He also created an uproar. The event caused consternation among many liberal and religious groups who derided the incredible feat as some kind of horrible Nazi eugenics. It might, they feared, lead to the cloning of humans.

All of this running in circles and breast-beating leaves me shaking my head in amused amazement. At present

human cloning has a long, way to go. Dr. Wilmut's procedures at this stage are very primitive. It took the good doctor 277 tries before he produced Dolly. I suspect even the most hardnosed scientist would find it difficult to accept 276 failures with human eggs, many of which had developed into embryos.

Many scientists view cloning as a great boon. In the future fewer naturally

born animals will be needed for research projects. When identically genetic animals are used, researchers can be more confident of their results, as differences in data cannot be attributed to differences in the animals. Dolly has unlocked infinite possibilities of further pathbreaking discoveries that could bring substantial benefits. For example, within ten years surgeons speculate this kind of cloning could yield animal organs tailor-made for human transplants. To avoid rejection animal organs will be designed with surface cells identical to those on human organs.

The gains for animal husbandry as a result of Wilmut's accomplishment are simply boundless. Superior farm animals will be replicated without end. The cloning process combined with genetic engiUniversity of Alabama in Birmingham, has an interesting thought:

Very few kids are wanted, chosen, reflected upon. I don't think we should say "no" to a new technique that might create more wanted children. You have one view of reproduction that is random. The other view is that human reproduction should be rational. People are scared about that.

If and when the first humans are cloned, they will probably be the types who have turned to cryogenics in a pathetic attempt to achieve immortality.

> These people have their bodies frozen and shelved in the belief they can be revived whenever whatever illness killed them is curable. To such believers, cloning may be an irresistible answer to their quest to escape death. If they have enough money, they ought to have no difficulty finding scientists who will try to carry out their wishes. One problem is that because of environmental factors the clone will have a somewhat different psychological makeup.

I personally look upon cloning as yet another step—a tremendously important step—in man's never-ending quest to discover the unknown.

323

Cloning Changes the Evolutionary Picture

neering will add tremendously to our

food supply, thereby providing much-

needed additional nutrition for Homo sa-

Gregory Pence, a medical ethicist at the

As for the hope of cloning humans,

piens worldwide.

The news that an adult sheep has been cloned successfully in Scotland seems to have greatly alarmed the Washington Post and its establishmentarian readers. Might this genetic breakthrough speed the loss of their political monopoly? Applied to humans, the use of DNA from adult rather than from fetal cells would allow time-proven, top-quality genetic blueprints to produce healthy and stable people of creative brilliance, great energy and productive longevity.

Consider the rare ideal human of personal acquaintance or, better yet, a Michelangelo or a Shakespeare. Then think of the consequences of having a thousand such people. If human cloning is viable, the question need no longer be hypothetical, at least in any country subscribing to Galton's eugenics. Two nations that come to mind are China and Israel. Even Africa might rise to high standards if suitable DNA was available.

The new cloning techniques appear to be simple enough for use in underground laboratories, even in countries whose rulers choose to make cloning illegal. It is notable that in the United States, the massively bureaucratic National Institutes of Health, in directing its grandiose DNA decoding effort, the Human Genome Project, prefers to follow politically correct "bioethics" in brewing a cocktail of nucleic acid from several races. Lost in this process is important information about racial differences that could prove to have corrective value for family lines arising from an unfortunate initial shuffling of the genetic deck.

The revolutionary technology of cloning should settle the nature versus nurture argument once and for all, even in the most stubborn minds of the most stubborn egalitarians. In addition cloning promises to bury the environmental excuses for homosexual behavior. A dozen or so genetically identical embryos developing in as many different surrogate wombs will yield volumes more data than classical twin studies.

It's quite possible that the fittest race of the future will be the one that gets to the cloning lab first.





Hitler an Anglophile?

Houston Solution (Backtalk, Nov. 1996) takes issue with Hitler for having made an alliance with slant-eyed Japs against the British Empire. Is he unaware of Hitler's frequently stated belief that the British Empire was the chief bulwark of white supremacy? Hitler made numerous attempts to achieve an alliance with Britain, all of which were rebuffed by the decadent, plutocratic, Rothschild-dominated British elite. Hitler's interpreter, Paul Schmidt, wrote that when Der Führer heard of the fall of British Singapore he remarked with a sigh, *So oder so, es ist zum bedauern.* "In any case, it is regrettable."

ZIPLESS

Female Voters

A backhand to the backside of the Backtalker who made the gratuitous remark about female voters opting for Clinton. What he says is probably true, but not for the reason he thinks-that the potato-nosed, blow-dried, multiple fellatee is attractive to the opposite sex. The women I polled about voting Democratic responded that the reason they did so was Clinton's promises to bend the corporate world to the wishes of working mothers by mandating generous company-bestowed bennies (leave, day care stipends, etc.) that European workers enjoy. Until the late 60s, most women voted with their husbands, not because they believed in their spouse's politics, but because people were more inclined back then to marry someone of a similar background. Backtalker is correct, however, about the increasing gender gap. Only one of the women I queried-a Mormon housewife-had a genuinely stupid reason for voting for Clinton. She opined, "Dole looked mean." A male voter had an equally stupid reason: the economy was going great guns, a phenomenon he ascribed to Clinton. Any person with half a brain person knows that the president does not "control the economy." At least most women voted out of intelligent self-interest, even if you may not agree with their efforts to nannyize the corporate world.

M.M.

Deconstructing El Máximum Líder

I sent N.B.F.'s piece on Fidel Castro (Jan. 1997) to two friends, one of them a Spaniard who considered it a well-written article. He explained that the early sympathy El Barbudo enjoyed in Spain for having freed *"la perla del Caribe"* from Meyer Lansky and *Yanqui* culture had long been dissipated by Castro's having turned the island, especially *La Habana*, into a sewer. My other friend, a university-educated, Cuban-born American, said that N.B.F. had it just right. He only cavils at Forrest's "leniency" towards Fidel, whom he regards as a psychotic savage and a hater of the white race (particularly its better classes).

087

Late-Comer Irving

That review of David Irving's book on Goebbels (March 1997) was not only hard-hitting but also one of the most important reviews ever published in Instauration. I find questionable, however, the assertion that Goebbels "instigated" Kristallnacht. In her book, *Feuerzeichen*, Ingrid Weckert presents evidence that Goering, Hitler and Goebbels were also involved.

Irving's study of various archives certainly deserves praise, but I have doubts about some of his conclusions. He often ignores the important research of other authors.

Irving has to sell his books, on which he is dependent for a living. This might impair his objectivity. He has another problem. He was a Johnny-come-lately in recognizing the fraudulent aspects of the Holocaust. At the second Ernst Zündel trial in Toronto in 1988, Irving finally got around to admitting that he was strongly impressed by the evidence. Austin App, Arthur Butz, Wilhelm Stäglich, 1 and others had raised questions about the Holocaust long before Irving converted to anti-Holocaustism.

741

Sousa's Genes

My thanks to Zip 652 in Backtalk (April 1997) for the correct lineage of John Philip Sousa (1854-1932). His father was Portuguese, his mother German. At the age of 14 he played altohorn in the Capitol City U.S. Marine Band and later composed as many as 140 popular marches, plus several operas which were not so popular. Some think his best work was borrowed from German military band marches (legion in number). The format of his most famous pieces, such as *The Stars and Stripes Forever*, can be found in such indestructible compositions as J.F. Wagner's *Unter den Doppeladler*, known to Americans as *Under the Double Eagle*.

ZIPLESS

Israel Forever

"Israel Should Remain As Is" (April 1997) stated that Israel should be supported because (1) the Zionist state is a collection agency for just those folks whom we dislike the most, (2) Israel isn't as bad as those terrible Ayrabs, (3) the fall of Israel would send 5 million Jews scurrying westward.

Ignoring the seeming inconsistency in the value of Israelis imbedded in the above arguments, let us turn towards their merits. Unfortunately, not one seems to hold water. (1) Israel holds no magnetic appeal for the Chosen no matter what TV docudramas say. Israelis are looked upon by many of their Western cousins as kooks and nuts, religious and political fanatics whose zealotry might be compared to that of a crazy uncle living in the attic. It can be said that Zionism itself violates the essentials of Jewish pragmatism. How else to evaluate a lifelong fight to the death with a hundred million shrieking Arabs over the control of some worthless sand? (2) As for the claim that Israelis are not as bad as Arabs, such is essentially irrelevant to what should be America's primary interest in the Middle East. Oil! The Arabs have it and we need it. (3) Would the fall of Israel actually lead to millions of Jews screaming "Westward Ho!" and scurrying to Scarsdale, Palm Beach and Beverly Hills? Only if the Jews who now man Israel's ramparts lose their ardor for the great sacrifice. Fanatics to the core, they would just as likely fight to the bloody, pyrotechnic end, presenting this tottering world with the kind of send-off party it would likely not forget for a long time.

IVAN HILD

We Must Get Off the Dime

I have been a subscriber to Instauration, Liberty Bell, Gan-Pac, Truth At Last, Free Speech, American Renaissance, Mythbusters, Resistance and other racialist publications for a number of years. I am beginning to feel it is all a waste of time and money. Nothing is ever done. Despite all the good writing found in these publications, despite the splendid definitions of the problems facing us, despite the web pages we have on the Internet, we are spinning our wheels.

I am bloody tired of hearing about all the terrible things Negroes (Bantus I call them) are doing to us and to our society. It only takes 50 such examples to get the attention of even the most moronic element in our midst. The same can be said about Jews. We all know by now what harm they have done and are doing to us. Merely repeating these horror stories ad infinauseum does us precious little good.

We cannot put into effect the racial and economic policies we desperately want without political power. We can't gain political power without winning over the minds of a considerable segment of the white population. We can't win their minds without strong media presence. We can't get that without money--lots of money. There is the problem in a nutshell. Money!

We won't be able to attract anyone with money when we are a feuding group of apparent losers. The racial movement has to first coagulate around a political theory—a radical theory such as National Socialism. What won me to the racialist movement in the first place was reading *Mein Kampf* and finding that I instinctively agreed with most of the propositions Der Führer set forth. That was followed by Alfred Rosenberg's *The Myth of the 20th Century*. These two works opened my eyes as to the identity of those who were causing the decay of the West and made me aware of the urgent need to combat these destroyers. The Jews.

We must not only weld our splintered and fractious groups together, there must appear from somewhere a man of the hour. A leader. A man of great courage and strong will. A genius. A man of superb character. A man of honor and honesty. A man unwilling to compromise. A man of great charisma. A man who knows humility and is not eaten by the worm of egoism. Movements get nowhere without superhuman leadership. While he will be a target of ZOG and ZOG's many allies, we must surround him with our lives. Time is short. Let the search begin.

ERNE

The Bloody Laws of Araby

The author of "Israel Should Remain As Is" (April 1997) has raised some interesting points. Here are a few more. The Arabs are an inferior race. Their intellect is considerably lower than that of European whites and their temperament makes them very unstable. Arab nationalist leaders understand this and know that the only way for their people to survive is to create an environment where their weak traits are kept in check. So they implement laws that look to us as extreme examples of brutality. If you steal, you get your hand cut off. Brutal and vicious but, considering the low intellectual potential of their people, the ability of their society to create wealth is very limited. As can be imagined, not every Arab has the capacity for productive work and those who do not like to work are more likely to engage in criminal activities. Lopping off a hand is an extreme means of stopping this. It is guite possible that without such punishment Arabs would have ceased to exist a long time ago. Their social order would have disintegrated into chaos.

It is essentially the same with alcohol. The vast majority of our people can handle hard liquor without losing control or becoming addicted. For people as unstable as Arabs, however, alcohol is a recipe for disaster. Most of them would become inveterate alcoholics if allowed to indulge. So their leaders have developed horrific laws and customs to prevent this from happening.

In the more orthodox Arab states, such as Saudi Arabia, a woman, even a foreign visitor, cannot go to a restaurant with a man who is not her husband. If she is seen in public in a dress that is not long enough, she should be prepared for an unpleasant encounter with the local religious police. It's pretty much the same in Iran. A married Arab who sees a woman with an uncovered head, not to mention one wearing a short and low-cut dress, would be so excited that he would forget everything else.

Even if we remove Israel from the equation, even if we imagine that every white nation has become a national state and has officially declared that Jews are its sworn enemies, this would still not remove the underlying source of conflict between us. Arabs will always see us as a force that is determined to destroy them, even if we profess and demonstrate nothing but peaceful intentions. We can severely destabilize their societies with a single TV channel and a few disco clubs, plus a couple of Club Med resorts. The one way for their leaders to protect their people is to declare us to be an incarnation of Satan.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Ukrainians and Jews

The article about the uneasy relations between Ukrainians and Jews (April 1997) was insightful, but didn't cover one important source of friction. The main markets for agricultural produce in Ukraine were country fairs. Peasants from nearby villages would take their produce there on Sundays. Jews controlled pretty much everything at those fairs. They even had a network of agents to "mold" the peasants into accepting their terms. A peasant brings a cow he hopes to sell for 200 zlotys, which he considers a fair price. A lew comes over, examines the cow and asks, "How much you want for it?" The peasant tells him, "200 zlotys." "Are you crazy?," the Jew exclaims. "200 for that wreck of an animal?" He then proceeds to list all the cow's defects, ending with, "I'll give you a hundred." The peasant rejects that price as grossly unfair, but begins to doubt his chances of getting 200. So when the next "bidder" comes in, the opening price is 180. Gradually, through several stages, the price may be reduced to 140 or 120 zlotys. In the absence of fair competition, an entire group of the population is forced to undersell its labor through the machinations of a parasitic class. This problem might not have been so obvious in a country with a more developed infrastructure. In a rural region of a relatively backward country, however, it is not that easy to get a fair price. Also to be considered is that a peasant who, having refused to sell at cut-rate prices, might have to walk his pigs or sheep 10 to 15 miles back to his village.

In Poland, under Pilsudski in the 1920s, a law was passed which said that in disputes between a store owner and a peasant debtor, the debtor was allowed to repay his debt over ten years with no interest. This bizarre law came into existence as a result of massive fraud perpetrated by Jewish store owners against illiterate peasants who sold on credit. Many peasants had no money, which the Jews were anxious to lend them at usurious interest rates. In some disputes, when the matter went to court, a judge would ask both parties to produce evidence to support their claims. The Jew would show his records, which were inevitably padded. The peasants, being trusting by nature, had nothing to show. Consequently the court decisions were uniformly in favor of the Jews. Eventually the problem became so serious that it required government intervention. Many villages established cooperative stores in an effort to combat predatory Jewish commercial practices. But even though those stores offered lower prices, they would not sell on credit. So Jews still managed to hold on to a considerable share of the local economy.

Cornering the commodities markets was standard practice. During autumn the price of wheat was 7 zlotys for a sack. By Christmas it would shoot up to twice that much. At that time almost the only sellers would be Jews. Non-Jews, having no cash reserves, could not do much against such practices.

ZIPLESS

Cultural Catacombs

Flogging the Flag

If you want to destroy the morale of the people, first destroy their symbols. The South has been resisting an iconoclastic attack ever since Lee surrendered his sword at Appomattox. The anti-Dixie crowd has now forced Southerners to accept females in all-male military schools, as it relentlessly pushes for the elimination of the Confederate Battle Flag in public places. One last bastion of Southern resistance is the flag that flies over the capitol of South Carolina. Part of it is a copy of the old Stars and Bars. In his 1994 election campaign South Carolina Governor Beasley promised to keep the flag flying, but, like most pols when they feel the hot, scorching breath of the powers that be, he switched sides and now heads the campaign to haul the cherished flag down. Beasley's plans were scuttled for the nonce when the Republicancontrolled South Carolina Lower House voted to keep the flag in place.

Patent Parasite

Jerome Lemelson has nearly 500 patents, which over the years have made him \$500 million. He never makes or produces anything, just piles up the patents and then collects the royalties or unleashes lawsuits against alleged infringers. He wormed \$100 million out of 12 Jap companies that decided to pay him off rather than go to court. In 1995 a federal magistrate commented, "Lemelson's use of continuing applications has been abusive and he should be barred from enforcing his asserted patent rights." From the looks of it, that old Jewish disease, parasitism, extends to patents.

More Cultural Blows

What is left of Majority culture suffered three more blows recently (religion being part of a people's culture).

•The Florida Dept. of Transportation decided to replace with crosses sundry homemade roadside memorials to mark the spot of fatal auto accidents. The project was soon junked when Jewish groups complained the crosses were religious symbols.

•Speaking of crosses, the ACLU was most unhappy with the seal of the Ohio town of Stow, which features a cross and an open Bible. The ACLU gave the town an ultimatum to either get rid of the seal or be sued. At first the town council resisted. Then, when the media pressure cooker got ready to blow, the head of the council did what every modern pol does. He folded. Thanks to his swing vote, the seal will be deep-sixed.

•Songs that memorialize the Old South like the Virginia State song, Carry Me-Back to Old Virginny, are on the way out. Liberals put Swannee River and other classic Stephen Foster songs on a par with the Horst Wessel Lied.

Spielbergian Ménage

Steven Spielberg's latest film, *Amistad*, about a shipboard mutiny of black slaves, is packed with the same tedious minority racism as *Schindler's List*. "I am making this film for my own black children and



Scruffy daddy of mixed brood

my white children," Spielberg proclaimed. The Jewish movie maker had a son with first wife Amy Irvine. Second wife Kate Capshaw, who converted to Judaism, brought along her son from a previous marriage and an adopted pickaninny. Spielberg has since adopted both of these children. The couple also has a son and daughter of their own, making a grand total of five offspring that Spielberg calls his "rainbow coalition." The billionaire cineast gave the Clinton reelection campaign \$660,000, which entitled him to two nights in Lincoln's bed. He complained the mattress was too soft.

Kennedy Kapers

Statutory rape charges should be brought against Michael Kennedy, son of the late Bobby, for having sex with his babysitter, starting when she was at the tender age of 14. The charges won't be brought, however, because the Kennedys, no matter what their crimes, don't go to jail. If Uncle Ted can let his girlfriend drown in the waters off Chappaquiddick and then continue to serve in the Senate, certainly nephew Michael, a onetime alcoholic, can avoid a rape charge, as did Willie Smith, another of Fat Face's horny nephews. Kennedy clout was further evidenced in recent months by the Vatican's annulment of Rep. Joseph P. Kennedy II's marriage that produced two children. The abandoned wife is appealing and fighting back with a book which may possibly have some deleterious effect on her exmate's plans to run for governor of Massachusetts next year. An earlier annulment was given Fat Face himself, never mind that first wife Joan had stuck with him for many insufferable years. The ordinary Catholic, when he or she wants to split with his or her spouse, gets a divorce. The Kennedys get annulments.

Only Half the Story

There's so much we're not allowed to know in this censorious era. Millions of words were devoted in the press and on TV to an A-10 bomber pilot who dove his plane into the Rockies. Only after reams of wire service reports was it hinted that Air Force Captain Craig Button was a homo, who may have committed suicide as the result of a spat with his lover, another gung ho flyboy.

Another rumor has it that, raised as a devout Jehovah's witness which teaches that taking another person's life is against the Law of God, he finally decided to get out of the killing business.

Much has also been heard about the murder of Bill Cosby's son, Ennis, but little about Mikhail Markhasev, a Ukrainian immigrant, who was finally arraigned on suspicion of murder and pleaded not guilty. Somehow the public was never told that the alleged killer of Ennis was Jewish. Wonder why?

One also wonders why so little has been written about the mini-skirted bimbo who drove to meet Cosby in the wee hours of the morning after he was stopped by a flat tire. Out of deference to father and mother Cosby, were the media playing down their son's possible liaison with a white woman?

Black Harem Masters

Since practically all the rapists in the Army sex scandals are black, the media had difficulty soft-pedaling the racial angle. To black drill sergeants a pool of young female trainees, particularly white female trainees, was a sexual windfall beyond their wildest dreams. The plight of these females is the best argument yet for separating the sexes in the armed forces. You can bet your boots, however, that little or nothing will be done. Also, it's possible that some if not all the guilty verdicts may be reversed on appeal and all the sen-

Cultural Catacombs

tences either dropped or sharply reduced because the NAACP has entered the fray and denounced the proceedings as "racist."

Verboten Kisses.

One night last year a straight couple wandered into The Cafe, a gay and lesbian watering hole in San Francisco. Inspired by love or alcohol the couple dared to kiss—dared because in queer bars the clientele is frequently offended when heteros embrace. The queer manager of the queer joint, Margie Gorrona, catching the heteros in the middle of a passionate smooch, threw them out.

Killer Goes Home

Illegal immigrant Aldape Guerra, jailed for killing a white Texas cop in 1982, spent 10 years on death row until a federal judge overturned his conviction because of "tainted evidence." After an appeals court upheld the judge's ruling, prosecutors decided not to retry him. The Mexicano was released in April and given a triumphant welcome upon his return to his homeland. In the gushing account in the San Antonio Express-News (April 17, 1997) barely a word was expended on the murdered policeman and his family.

Networking at Work

As expected, Madeleine Albright has accelerated the judaization of the State Dept., naming three Chosenites to key positions. Marc Grossman, Asst. Secretary for European and Canadian Affairs; Martin Indyk, Asst. Secretary for Near Eastern Affairs, and Stanley Roth, Asst. Secretary for East Asian and Pacific Affairs. Meanwhile the U.S. has picked up support in the UN in its defense of Israeli provocations that have all but sunk the so-called peace process. In a 123 to 3 vote, Micronesia joined Israel and the U.S. in thwarting a move to condemn the Zionist state for its expansion in Arab East Jerusalem.

Remembering a Warmonger

Franklin D. Roosevelt being an icon adored by American Jews, it was only fitting that a rabbi gave the benediction at the dedication of the \$52-million FDR Memorial Monument in Washington, which was designed by Lawrence Halprin, one of the adorers. A rather ugly nine-foot-high bronze statue, which had FDR's polio-stricken legs partially concealed by a long cape, was by no means in Phidias's league. (FDR's handicap did not stop his *Seitensprunge* which the media diplomatically and understandably ignored. After all, he was wed to La Boca Grande, an opprobious term Westbrook Pegler bestowed on Eleanor.)

To his enemies, FDR was an unindicted war criminal and snake oil salesman. He remains despised in Central and Eastern Europe to this day for turning over half of Europe to Stalin's thugs. For scheming to drag us into WWII, he was considered a paragon of treason by the more thoughtful segments of the electorate. Eleanor didn't think much of him. Even Fala, his dog, was known to run in the opposite direction when his master wheeled towards him.

Wanted: More Gray Matter

The McVeigh trial should be of no interest to Majority members. The guy is confused. The Waco burnout, which he claimed to be avenging, was the work of a multiracial cult that was only a cut above the Jim Jones nuts in Guyana. If someone wants to blow up a government building to make a point, he shouldn't indiscriminately murder 168 people in one of the whitest cities in the U.S. Moreover, McVeigh's sidekick in crime, Terry Nichols, with his mail order Filipina bride, is hardly one to stand up for our race. Thousands, maybe hundreds of thousands of us, may have to die before we throw out our oppressors, but the deaths should count for something, not be wasted on binges of counterproductive and theatrical adventurism. We are faced with one of the toughest problems a people ever faced. To get our once magnificent country back on track we need brains, brains and more brains, not guns or ammonium nitrate. The last thing we should do is make martyrs out of fast-talking dealers in braggadocio who haven't the faintest idea of what they want to accomplish and how to accomplish it.

Viperish Veep

Al Gore, it has now become fairly obvious, illegally used his vice presidential office as a collection agency for Democratic Party campaign funds. Equally out of line was the Vice President's attendance at a fundraising lunch (\$140,000 collected) at the tax-exempt Hsi Lai Buddhist Temple in California. Is this likely to hurt Gore's run for president in the year 2000? Let's hope so.

Since Gore spends so much of his time in a largely Jewish milieu, it was no surprise to hear that one of his daughters, blonde Karenna, is engaged to marry Andrew Schiff, a Jewish Zoo City doctor. Now Gore can boast he is not only a passionate advocate of Israel and Jewry, but is about to have a Jew in his family. The sacrifice of a daughter, it goes without saying, means little to a professional sellout like Gore.

King Booster Jailed

A chief organizer of the bruising campaign to make the late Reverend Martin Luther King Jr.'s birthday a holiday in Arizona pleaded guilty in April to three counts of theft and fraud. Arnie Zaler faces a minimum of 12 years in prison and must pay back \$3.35 million to the people he cheated. Zaler kited one purchase order sent to his software company from \$900 to \$900,000. He also forged a letter from Senator DeConcini promising his firm a \$1.2 million Small Business loan. The Jewish hustler went so far as to sell phony tickets to games of the St. Louis Cardinals, a team he had the gall to pretend he owned.

Childless Child Advocate

On a flight to Texas I sat next to a Jewish woman who appeared irritated by my baby's occasional fretting. She herself had no children, she told me, and wasn't used to babies. Since her nails must have been 5" long, I could have guessed she didn't have kids. She worked out in a spa—in fact she used to *own* a spa. What does she do now? Volunteer social work. Child advocate for the courts. When there is alleged child abuse, she therapizes the kid during weekly visits. How typical! A childless liberal Jewish woman who couldn't be bothered to have kids herself, determining the future of troubled offspring.

The Line's Busy

The New Yorker (March 24, 1997) provided an amusing story about how enterprising Orthodox Jews must be in circumventing Talmudic teachings in the modern world. Because an Orthodox Jew is forbidden by the Talmud to complete any project undertaken on the Sabbath, even a phone call, a rabbinical institute in Jerusalem has invented the Shabbat phone. When dialing a number, no electrical circuit is completed. Instead the phone merely interrupts an already existing connection. Breaking into and utilizing an already existing circuit does not create a "blasphemous" circuit. Whimsical Talmudist scholars wondered if the technique could be used by U.S. politicians currently accused of making illegal fundraising calls from the White House.



Boomerang Boycott

As soon as news came out about Fuzzy Zoeller's joke about Tiger Woods having a taste for collard greens and fried chicken, the media went into the usual antiwhite tailspin. Zoeller was practically forced to withdraw from the Greensboro (NC) golf tournament and Kmart dropped him from its payroll.

Wouldn't it be nice if the Majority had some degree of unity and reacted by dropping Kmart from its shopping list. Other superstores might then have second thoughts about kowtowing to minority racism. A good swift kick in the pocketbook would teach Kmart not to fire Majority members for a few harmless racial jokes. Woods himself let loose with three raunchy bits of racist humor and an anti-homo crack in an interview with GQ magazine. Some Instaurationists have already indicated they would no longer patronize Kmart, which sells rap records loaded with antiwhite racial slurs and sundry verbal filth of every description. Unfortunately there are not enough Instaurationists in the land to have any effect on Kmart cash registers. If Instauration had five million subscribers, Kmart would quickly become more tolerant.

It Happened in Pittsburgh

After an orgy of anti-Klan publicity, some Klansmen managed to hold a meeting in front of the City-County Building in downtown Pittsburgh. Rock-flinging protesters outnumbered the Kluxers ten or twenty to one. When the shouting and screaming were over and everybody had gone home, the city fathers charged the local Klan \$88,541. No such bill was presented to the organizers of anti-Klan demonstrations taking place a few blocks away at the same time.

Other Pittsburgh news: A valiant judge, David Cashman, dismissed charges against two police officers charged with killing Jonny Gammage, a Negro who violently resisted arrest after driving wildly and erratically down a highway in the middle of the night. The NAACP was enraged at the way the Gammage case was handled and called for the U.S. government to intervene and play the double jeopardy card. In all, five cops were involved. One was found not guilty in a separate trial. As stated above, Cashman dismissed the charges against two others. The remaining two escaped all charges.

Despite the loud bleating of the NAACP

and the agit-prop churned out by the Jewish-owned Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, the liberal-minority gang that controls Pittsburgh has not yet been able to turn the Gammage affair into a Rodney King case (white cops freed on first trial; jailed on second after a billion-dollar riot).

"Friendly" Spies

Biting the hands that feed them, two more Chosenites are being investigated for spying on the U.S. In the tradition of Jonathan Pollard and the Rosenbergs, one Washington bigshot, called Mega by his Israeli handlers, has apparently been passing intelligence reports on the Middle East to the Netanyahu government. Another Jewish snoop, David Tenenbaum, a civilian worker for the army, has admitted that in the last ten years he has been sending classified information to Israel. Tenenbaum claimed his spying was "inadvertent," whatever that means. To date, he hasn't been arrested.

Law-Skirting Prez

Clinton has reaffirmed his dedication to affirmative action in the face of a California appeals court overturning the ruling of a Negro judge who tried to torpedo the initiative. True to form, the most clownish president in U.S. history is relying on executive orders and heavy-handed political arm-twisting to circumvent laws now in force. He has the backing of minority leaders, along with the heads of some of the biggest corporations. To lock up the black and Hispanic vote, Clinton continues to put the power of the government behind furtive moves to preserve affirmative action in both the private and public sectors.

The same high-powered skirting of the law goes on in regard to immigration. New regulations having removed a few benefits for legal immigrants, Jewish and minority groups lost no time in pressing Congress to restore the cuts. Main beneficiaries will be the Russian-Jewish contingent of old folks who have moved to the U.S. to get the welfare cash they couldn't pry out of the Kremlin.

Smelly Missive

Someone scared the yarmulkes off the people at the international headquarters of B'nai B'rith in Washington with an envelope containing a petri dish filled with a foul-smelling red substance. More than a hundred employees were quarantined for more than six hours until it was determined there was nothing to worry about. The contents were harmless, in spite of misspelled labels describing the stuff as "anthrachs" (anthrax) and other unpleasant germs. A two-page letter was enclosed in the envelope. The only part of it released to the public said, "The only good Jew is the Orthodox Jew." In other words, the incident appears to be an anti-Semitic attack by a Semite. All the press reports described the B'nai B'rith, a Jewish snooping outfit and an unregistered foreign agent of Israel, as a "service organization."

Wrong Sister Murdered

When white Kimberlee Brown of Dedham (MA) broke up with her Negro boyfriend, John Anthony Diaz stalked her like O.J. stalked ex-wife Nicole after she told him to get lost. But Diaz was not as smart as Simpson. He mistakenly shot Dawn Brown, blonde look-alike sister of Kimberlee, in the Browns' house in Quincy (MA) on the very day that the future Mrs. Kimberlee Brown Goldstein was being given a bridal shower.

Stereotypical Scammer

Emanuel Pinez, born in Israel, is now in jail in Plymouth (MA) for masterminding one of the biggest financial swindles in an age of big financial swindles. By lies and false claims he managed to pump up the stock in Centennial Technologies 451% in one year, although the company consisted largely of false promises of future contracts. Before the house of cards collapsed, Pinez went short, leaving a lot of respectable mutual funds holding the bag. The thief first broke into the headlines by claiming he had swum across the English Channel in 10 hours and 21 minutes, a feat which didn't happen.

Music Silencers

What happens to a company that produces and sells records of anti-establishment white separatist rock music? The answer is obvious. The company is raided. At 10 a.m. some weeks ago 12 tax enforcers, guns drawn and wearing bullet-proof vests, kicked down the door of Resistance Records in Metro Detroit. Charging that the company had not paid state taxes, the raiders spent six hours grabbing everything they could find—computers, 10,000 CDs, mailing lists, company records enough to fill a hundred boxes.

Instead of sitting down with Resistance Records and trying to settle matters amicably, the authorities chose the totalitarian way of striking first and talking later.

Primate Watch

Clinton had a bouncingly good time at the White House Correspondents' Dinner, during which he was photoed grinning approvingly at Hollywood's lesbians du jour—the degenerate **Ellen DeGeneres** and her sapphic lover, **Anne Heche**.

ŧ.

Nita Brown of Alexandria (VA) was not much of a mother. She stood by quietly while her black boyfriend repeatedly raped and eventually impregnated her 13-year-old daughter. Afterward the Negress forced her 9-year-old son to have sex with her. For the latter revolting crime, Ms. Brown should have gotten life instead of eight months, especially since what she did so traumatized her young boy he had to be committed to a mental hospital.

;

In some ways it recalls the first Simpson trial. A black, **James H. Mitchell**, murdered the white mother of his three sons. There is one important difference, however. The Lycoming County (PA) jury pool of 327 had only one black. The defendant's lawyer wants at least ten, because the area has a 3% black population (327 x .03 = 9.81). As **Johnnie Cochran** knows only too well, justice in criminal courts these days is all too frequently determined by the racial makeup of the jury.

Many Jews in high places have developed the habit of chipping away at the democracy they pretend to worship. **Rabbi Elimelech Naiman** of Brooklyn is now out on \$500,000 bail for diverting \$600,000 in federal funds to buy Orthodox Jewish votes for the Democratic Party. Some of the dollars allegedly found their way into the hands of **Dov Hikind**, the all-powerful Zoo City politician. The money was originally intended for job training programs, economic development projects and old folks and immigrants.

Rabbi Israel Shemtov of Brooklyn couldn't stand the taunts and gibes of four black teenagers, so he gave them as good as he got. Calling them "dirty animals," the red-headed rabbi chased the quartet with his car shouting to bystanders, according to one witness, "They don't belong around his people." The rabbi was charged with a bias crime.

#

In July 1994, Jesse Timmendequas, a weird white minorityite and twiceconvicted sex offender, lured Megan Kanka, 7, into his New Jersey home by promising to show her his puppy. Once she was inside she never came out again alive. Last April he was given a life sentence for raping and strangling the little girl. The trial gave birth to Megan's Law, which requires freed sex offenders to register with the local police.

#

Bob Dole said he was returning to Kansas after his sorry performance in the 1996 elections. That's a laugh. Long-time Beltway insiders rarely go home except in a casket. No one was surprised when Dole announced he was joining the law firm of Verner, Lipfert, Bernhard, Mc-Pherson and Hand. Some of these legal beagles are Democrats.

#

In the trial of Negro **Randall Scott**, a white Houston girl informed the court that when she was nine he had pulled her into a back alley and anally raped her.

In 1995 a bunch of San Fernando Valley (CA) ne'er-do-wells killed Ramtin Shaolin, an Iranian Jew, in a drive-by shooting outside a local mall after he had attended a Friday night movie. Two of the four females involved came from "privileged" Jewish homes. One of them, Yael Ovid, was the driver and the only one of the four girls to face charges. The two men, both 20 and non-Jews, are serving life terms.

ŧ.

"Modern 'Stone Age' peoples [referring pointedly to New Guineans] are on the average probably more intelligent, not less intelligent, than industrialized peoples." So states Jewish egghead Jared Diamond in his new book, *Guns, Germs, and Steel.* Despite the malicious and insidious prevarication, the author and his tome have received kind words from establishment reviewers. The N.Y. Review of Books called Diamond's opus, "artful, informative and delightful."

#

Michael Jackson's baby doesn't look at all like his father, either before or after papa's many facial reconstructions. Nor does he bear any striking resemblance to his blonde, allegedly artificially inseminated mother. The National Enquirer is supposed to have paid \$2 million for ten baby photos of Prince Michael Jackson Jr. The name fits, since father is a member of what currently passes for the U.S. nobility.

1

Charles Evers, brother of slain civil rights leader Medgar, has written a confessional book, Have No Fear: A Black Man's Fight for Respect in America, in which he explicates in detail his multiple sins—hustling, prostitution, gambling and racketeering—all while fathering seven daughters with five different women. He concludes by saying, "I've had a lovely, wonderful life." The book bears the imprint of John Wiley & Sons, the publisher that cravenly backed out of a book deal with Christopher Brand, a pioneering British psychologist, at the behest of Jewish pressure groups.

#

The Hollywood-based **Spitzer twins**, sons of a Holocaust survivor, would pick up women, feed them a line about their showbiz connections, then slip them a mickey laced with Rohypnol, the socalled date rape pill. The victim would later wake up naked in a Spitzer bed, totally unaware of what had been done to her, doings recorded on hidden cameras. If the various charges against the Spitzers stick, it will be a very long time before the brothers make any more porn films.

A pretty Fairfax County (VA) high school senior, Ann Marie Harris, visiting a friend in Tacoma (WA), rode in the backseat of a car taking her home after a party. Suddenly a car pulled alongside and someone fired a bullet, which ricocheted around and finally came to rest in Ann's back. She died some hours later in the hospital. This was the fourth such murder in the Puget Sound area in a month. If they dare, some might call these drive-by shootings a mini-massacre of whites or **antiwhite guerrilla war**.

#

The Center for the Study of White American Culture is headed by Jeff Hitchcock, who wants to make whites more aware of their heritage, but in a "nonracist, non-supremacist" way. Hitchcock, who is married to a Negress, explained, "We're not interested in building up the white culture, but we're not going to tear it down, either." It all seems a little ambiguous, but not to Noel Ignatiev, a part-Jewish Harvard lecturer, who edits a magazine, *Race Treason*, which argues that any manifestation of white identity should be scorned and prohibited.

Sixty-one women had sex with **Darnell McGee**, an AIDS-infected Negro, before he was shot to death (by a vengeful victim?) last January. So far twelve of his sex partners have tested positive for the AIDS virus. One of his "conquests" has already given birth to an HIV-infected baby. McGee's "scores" included blacks, whites, middle class and lower class. McGee was known to "cruise" junior high schools and skating rinks.



33% of rapes in the U.S. are committed by strangers.

#

Last year 4,254 vehicles were stolen in metro Charlotte (NC), often hailed as the most successfully integrated U.S. city.

32 million of the 230 million Americans older than 5 speak a language other than English at home. Español is the most popular alien lingo (17.3 million speakers).

Secretary of State Madeleine Albright has topped Clinton's approval rating, according to a Pew Research Center survey. The Jewish lady, who didn't know she was a Jewess until recently—despite her glaringly Semitic phiz—was viewed favorably by two-thirds of Americans. Clinton by only 61%.

4

Teenage pregnancies cost U.S. taxpayers \$7 billion a year. Every hour an American teen is diagnosed with AIDS.

Total U.S. grants and loan guarantees to Israel in fiscal 1997: \$5,670,000,000.

The Agriculture Dept. spent \$26,000 to find out how long it takes to cook break-fast.

For the U.S. came into being, of the 15 federal judges arraigned on impeachment charges of "high crimes and misdemeanors," 7 were convicted and kicked off the Bench.

#

39 local, state and federal immigration and drug-fighting officials have been indicted on corruption charges. As of the 3 years ending Sept. 1996, 28 convictions have been obtained.

4

Illegals account for nearly 2% of the U.S. population.

Some 8,400 Navy women are pregnant at any given moment. In the Gulf War 1,145 females on Navy ships had to be reassigned because they were pregnant.

Simon Wiesenthal, denominated a "Jewish fascist" and a Gestapo collaborator by Bruno Kreisky, former Jewish chancellor of Austria, claims to have lost 89 members of his family in the Holocaust.

The N.Y. Times (Jan. 30, 1997) reports that 14% of all black men are prevented from voting because they are in prison or are convicted felons living in states that deny the vote to felons. 46 states prohibit voting by jailed inmates. 31 extend this prohibition to parolees. 13 states permanently bar felons from voting.

Michael Lasky, founder of the Psychic Friends Network, paid \$500,000 for the ball hit by Baltimore Oriole Eddie Murray for his 500th home run.

#

Of the 54 suspects arrested for burning down black churches, 36 are white, 17 black, 1 Hispanic. Considering their share of the population (roughly 13%), blacks fire their own churches at a much higher rate than do whites. (National Church Arson Task Force, March 14, 1997)

#.

As of 1990, 8% of black males and 4% of black females, age 25 to 34, were married to nonblacks. For whites hitched to nonwhites in the same age group, the percentage came out to 4% for males, 3% for females.

1

It costs \$350,000 for parents to get 3 children through a 4-year stint at the University of Pennsylvania.

4

Hispanics comprise 4.4% of Navy officers; 4.7% of Marine officers; 3.8% of Army officers; less than 2% of Air Force officers.

Overnight visitors to the Clinton White House included 370 "Arkansas friends," 155 "longtime friends," 111 "friends and supporters," 128 "public official and dignitaries," 67 "arts and letters" types.

According to journalist Elizabeth Howard the 10 worst members of Congress in 1996 were 6 representatives: Waters, Bonior, Gilman, Owens, McKinney and Lantos; 4 senators: D'Amato, Sarbanes, Kerry and Dodd.

#

39.3% of U.S. physical scientists believe in God. The remainder don't or have doubts.

#

A 1996 Dept. of Justice report estimates that the cost of crime in terms of medical bills, lost work days and emotional trauma is \$450 million a year. Keeping 1 hardened criminal in jail for 1 additional year will prevent 12 to 21 additional crimes that would have been committed that year.

#

In California 83% of black males spend more than 3 hours a day staring at the tube. 51% of white males have the same addiction

#

The 1994 edition of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, the annual compilation of all federal regulations, contains 50 volumes organized in 211 different books, which take up 26 feet of shelf space.

#

25% of immigrants living in California families that make over \$64,000 a year receive federal welfare in the form of Supplemental Security Income payments, some as high as \$1,000 a month.

÷

The average U.S. male, who used to be the tallest in the world, has now shrunk to 5'9". Dutch males, averaging a towering 6', are now the tallest. Norwegians, Danes and Swedes measure in at slightly more than 5'11".

#

D.C. Mayor Marion Barry has 31 security guards; Boston Mayor Thomas Menino 7; New Orleans Mayor Marc Morial 2.

America spends \$90 billion a year on private security; \$40 billion on public security (police). General Motors has its own 4,200 police force.

1

L.A. Police Chief Willie Williams will receive a \$375,000 severance package. In return he promises not to sue the city for refusing to renew his contract.

#

The 1997 American Society of Newspapers survey of 1,037 editors, reporters, photographers, copy editors and editorial writers found 61% were liberal, 24% independent, 15% conservative.

#

1.2 million Americans filed for bankruptcy last year, compared to 926,601 in 1995.

#

The 1996 gross income of the First Couple was \$1,065,101, \$742,852 of which came from royalties on Hillary's tome, *It Takes a Village.* On the debit side the Clintons are in hock for \$2.25 million in legal expenses arising from multiple investigations of their shady dealings.

...

Net revenue of left-wing nonprofit lobbying, research and educational organizations in fiscal 1993-1994: \$2.97 billion. The take of similar right-wing organizations for fiscal 1994-1995: \$822 million. Also in fiscal 1994-1995 left-wing lobbying groups spent \$675 million; right-wing groups \$265 million. As for government grants to nonprofit research and lobbying groups, 155 left-wing organizations received \$803 million; 14 right-wing organizations pocketed only \$17 million.



Alan Dershowitz, who has just published a deep, deep portrait in depravity called *The Vanishing American Jew*, laments that the subject of his book is "vanishing" through intermarriage, indifference to Judaism and apostasy, this despite the fact Jews never had it so good. (Tell me about it.) While persecuted, they could coagulate like scabs on a suppurating wound. Now that they are taking over the country, so to speak, the Jewish sense of identity has become soft to the point of complacence. (Except for dedication to Israel, of course.) Dershowitz wants to stop—if not reverse—this Jewish disappearing act.

Dershowbizowitz bemoans that the American Jew is being assimilated through intermarriage and "lapses" into "secularism."

(Isn't that a laugh? Is there anybody more Jewish than a lying-in-wait weighty secular lawyer, ready to spring upon the first ambulancebound bird of spring, winter, summer or fall? He's really a card. I wonder what Dershowbizowitz's fee was for racing to help Johnnie Cochran to deal the race card from the bottom of the deck.)

For showboat Alan Dershowbizowitz bans the melting pot metaphor for America, the pot in which carnage to the American character has been proceeding at a breakneck pace for, lo, these 150 yearslong before Mr. D's Jewish roots were transplanted from way beyond the pale in Poland, Russia or wherever, into free and paleface America. He rejects the pot-boiling pot method for rendering fat, dumb and happy paleface America into a sterilized ethnic stew, a mongrelized mishmash without the zest of the sour mash home brewed by those Scotch-Irish homebodies in the back hills of ole Kentucky.

Showboat Dershowbizowitz prefers the metaphor of a mosaic, for

he rejects the possibility of precious Jewish genes being jumbled into and diluted in that polluted pot. Moses wanted a mosaic, provided it was all circumcised. Moses said Yahweh gave the roaming lebensrauming Hebrews the right to cleanse Canaan ethnically, to "transfer out" all those unclean Canaanites who had not been chosen to wage a genocidal jihad against Jericho and the Jebusite city of Jerusalem. At least Alan comes by his racism honestly. He has a legal precedent in Moses, Nehemiah and the rabid ethnic-cleansing prophet Ezra.

Dershowbizowitz bewails the disappearance of American Jews into the bowels of the black-Italian-Anglo-Hispanic brigades of genocidal brigands who are proliferating and imposing racial impurity and ethnic pollution upon the promised-land patriots of plundered Palestine, not to mention the religious impurity which results from intermarriage of Jews with the unChosen. (One of D's daughters-in-law is an Irish Catholic.) Who was it, by the way, who forced those Hebrews of old to worship the Golden Calf, while Moses was on the mountain brewing up bromides given him from the hand of God? And who forced Moses to marry out of his tribe? And Abraham and Samson and. . .?

Dershowitz decries that Jews now number only 2% of the U.S. population. He wonders what will happen to his beloved Jewish culture. He slashes his prayer shawl, slips into sackcloth and rains ashes upon his head because Jews are not reproducing fast enough. (Is that because Jewish doctors are too adept at abortions and Jewesses are too adept at birth control?)

It never occurs to Dershowbizowitz that many Jewish contributions to civilization are feted because they were fertilized and

fostered in the West. Hitherto, all

that Jewish intellect had been ex-

pended in decoding the contradictions of the Pentateuch and thwart-

ing the thickets of the dense Talmud. Wasn't all that energy and

drive previously ground into the ground beef of being? Didn't all

that fear and trembling go into dis-

entangling the rats-tangles of the

Torah? Wasn't all that holy terror

based on the premise that it takes

two to trip the light fantastic, and

God was always absent when the music started (as in the Holocaust)?

stanch the flow of Jewish genes into

the blue jeans of the Gentiles, into

the useless tributaries of genetically

polluted modern science and art. A

Nobel-nullifying goal indeed. If you have ever seen Dershowbizowitz in

action (on TV, for example), you will understand when I say that I

would gladly assent to seeing at

least one Jew vanish back into the

Tower, so long as it wasn't ivory. If,

like his racial cousin, Dershowitz

would just pull a Houdini and dis-

appear into thin air, maybe the rest

Dershowbizowitz wants to

Dershowitz wants to re-ghettoize his fellow Jews

of us would be able to get a wise Christian word in edgewise. But until Jews cracked out of isolation in the ghettos of Cracow, what else did all that vaunted diaspora Jewish talent produce except "commentaries" on the law and the excommunication of Spinoza?

I was shocked by Dershowbizowitz's reverse racism. Not only should we fence Jews in because they are escaping from the ghetto in unprecedented numbers, we should feed them affirmative action Afrodisiacs because they are not reproducing as quickly as other races. Must Jews become as dim as the Hasidim or the Arabs? Are all the preemptive wars of the Jews against the Arabs in the Middle East a covert form of birth control? Pity the poor Jew who wants to do his duty; but if the choice is between a blue-eyed shiksa who finds money an aphrodisiac or Betty Friedan or Barbra (stressmaker) Streisand, which would the Chosenite choose to marry?



Actually, Dershowbizowitz highlights a dirty little secret which many of us have known all along. We have been encouraged to pity the poor Jews penned in their ghettos and pronounced beyond the pale, on the assumption that they were segregated by force. The truth is that that is precisely the way the rabbinate wanted it, for what they also feared above all was Alan's sewer of assimilation, which would drain off their precious gene pool into the swamp sumps represented by dirty Delilah and her damnable delights.

The Jews penned in themselves—mentally as well as physically just the way their own kapos policed them in the camps. That's precisely why the 19th-century "reformations" enacted by Moses Mendelssohn and his ilk were deemed necessary by those who desired the impossible—the modernization of Judaism.

If Luther read himself out of the Catholic Church by the sinful virtue of reformation, and the Greek and Russian Orthodox docked their doxology and scissored themselves away from the Roman Church by schism, how can the reform and conservative Jews still consider themselves true believers since they also have cut the umbilical cord connecting them to the Dark Ages?

And since you're so worried about the "vanishing Jew," Alan, can you tell me just what a Jew is anyway? And how many of the dark Hasidim cut the cord and escaped the ghetto of the Torah and decamped for Hollywood where they camp it up by escaping from the shtetl with a peroxide shiksa named Marilyn?

A conservative Jew is a liberal whose racism has been mugged by intermarriage, a liberal whose anti-anti-Semitism, has been soured into self-preserving chauvinism. So where is there left to hide from the formaldehyde demands of those nasty whites who are stiffening their demands of chauvinism for themselves? Wasn't it Adolf who claimed that Jews were to blame for not wanting to assimilate, for insisting on remaining alien and separate?

Alan, however, doesn't seem to mind a mugging, so long as it's legal. But now he wants to stop the conversion of the Jews by lust, love and the Lorelei allure of the fatal Christian crotch. If we don't stick together, he moans, Jews will disappear!

What would have happened if blacks, micks, dagos, spics and Anglos had called for the same racial solidarity? What would have happened if Baptists, Catholics, the Orthodox Christians and Muslims had publicly decried the intermarriage of their kind with heretic and apostate Jews or, even worse, with Jews in good standing? Wouldn't the flaming busybody liberal Dershowbizowitz have been the first to burst onto the barricades crying racial havoc and letting slip the Jezebel dogs of war on the racist infidels?

From fear of being called anti-Semites, we're all expected to applaud this rage for reverse racism because it's all to protect and preserve the "vanishing" Jews from vile assimilation? Another form of affirmative action, Alan? Tribalism, religious Balkanism, at its best, Alan? But if it's good for Jews, why not for Anglos? I'm appalled at the chutzpah of Dershowbizowitz's plea. And where is the outcry in the popular press and the moneycontrolled democratic media?

What's next, Alan? A set of Nuremberg laws for America? It's already illegal for Jews to marry without benefit of clergy in Israel. It's already impossible for a woman to get a divorce without her husband's consent in Israel. So should we also have Hitler's Nuremberg laws transplanted to America? To make sure that free-willing Jews will not free-wheel themselves out of the common Jewish weal and vanish into the depths of the Gentile gene pool, polluted as it already is? If it's good enough for Israel, shouldn't it also be good enough for JAPs and Japs, for micks and spics and all hoi polloi?

The elders of Mein Kampf weren't trying to destroy the Nordic race by slaughtering them all at Stalingrad. They were really trying to protect the ungrateful Jews from Viking contamination by collecting them all at Buchenwald and Sobibor.

But, Alan, what makes you think the Gentiles want to be polluted by your rhinoplastic spore? Can we talk? Can we negotiate? Let's not spend months negotiating the shape of the table the way they did for Vietnam. Let's forget the bigotry of those Christian knights at Kennedy's fictional Camelot. Forget the round table. Can we talk? Can we bargain (even if you have the advantage of us here)? Maybe we can negotiate our differences down through the process of dialogue (if you let me get a word in edgewise).

Show some compassion, Alan. Remember that Gentiles have a genetic disadvantage when dealing with the Chosen. (Do you have any idea what it's like to have God against you? What it feels like to be Goliath? Let's forget Madrid and what Rabin agreed to at Oslo. Let's renegotiate—renegotiate the whole deal in six months what we haven't been able to finesse in over 50 years). Those stupid rag-headed Ay-rabs won't see the ruse, believe me. Besides, who needs to be subtle with Uncle (Shmuel) Sammy on his side?

You don't want Jews to "vanish" through assimilation. Why should the winner risk what he has already won? Doesn't the race belong to the swift, the strong and the Chosen, according to Ecclesiastes? So why not be generous and sprinkle (like manna in the wilderness) a few of those superior genes around?

Since you're superior, you don't want to be "devolved" into a lower order of intelligence? After all, where else is there to go but down after Einstein, the man of peace who begged FDR to build the Bomb? Where else is there to go but down after a struggle to the pinnacle on the evolutionary scale, once one begins interbreeding and pandering to the lesser apes and chimpanzees, the likes of Luther, Shakespeare, Schiller and Goethe (not to mention the de-Judaized Mendelssohn, Heine, Marx, Mahler and Simone Weil)?

Hasn't it ever occurred to you, Alan, that Gentiles would gladly give you and Einstein the boot and feel blessed to be left with mere lamebrains like Galileo and Gauss, Leibniz and Euler, with a couple of cretinous monks called Copernicus and Mendel to boot? And if it's a question of baseball cards, I'll gladly trade you a Martin Buber for a Kant, Hegel or Nietzsche. We've got depth and a bullpen of lamebrains that could match your ballpoint doodles in the Talmud any day. Why settle for a minorleague designated hitter like Moses when we've got the real McCoy in Jesus?

Speaking of the dim Hasidim, one of Hitler's main charges against the Jews was that they insisted on being alien, that they refused to assimilate, despite the open invitation of the Teutons for creepy Jews to crawl in and muddy up the crystal-nachted waters. So thank you, book-selling Alan Dershowbizowitz; you're a real mensch, a profit to your people, a "light unto the nations," especially the Aryan Nations.

So thanks again for promoting and expounding the kind of Pyrrhic victory which will pound Jews even better than Ezra Pound, which will Balkanize the country even further than it is already. What's good for the goose is only good for the gander, if the gander has been force-fed and liver-fattened on gefilte fish. Instead of achieving diversity, which is now deemed to be the goal of all human existence, television is becoming so bland, maudlin and censorridden that viewers are tuning out by the hundreds of thousands. The most politically correct network, Disney-owned ABC, now at the bottom of network TV ratings, became so desperate it injected a potboiling lesbian sequence in its *Ellen* show. A dyke in real life, Ellen DeGeneres had her sexual propensities expanded into a TV character. Her dramatic exit from the closet was accompanied by a chorus of praise from gaydom and the Hollywood "elite."

One of the great sources of humor in drama and literature from Aristophanes on is, or rather used to be, the homo. No more. Today's queers are portrayed as unfunny figures. We are ordered to sympathize with them, not titter. If we are so "insensitive" not to weep at their plight, we are bigots.

Not so long ago homos were screamingly funny. In British TV comedy series there was generally one character who could be counted on to produce a salvo of laughs. 'Allo 'Allo, set in a village in Germanoccupied France in WWII, has its Lt. Gruber, whose "delicate," un-German behavior produces some of the show's most comic moments. Are You Being



Served? has a fruity store clerk played to an exhibitionist fairy-theewell by actor John Inman, who is straight as an arrow off camera. Keeping Up Appearances has "Sheridan," who is never seen, but in long telephone

calls with his doting mother lets it be known he is terribly interested in needlework. Although these shows are now run and rerun from time to time on local U.S. television stations, they would have great difficulty getting on the networks, even greater difficulty making it to the tube with a series that included similarly limp-wristed characters.

Two cheers for Carol Marin, the WMAQ (Chicago) news anchor who quit her job rather than work with Jewish vulgarian Jerry Springer, chosen by the station management to add "commentary" to Marin's news. Inflicting Jerry Springer on a news program is like spiking a glass of milk with Drano. No trashier shows



pollute TV land than Springer's daytime swill. A onetime mayor of Cincinnati, he is so disgusting, tasteless and loose with the truth he out-vulgarizes every other rival talk show, a feat that takes some doing. Marin herself may not be all that wonderful as a newscaster, but at least she doesn't cheapen her reporting with such topics as *Our Brother Is a Pimp*. At last report viewers raised such a howl that Springer's commentary was bounced.

Ditch the Discovery Channel! It recently ran a special entitled, *Rosenberg Trial: Case Completed*. The distaff side of the spy team, Ethel, was treated with kid gloves.

Walter Cronkite, long blown up as the most honest and upright of all news anchors, has finally come clean. In a fundraising letter for the Interfaith Alliance sent to 400,000 homes, Uncle Walter went out of his way to chastise the Christian Coalition and its two leaders, Ralph Reed (now resigned) and Pat Robertson. Under the veil of his pretentious reporting and the praise heaped upon him by his Jewish boss, William Paley (if Walter says it, it must be true), Cronkite was an inveterate liar. He lied to get us into the Vietnam War, then lied to get us out. By exaggerating Viet Cong victories and U.S. military defeats, he all but destroyed the morale of America's fighting men.

Cronkite was not a newsman, despite his posturing, but an actor. Much of his popularity hinged on his looks, which resembled those of a British colonel. How could such a handsome person not tell the truth? British colonels, however, are not noted for promoting same-sex marriages, one of the goals of the Interfaith Alliance, a paleolithic, quasi-Marxist lobby that is the pulpit of America's loudestmouthed, left-wing preachers and now serves as Cronkite's ideological home. The Interfaith Alliance is an extremist organization that calls everyone it doesn't like an extremist.

Louis Farrakhan was given a long and relatively fair interview by Tim Russert on NBC's *Meet the Press* in April. Occasionally the embattled, mellowvoiced Nation of Islam chief, spoke Instaurationese, particularly when he promised to take on the difficult, if not impossible, task of breaking up the control he claims rich Jews have over blacks. Some pertinent and impertinent quotes:

I believe that, for the small numbers of the Jewish people in the U.S. they exercise a tremendous amount of influence on the affairs of government.... Yes, they exercise extraordinary control, and black people will never be free in this country until they are free of that kind of control, and I do intend by the help of God to break up that control.

Farrakhan had other commendable opinions. He condemned Time Warner for promoting the filthy and degenerate speech of rap "artists." He criticized

Clinton's one-sided, pro-Israel meddling in the Middle East.

Such talk resonated positively in Instaurationists' ears until he launched into some pre-history and dragged in the old nonsensical saw about a black scientist having created the white race 6,600 years ago. He then adumbrated on a space ship that will come along by the end of the 20th century and "rain down" on white people who don't em-



Farrakhan: sense and nonsense

brace Islam. These words were enough to send the whole interview down the memory hole. Such nutball remarks gave the media a license to laugh off Farrakhan's entire argument.

Double-checking on the networks: CBS, the new perch of Bryant Gumbel, is owned by Westinghouse, a Majority company. Leslie Moonves, head of CBS entertainment, proudly listed himself in his *Who's Who* entry as "Democrat. Jewish." NBC is owned by General Electric, another Majority corporation, though the producers and writers of the various sitcoms and primetime shows are Jewish to the core. Only ABC is now controlled directly by Jews, namely the Disney Co., the entertainment colossus. Fox is owned by Aussie Rupert Murdoch, but his second in command is Jewish. PBS is headed by Majority types, but the executive producer of *The Newshour with Jim Lehrer* is a Chosenite. A decade ago the big three networks were all owned by Jews. Considering the omnipresence of minorityites in lower-level echelons, however, it's hard to see how any substantial ethnic cleansing has been accomplished.

The trouble with TV talk show impresarios is that once they leave one network they don't always quit. They get hired by another. Bryant Gumbel, one of the most obstreperous anti-Majority TV spielers, recently switched from NBC to CBS, as the result of a \$25 million, 5-year deal. Instead of propagandizing white viewers in the morning, he'll go after a night-time audience with a magazine show. Here's to abysmal ratings, Bryant!

Ted Koppel grows irate when accused of liberal bias and challenges anyone to prove it. Brent Bozel, a TV critic, took up the challenge and submitted this evidence.

Oct. 18, 1989: Koppel blamed some of the damage caused by the San Francisco earthquake that year on Republicans withholding necessary maintenance funds.

Feb. 23, 1990: Koppel claimed the Stalinistic Sandinistas would "almost certainly win" the upcoming election in Nicaragua. They were routed.

Jan. 28, 1994: Koppel began with an interview with Oliver North by calling him "an accomplished liar" and a "shameless self-promoter." (Sounds like a good description of Koppel himself.)

Feb. 23, 1996: After Buchanan had won the New Hampshire presidential primary, Koppel accused him of having a father who once listened to Father Coughlin's "anti-Semitic" radio shows.

From Zip 463. According to a Black Entertainment Television blurb (April 5, 1997), one-third of black males over age 15 are practicing bisexuals. This is hard to believe, but may partially explain the ever increasing numbers of black males and females with AIDS. The song, *Bill*, where the female vocalist bemoans, "My man left me for another guy," is an indicator of what's going on. The accompanying video shows a partying black couple breaking up when the stud leaves hand in hand with a white male.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

When I wrote in a previous article that lean-Marie Le Pen's heart was with his ethnic brethren and cousins in Brittany, Cornwall and Wales-so that he was really a British phenomenon-I did not mean to make fun of his stance as a French nationalist. My remarks about Joan of Arc should also be taken with a pinch of salt. Nationalism has been Le Pen's principal political pitch from the very beginning and he has made it work beautifully for him. More power to his elbow! What worries me is that the person most likely to succeed him as leader of the Front National is a certain Bruno Mégret, whose wife happens to be Jewish. The squalid Jewish magazine, Time, is already arguing that Le Pen should stand down-by implication in favour of Mégret. Time quotes some unnamed commentator as saying that Le Pen "smells of sulphur."

Jörg Haider, boss of Australia's Freedom Party, is a nationalist like Le Pen, but he has to contend with a different set of circumstances. Ever since the creation of Bismarck's "Klein-Deutschland" (i.e., without Austria) in 1866, Austrian nationalists have been trying to rejoin Germany. Schönerer, the pre-WWI Austrian nationalist leader, even wanted to convert all Austrians to Lutheranism! Austria's vote to join the European Union was basically a new Anschluss, but an Anschluss with a very different Germany from that of 1938. Haider, in fact, is creating a new local nationalism, which can only evolve into an ethnostate. Recently, Peter Sichrovsky, a pernicious Jew who previously agitated against Haider, has joined Haider's party, expecting to represent Austria in the European Parliament. I hope Haider doesn't give him too much slack.

In Britain, the Referendum Party has some support, but can't get anywhere because the traditional parliamentary system effectively disenfranchises all but the voters of the winning party in a particular constituency (as in the U.S.). I support referenda on



principle. (Hitler's legitimacy was secured by seven referenda which he won hands down one of them conducted by the British army in the Saar.) I know the Referendum Party's James Goldsmith is unhappy about being a half-Jew. Technically he is not a Jew at all because his mother was not Jewish, though the same limitation applies to many Rothschilds. However I have to distrust a man who made a mint of money in America out of greenmail, especially as his corporate victims appear to have been non-Jewish. If he had ruined a few Jewish companies, I might think differently about him.

When I heard that the British National Party was fielding 50 candidates at the general election, I breathed a sigh of relief. I had feared they were going to throw their weight behind Goldsmith's party. Great efforts should have been made to make the BNP's election effort known. The media seldom mentioned it until it managed to get some time on British television.

In Russia, while all the genuine right-wing parties were being quietly suppressed—the parties which recognised the fundamentally Jewish nature of communism—Zhirinovsky was acting as a lightning rod for bemused conservatives. All that stuff about Russian soldiers washing their boots in the Indian Ocean was pure malarkey. Earlier, Zhirinovsky was a supporter of Israel.

Of late we have seen far too many examples of Jews or part-Jews rushing forward to help us. "I will be your leader," they say. "You want apples, I give you apples. You want bananas, I give you bananas." You can be sure that they will stab you in the back or prove spineless when the chips are down.

Whether we push for nationalism or an ethnostate (by no means necessarily the same thing) or a Europe of regions (loosely organised ethnotstates), let us bear in mind that our key mission is the rejection of the biomass and we must work day and night to repatriate all non-European immigrants. If we don't act soon on this all-important issue, we may soon be another Brazil.

Report from the Darkening Tip

Attacks on farmers and landowners in South Africa are already beyond control. In the 18 months from January 1995 to June 1996 no less than 167 farmers were murdered on their farms. At a congress in Pretoria, Boer farmers decided to establish a military high school where their children will be trained to assist them in coping with the roaming killers.

Meanwhile a furor broke out over new police restrictions limiting the use of force in arrests and curtailing the officers bringing their firearms into play.

While police figures show that murders, hijackings and other serious crimes declined slightly, the rape rate, already the highest in the world, increased again in 1996.

As many as 25,782 murders and 50,481 rapes (the latter number three times higher than in the U.S. and 12 times higher than in Germany) were committed last year, according to Safety and Security Minister Sydney Mufamadi.

The worsening situation has led to prominent members of the National Party expressing different opinions as to how to deal with the problem. Even President Mandela has stated that the increase in the levels of violence against women and children indicates a deeply embedded predisposition towards crime in the community as a whole.

The National Party has admitted that in recent by-elections it has continually failed to persuade enough of its supporters to go to the polls and cast their votes. For this and other reasons there is uncertainty regarding the party's future. One outcome, however, is certain. NP members will be disinclined to vote for Mandela's African National Congress.

Helen Suzman, for years a lone voice against apartheid in South Africa's whites-only Parliament, became used to hate mail from whites. Now, according to The Citizen, verbal attacks on Suzman are coming from within the new black establishment. The doyenne of liberalism is ruffled. "I am surprised at the hostility to liberals these days, even from moderates in the African National Congress," said the Jewish anti-apartheid agitator in a recent press interview.

"The gulf between Afrikaners and the state was deepening as they were being pressed to apologize for their very existence," said Rand Afrikaans University Professor Chris Maritz. He would not be surprised if, "A new consolidation in Afrikaner ranks could be the result. . . .[A]n amorphous restlessness is already in evidence. . . ."

Farmers have held protest meetings in the Western Transvaal, Orange Free State, Eastern Transvaal and Northern Transvaal to mull over the many issues that harm them and for which they hold the government responsible.

"Anarchy Is Overwhelming Us" was the headline of an article in the Afrikaans Sunday Paper, Rapport (March 23). About a hundred local township boards have col-

lapsed after accumulating debts to the amount of \$130 million. Aside from violent crime, large-scale theft from police buildings has plagued Soweto and the brown townships of Johannesburg.

Police Commissioner George Fivaz has warned that South Africa could become a gangster state. The Mandela government is incapable of rendering to its citizens the basic services of safety and welfare. The result is creeping anarchy.

It has been reported in the press that state departments in 1996 spent more than \$30 million without authorisation. Henri Kluever, Auditor General, stated that it is shocking how many state departments deal improperly with taxpayers' money. The process started in 1989 and grew worse this past year. Normal rules and regulations in the civil service were simply ignored. The Auditor General warned that the problem is taking on such dimen-

sions that it is no longer possible to express an opinion regarding the auditing of many accounts. Millions of rands are involved.

The use of Afrikaans, one of the two official languages in the South African Parliament, has decreased to such an extent that it may soon disappear. Right-wing members of Parliament have warned that the chairmen of committees hardly ever speak Afrikaans. Almost all follow a strict policy of Anglicanism.

South Africa has slid to near last in international competitiveness despite its best efforts to acquire a higher ranking in the global economy. An interim report of the reputable *World Competitiveness Yearbook*, which will be published in June by a Swiss business school, shows South Africa in 45th place, second to last among the 46 industrialized countries under review. Russia lags behind in last place.



Canada. Revenue Canada revoked the tax-exempt status of Toronto's Zionist Council. The double loyalists had been sending wads of money to illegal Jewish settlements in Israel.

Britain. The Labour Party's landslide victory in the recent British election was no revolution. It could best be described as more of the same. The stuffy and uninspiring John Major and the sexual and financial scandals of Tory bigshots had as much to do with the Conservative Party's defeat as any shift in domestic or foreign policy. Tony Blair, the new Prime Minister, leans a little towards the ethnostate idea by hinting he would give Scotland more independence. Blair, only 44, has a dollop of political oomph that caused some editorial writers to compare him to Clinton. He has a lawyer wife but is not known for unbridled lechery. He certainly has adopted Clinton's strategy of sliding from left to center in election campaigns. Does this mean that he will slide back to the left when the votes are in? Time will tell.

One of the cheapest shots fired during the campaign was Blair's promise to back a law against Holocaust denial if Labour won. Any politician who panders so humiliatingly to minority racism is not likely to be a worthy head of state. The old guard in the Labour Party, the anti-American, Stalinistic wing, temporarily hushed, may revert to type at any time. Blair claims to have given up on socialism. The dyed-in-the-wool Labourites never have.

Sir Peter Hall, a British film producer who is making a TV series on the African slave trade, found out firsthand how Hollywood handles racial questions. Negotiating with an American company for the U.S. rights to the film, Sir Peter was told in so many words that there would be no deal if any of the slave traders were depicted as Negroes.

British elections are far more democratic and far less oligarchic than American ones. Candidates for Parliament are not allowed to spend more than \$15,000. The voter turnout was 71%, much higher than the roughly 50% that show up for U.S. presidential elections. Britain's 659 parliamentary districts have some 70,000 eligible voters. Each U.S. congressional district has about half a million. Unlike Clinton's Cabinet, Blair's has few Jews, one of the most notable being Labour's chief spin doctor, Peter Mendelson. Blair's Cabinet consists of five women, one homo and one blind man. One thing is for sure. Blair will devote a great deal of his time and energy to civil rights as a payoff to his Jewish supporters. The fawning over Israel will remain an untouchable component of foreign policy.

The British National Party fielded 50 candidates in the election. This entitled the BNP to be given some air time on the BBC. For daring to allow Britain's only authentic nationalist party its political rights, BBC was picketed by a hundred goons. There was, sadly, no possibility that the BNP would win one seat in Parliament, the winner-take-all policy remaining firmly in place. Participating in the election, however, gave BNP candidates some valuable experience for use in future election runs.

Houghton Hall in Norfolk is often classified as "the greatest country house in England." David, the 7th marquess of Cholmondeley, owns the fantastic spread, including its magnificent art works from the great masters. David, by the way, is the descendant of Rothschilds and Sassoons, though he has some non-Jewish genes acquired from the marriages of some of his Jewish forebears to Gentiles. Houghton Hall was built in the 18th century by Sir Robert Walpole, the great British statesman. It can hardly be said that its present owner is an improvement over the original one.

There is racism in Britain, but less among whites and blacks than among Jews and Asians. A research group recently asked this question to a sample segment of the population: "Would you personally mind or not mind if one of your close relatives were to marry a person of Afro-Caribbean origin?" Thirteen percent of the white respondents said they would "mind a lot," compared to 32% of Asians and 29% of Jews. The above figures demonstrate why Britain is on the racial skids. Outmarrying is the death of any race. That Asians and Jews are much more worried about this than whites is a reliable indicator that in a few decades down the road there will be many fewer unmixed whites and relatively many more unmixed Jews. Another demographics survey that more or less confirms the above found that 40% of black males in

Britain between the ages of 16 and 34 are married or cohabit with whites.

Ireland. To many enthusiastic supporters Einstein was the most famous physicist, if not of all time, at least of the 20th century. Criticizing him is almost as dangerous an undertaking as criticizing the Holocaust. Recently one Irishman and two Americans, after giving Einstein's work a thorough going-over, came to the conclusion that a lot of his ideas were pure bunkum. Dr. Al Kelly, a reputable Irish physicist, wrote:

Einstein's Theory of Relativity has become like a physicist's religion. In every university on the planet people teach it as though it were gospel truth. Nobody seems to care that it just doesn't make sense.

Dr. Borge Nodlan of the University of Rochester and Dr. John Ralston of the University of Kansas have come up with research that directly contradicts Bombfather's theories. At any rate, the saint has begun to lose some of his halo. It may one day turn out that the Jewish genius for self-promotion, not physics, is the main cause of Einstein's fame.

The Netherlands. From a subscriber. Liberal Holland with its legalized drugs leads the way in allowing both euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide. Although euthanasia is still, under Dutch law, a crime punishable by up to 12 years in prison, the practice was winked at for more than a decade and the number of cases has risen dramatically. Time (March 17, 1997) reports:

A major independent study last year concluded that there were about 3,600 cases [of euthanasia] in Holland (population 15.5 million), a jump from the 2,700 cases in 1990. Another 900 cases fell into the troublesome category of "termination of life without the request of the patient."

Switzerland. While Sam Bronfman and his ethnocentric ilk are busy trashing the Red Cross, they might take a day off to glimpse a few statistics. Since 1942, 33 Red Cross workers have died on the job. The organization, whose international headquarters are in Geneva, has 8,652 employees scattered in 54 countries. The annual operating budget is \$600 million, two-thirds contributed by the U.S.

France. The Disney Co. showed such atrocious taste in plugging its animated film, *The Hunchback of Notre Dame*, that author Victor Hugo's great-great-grandchildren released the following blast: "We believe that civilization should pro-



tect itself against the commercial looting and hijacking of great artistic works." No comment was forthcoming from the Jewish culture vultures who now control the company.

Germany. The unemployed in Germany were hardly consoled to hear the former Federal Minister of Development, J. Warnke, make this asinine statement:

By exporting jobs Germany is making a valuable contribution to the progress of many developing and East European countries. German investors can be proud of creating countless jobs in the Third World and in so doing have given real meaning to the Christian Church's extolment of the virtue of sharing.

Nobody hates the Germans more than Jews. But no country is more attractive to Jews than present-day Germany. Some German legislators are working on a law that will cut down the Jewish influx, a project bound to cause screams of anti-Semitism. An important nonracist reason for the reduction is the rising German unemployment figure. Right now close to 5 million Germans are out of work.

U.S. black soldiers raping a little girl in Okinawa did nothing to improve relations with the people of that Japanese Island. Blacked out was a similar Americaphobic incident in Germany. An American Negro went berserk in the town of Reilingen, killing one elderly German woman and stabbing, slugging or stomping eight others, one of them his girlfriend. The carnage was only stopped when one of his victims managed to pick up an iron bar and crack him over the head. The murderer was David McPhaul, a onetime army cook who guit the military a few years ago but stayed on in Germany. It was difficult to find a line about this in the U.S. press, though it was covered rather thoroughly in the European edition of the Stars and Stripes.

Russia. From a subscriber. In a recent demonstration in Red Square, people disgusted with the Yeltsin government were shouting: "Why are there no Russians in government? Why are there only Jews? Down with the government [and the] Zionist know-it-alls!" In the interim a government-backed bill introduced in the Duma to outlaw all "fascist" activities and propaganda failed. The opposition argued that the bill was unnecessary and aimed against patriots. One legislator who opposed the bill, Anatoly Greshnevikov, stated that it would be far better for Russia to pass a law forbidding Zionist propaganda.

The notorious Dmitry Yakubovsky, who received a five-year sentence in a St. Petersburg prison for stealing priceless manuscripts from the Russian National Library and transporting them to Israel may have to serve an additional five years. Yakubovsky, shown in the Kommersant Daily (March 29, 1997) wearing his yarmulke, is now accused of brutalizing and sexually abusing his cellmate. His defense lawyer says his client was provoked by anti-Semitic remarks.

From a subscriber. Arkady Vaksberg's Stalin Against the Jews is worth a second reading. I noticed items to which I paid little attention the first time I read the book. When the author calls Stalin anti-Semitic, he is saying very little. I doubt that the Soviet boss was more anti-Semitic than the Russians he lived among. Personal views and public policy do not always coincide. When Stalin bursts out with, "Who does that damned little Yid think he is?", he is only evincing some momentary irritation. Stalin reminds me of Pisistratus. Asked how he kept in power, the Greek strongman whipped off the tallest flowers with his cane.

To my mind Stalin was too busy for garden-variety anti-Semitism. In the end author Vaksberg seems to be another obsessed Jew. He thinks it is understandable that 2% of the population should have one-third of the jobs.

Israel. To drink at the fountain of Jewish truth, go to Israel. Listen to columnist Ari Shavit musing over the hundred Lebanese civilians done to death by the Israeli military in April 1996:

We killed them out of a certain naive hubris. Believing with absolute certitude that now, with the White House, the Senate, and much of the American media in our hands, the lives of others do not count as much as our own."

IN OUR HANDS tells the whole story.

(United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization, Dec. 1995)

Cuba. In their loguacious, cloying obits of the late Allen Ginsberg, the mainline media failed to mention the poetaster's meeting with Fidel Castro in the early 1970s. El Máximum Líder, dressed to the nines in his finest military regalia, staged a theatrical reception for Ginsberg at Havana's José Marti Airport. When Fidel rushed up to give the sleazy lewish versifier an abrazo, Ginsberg chose to kiss him full on the lips saying, "You are just so cute. I must make love to you." Fidel unentangled himself, stepped back and shouted, "What is this pervert doing here?" Ginsberg was arrested and put on the first plane out.

Australia. Fourteen Jews were on the convict ships that brought colonialization to Australia in 1788.

From a subscriber. When My Own Sweet Time by Wanda Koolmatrie was published in 1995 it received critical acclaim and won a national literary award for the best first work by an Australian writer. One reviewer wrote, "This is the lively, gutsy story of an urban Aboriginal girl making it in the tough counterculture of the mid-60s."

Later it was revealed that Wanda Koolmatrie was actually Leon Carmen, a white man living in Sydney. In a newspaper interview Carmen agreed with the claim that publishers discriminate against white males in favor of female, Aboriginal and Asian-descended writers. "I created a character and breathed life into her. I can't get published, but 'Wanda' can," Carmen asserted.

The disclosure was the second such incident to rock the Australian arts community in a week. Museum curators and gallery owners were enraged to learn that widely praised Aboriginal painter Eddie Burrup is really Elizabeth Durack, an 82year-old woman of Irish descent. In another identity switch caused by the antiwhite male campaign, B. Wongar, the supposedly Aboriginal author of such popular books as *Walg* and *Karan* in the 1980s turned out to be Streten Bozik, a Ukrainian immigrant.

Guyana. Janet Jagan, the wife of Cheddi Jaghi, the Asian-Indian president of Guyana who died in March, is planning to follow in her husband's footsteps. Janet (née Rosenberg) is a 76-year-old Jewess from Chicago. She's favored to win the presidential election scheduled for next January.